

Hill. 8 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Sunday's Case.

Swinb. 112.
Brigdm. 137.

M Erick Sunday being seised in Fee of an House in Lambeth held in Chief by Knights Service 7 Aprilis 1587 by his Will in Writing devised the said House to Margare his Wife, for Life, and after her Decease his Son William to have it, and if his Son William marry, and have by his Wife any Male Issue lawfully begotten of his Body, then his Son to have it; if he have no Male Issue lawfully begotten of his Body, then his Son Samuel to have the House; if Samuel marry, and have Issue Male of his Body lawfully begotten that then his Son to have the House after his Decease; if no Issue Male, then his Son Thomas to have the House; if Thomas marry, having a Male Issue of his Body lawfully begotten, then his Son to have the House after his Decease; if he have no Issue Male, then his Son Richard in like Manner, & totidem Verbis, and so to Daniel totidem Verbis; And then he adds this Clause, *And his Will and Mandate was, that if any of his Sons, or their Heirs Males Issue of their Bodies go about at any Time to alienate, or mortgage the House, that then the next Heir to come*

upon the House and enjoy it: And afterwards Merick died, and William died without Issue Male, having Issue Margaret, (who had the 3 Part of the said House in respect of the Tenure) Samuel also died without Issue Male, Thomas entered into 2 Parts, and Trin. 6 Jac. he and his Wife suffered a common Recovery with single Voucher, which was to the Use of the said Thomas and his Heirs, and afterwards 17 Dec. Thomas died without Issue Male, and in this Case two Questions were moved, 1. What Estate Thomas had. 2. If by the Suffering of the said Recovery, he had forfeired his Estate, and that thereupon the Entry of the said Richard was lawful or not.

As to the first it was objected, That when Merick devised, that Thomas his Son shall have his House, if the Will had not gone further, he should have had but for Life, then when he added, if Thomas my Son marry, having a Male Issue, that then his Son to have the House, that is an express Devise to the Son that he shall have, and not to himself. But it was answered and resolved, That as well the said Thomas as the other Sons have an Estate (a) Tail to them severally, and to the Heirs Males of their Bodies; and that for three Reasons. 1. Because he farther saith, if he hath no Issue Male, his Son Richard to have it, which is as much as to say, if Thomas dies without Issue Male, which Words are sufficient to create an Estate-tail in him. 2. The said last Clause, if any of his Sons, or their Heirs Males Issue of their Bodies go about, &c. which explains the first Words, that the Male Issue shall be Heir, and take by Descent, the first Words, that his Son shall have the House after his Decease, i. shall have it as Heir; for the Words of the Will make it manifest, and if any of their Sons, or their Heirs Males Issue of their Bodies, &c. also after it is said, that then the next Heir to enter. 3. The Thing prohibited proves it also; for as well his Sons as their Heirs Males are prohibited to alien or mortgage, &c. and every Restraint (b) implies (and especially in a Will) that the Parties (if the Restraint had not been made) had Power to do that which is prohibited, which is the Reason that he restrains them. And if his Sons should have but an Estate for Life, (c) this Clause of Restraint, That if they should alien, &c. that then the next Heir should enter, &c. would be idle, and of none Effect.

As to the 2 Point, it was resolved by the 2 Ch. Justices, Ch. Baron, and the Court of Wards, That no (d) Condition or Limitation, be it by Act executed, or by Limitation of an Use,

(a) Bridg. 137.
Swmb. 112.
Lit. Rep. 8, 259,
347.
Moor 128.
Cr Jac. 416, 695.
Cro. Car. 367,
369.
Haid. 149.

(b) Bridgm. 137.
(c) Cr Car. 185.
(d) 2 Rol. Rep.
468.
Swinb. 912.
Cr. Jac. 697, 698;
Godb. 351.
10 Co. 37. a.
38. b.
39. a. 42. b.
6 Co. 41. a.
Hob. 170.
Co. Lit. 223. b.
224. a.
2 Brownl. 67.
1 Rol. 418.
Cart. 23.

Sunday's Case.

PART IX.

Use, or by Devise in a last Will, can bar Tenant in Tail from aliening by (a) common Recovery for the Causes and Reasons reported at large in the 6 Part of my Reports, in Sir Anthony Mildmay's Case; and according to those Resolutions the Case was decreed, &c.

(a) Car. 23.
1 Rol. 418.
2 Brownl. 67.
10 Co. 37.a.
38.b. 39.a. 42.b.
Co. Lit. 223.b. 224. a. Hob. 170. 6 Co. 41. a. Cr. Jac. 697, 698. 2 Rol. Rep. 468. Godb. 351. Swin. 112.



Pasch.



Pasch. 9 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Quick's Case.

Queen Elizabeth Lady, John Northcote, and Thomas Quick Tenants in Common, of the Manor of Newton (being the Mefnalty) held of Queen in Capite by Knights Service, and one Will. Bodley Tenant peravail of three Acres of Meadow, called Warram Meadow, held of the Manor of N. by Knights Service. Thomas Quick, 34 El. infeoffed Babb and others of his Moiety of the said Manor, to the Use of himself for his Life, and after to the Use of John Quick his Son and Heir apparent in Tail, and afterwards to the Use of the Heirs of Thomas Quick; and afterwards Will. Bodley of the said three Acres of Meadow, infeoffed the said John Quick and his Heirs, and afterwards John Quick five Days before his Death, and being sick, by Collusion betwixt him and his Father, infeoffed his Father and his Heirs, to the Intent to defraud the said Joh. Northcote of the Wardship of Andrew, Son and Heir of John Quick, being an Infant within Age, and afterwards John Quick died; after whose Death John Northcote seised the Body of Andrew Quick, and afterwards Thomas Quick died, after whose Death the Moiety of the said Manor descended to Andrew Quick. The Question was, Whether the Wardship of the Body and of the Moiety of the said three Acres of Meadow, belonged to the King, or to the said John Northcote. And this Case was argued by Counsel learned on both sides, in Hillary and Easter Terms: and 2 Questions were moved in this Case.

1. When J. Quick Ten't in Tail in Remaind. of the Mefnalty died, his Heir within Age, then accru'd to the K. beginning of
 S Wardship,

Wardship. *f.* when Ten't for Life died, and by the Death of *John* accrued to *Northcote* Wardship of the Body, in respect of the Feoffm. by Collusion of the said 3 Acres of Meadow, and of the Moiety of the 3 Acres of Meadow, and afterwards when *Tho. Quick* who was Ten't for Life of the Mesnalty died, then was the King's Title, which was begun before, consummated; and therefore it was argued, that the K.'s Title should be preferred; for now the K.'s Title is by the Descent from him in the Remaind. and the Death of the Ten't for Life is but the removing of the Impedim. *Et quando jus Dom' Reg' & subditi in similibus concurrunt, jus Reg' preferri debet.* As in *Dame Hale's Case*, (*b*) Husband and Wife Joint-ten'ts of a Term for Years, the Husb. in *se* de *se*, he shall forfeit the Whole, *Plow. Com.* 262. and yet there it survives till Office, but after Office it has Relation, either before, or at least to the Time of the Death. So in the Case at Bar altho' the K.'s Title is not full till the Death of the Ten't for Life, yet when he dies, then the K.'s Title is by the Descent which accrues together with the Title of *Northcote* by the Death of *Job. Quick*. *Nota* the Case put by *Weston* in *Dame Hale's Case* of descent to a (*c*) Villain being Ideot, 263. *b. Vide* 44 *E.* 3. 25. *a.* if the K. and a common Person join in a Foundat. the K. is Founder. As to that it was answered and resolved, that in this Case the Interest vested in *Northcote* shall not be devested; for the Title of *Northcote* was (*d*) consummated by the Death of *J. Quick*, but by his* Death the King had but a Possibility if *Thomas* should die during his Minority; for if *And. Quick* had come of full Age, during the Life of *Tho. Quick*, he should never be in Ward, altho' he was within Age at the Time of the Descent of the Remaind. And *Bingham's Case* in the 2 Part of my Reports *f.* 91. proves, that it is but a Possibility: For if after the Descent of the Remaind. and before the Death of the Tenant for Life, the Seigniorship is granted over, and afterwards the Ten't for Life dies, the Heir of him in the Remaind. within Age, neither the Grantor, nor the Grantee shall have the Wardship of him. *Vide* 24 *E.* 3. 25. the Case of the D. of *Lancaster*: But the said Point never came in Question, for by the Feoffm. of *J. Quick* of the said 3 Acres to *Thomas*, the Mesnalty as to the said 3 Acres was extinct, because *T. Quick* had the Reversion of the Mesnalty; so that the Reversion being extinct, no particular Estate of the Mesnalty, either for Life or in Tail, can remain. And that was the clear Opinion of the two *Ch. Justices* and *Ch. Baron.* *Vide* 3 *H.* 6. 1. 15 *E.* 4. 12. & 40 *E.* 3. 14. the Case of Warrant.

2. It was moved, That when the Tenant makes a Feoffment to certain Persons by Collusion, that the Lord ought to recover the Land by Writ of *Right of Ward*, before he shall have a Writ of *Ravishment of Ward*: and therewith agree *F. N. B.* 134. *k.* 12 *H.* 4. 13. *b.* 13 *H.* 6. 16. by *Prisot*, and the Statute of 34 *H.* 8. in Case of Collusion gives a Writ of *Right of Ward* for the Body

(a) Hardr. 22.
Co. Lit. 30. b.
2 Co. 55. 2.
(b) Hardr. 24

(c) Hardr. 24.
Co. Lit. 30. b.

(d) Hardr. 27.
* Polit. 152. 2.

Antea 126. b.

and Land, and therefore in this Case *Northcote* could not seise the Ward till he had recovered the Land; and then it was objected, That the Title of the King by the Death of *Thomas Quick* is in Possession, and shall be preferred before the Title of *Northcote*, which is only in Action. As to that it was resolved, That the Title of Wardship which accrued to him by the Death of *John Quick*, (altho' it should be in Action) should not be devested by the Death of another Ancestor, *s. of Thomas Quick*.

Trin. 9 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Bewley's Case.

Leonard Bewley seised in Fee of an House and certain Lands in *Culgath* in the County of *Cumberland*, died thereof seised 25 *Jan. an. 38 El.* after whose Death it was found by Office, that the said House and Lands, with the Manor of *Culgath*, whereof they were Parcel, by the Attainder of Treason of *Andrew Hartley* were forfeited to King *E. 2.* and afterwards *K. E. 2.* by his Letters Patent granted the said Manor, whereof, &c. to *Morisby* in Fee, *tenend' de nobis & heredibus nostris per servitium medietat' feodi unius militis imperpetuum, & reddend' inde nobis & hered' nostr' per annum ad Scaccar' 10l. & faciend' aliis Capital' Dom' feodi illius si qui fuer', reddi' & servitia que inde debebantur antequam ad manus nostras devenerunt, Salvis nobis & hered' nostr' feod' militum, & advocacionibus ecclesiarum, &c.* and found further the other Points of the Writ: By Office after the Death of *Morisby* anno 22 *E. 3.* it is found, that the Manor of *Culgath*, whereof, &c. is, and before the Attainder of *Hartley* was held of *Robert Nevil* of *Horneby*, *qui illud tenuit de Domino Rege in capite per servitium 16 s. & 8 d. ad cornagium solvend' ad festum assumption' beatæ Mariæ pro toto anno. Et Juratores præd' ulterius dicunt, quod post mortem Christophori de Morisly 3 partes dicti manerii tenentur de Dom' Rege per servitium militare:* And by an Office found anno 29 *E. 3.* and another 48 *E. 3.* and by a Record anno 8 *H. 4.* *in compuo Collectorum rationabilis auxilii, &c.* and by Office anno 17 *H. 8.* and by Office anno 38 *H. 8.* it was found (altho' it was not in one and the same Manner) that the said Manor of *Culgath* was held of the King *per servitium militare.*

Ley de Gard's,
&c. 4.

And

And it was resolved by the two *Chief Justices*, and the *Chief Baron*, that by the Patent of King *E. 2.* the Tenure of the Mesne should be revived, altho' the King in the first Place had reserved to himself other Services, *f.* Knights Service, where the Mesne before the Attainder held of the King in Socage, as appears by the said Office in 22 *E. 3.* and altho' the King had reserved another Rent, yet because the King for his Honour, and in Advancement of the ancient Right according to Equity and Conscience, expressly intended that the Menalty should be revived (which by the Attainder of the Tenant peravail by Rigour of Law, without the Fault of the Mesne was extinct) the Clause of Revivor of the Menalty should be preferred before his Profit; and therefore the Tenant peravail should hold of the Mesne, as he held before the Attainder, and the Restitution of an ancient Right should be preferred. And Sir *J. Molyne's Case* in the 6 *Part of my Reports*, *f.* 5. was affirmed for good Law. *Vide* 2 *E. 3.* 33. *seu* 60. *b.* 8 *E. 3.* 283. (*a*) 17 *E. 3.* 59, (*a*) 6 *Co. 6. a.* *b.* 25 *E. 3.* 46. 46 *E. 3.* *Petition* (*b*) 19. 49 *E. 3.* 10. 22 *Aff.* 11 *Co. 73. b.* 53. 31 *Aff. p.* 30. 4 *H. 6.* 20 33 *H. 6.* 7. *Nota* upon the said Books a Difference betwixt a Creation of a new Tenure, without any aspect to the ancient Right, for there the first Reservation shall stand; and betwixt a Restitution of an ancient Tenure; for that shall be preferred before the Reservation, which is first in Words, *Nota* a good Difference.

Ley de Gards,
&c. 4.6 *Co. 5. b. 6. a.*
(*a*) 6 *Co. 6. a.*
11 *Co. 73. b.*
74. *a. b.*
2 *Inst. 501.*
(*b*) 6 *Co. 6. a.*
Lit Rep. 43.
2 *Inst. 501.*

*Mich. 9 Jacobi Regis.**In Curia Wardorum.*

Thomas Holt's Case.

F*Rancis Holt* the Grandfather had Issue *Thomas Holt* the Father, his eldest Son, and four other Sons, *Thomas* had Issue *Francis*; *Francis* the Grandfather being seised of divers Lands in Fee in the County of *Lancaster*, Part of which were held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite*, and the rest held of others, conveyed Part of his Lands held, and of the other Lands not held, to the Use of *Thom. Holt* the Father, and *Constance* his Wife, yet living, for their Lives, and afterwards to the Use of *Francis* the Son, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, with divers Remainders over in Tail, the Remainder to *Francis* the Grandfather for Life with other Remainders in Tail, the Reversion in Fee to the Right Heirs of *Francis* the Grandfather, and conveyed other Lands held, &c. to the Use of himself for Life, with several Remainders to other of his younger Sons then living, for their Lives severally, the Remainder to *Thomas* the Father for his Life, the Remainder to *Francis* the Grandfather, and to the Heirs Males of his Body, with divers other Remainders, the Reversion in Fee to *Francis* the Grandfather and his Heirs; *Francis Holt* the Grandfather died, *Thomas* the Father being of full Age, who tendred his Livery, and died before Livery sued, or Office found: *Francis* the Son being of full Age, and all this is found by Office, *Francis* the Son continues the Livery, *Constance* the Wife of *Thomas* the Father, and the four younger Sons of *Francis* are yet living. And two Questions were moved in this Case: 1. If the King should have any primer Seisin in this Case in Possession. 2. If he should have any primer Seisin for the Reversion in Fee (expectant upon the said Estates-tail) which descended after the Death of the said *Thomas* the Father.

And

And in this case these points (the case being often debated, and good considerat. had) were resolv'd. As to the first 3 points were resolv'd. 1. That by the death of *Tho.* before livery sued, the K. had lost having any primer seisin after the death of *Fra.* the Grandf. as before in (a) *Northcote's case*, and in (b) *Hale's case in the 8 part of my reports*, and oftentimes it has been resolv'd. And there is a difference betwixt livery or primer seisin, and mean rates, for livery or primer seisin is lost by the death of the Heir, but mean Rates, if any are due, not; for they are absolutely vested in the K. 2. That *Fr.* the son should not sue livery, or pay any primer seisin, because he was out of the stat. of 32 H. 8. & 34 H. 8. as also it has been often times resolved, because after the death of the grandfath. primer seisin was due by the father, and the son living the father is not within the stat. 3. That where the statutes of 32 & 34 H. 8. give the K. primer seisin in case of acts executed, that if the K. has a primer seisin, the stat. is (c) satisfied, and he shall not have of others in remaind. or of the younger sons, &c. as the common experience is in the court of wards. Then it was strongly urged, that in this case for as much as a right and interest of primer seisin was vested in the K. altho' afterwards by the act of God by the death of *Th.* the primer seisin by act in law is discharg'd, yet for as much as the K. should have but one primer seisin, that for the land convey'd to the younger sons the K. should not have any primer seisin; but it should be accounted the laches of the K.'s officers, that they did not force *Th.* to sue livery, or have taken security of him to answer it. But it was resolv'd, that the King should have primer seisin for the lands convey'd to the younger sons in this case, because they are within one of the 3 cases in which wardship and primer seisin are given to the K. by the said acts, s. advancem. of his wife, preferm. of his children, and paym. of his debts: And the reason and cause of this resolution was, that when the said acts give the K. primer seisin, it is intended of an actual and effectual primer seisin, and not of any which is mathematical and imaginary, for the K. ought always to have the full and compleat * effect of the thing which is due to him; and therefore if one who is within the said 3 cases dies before livery, so that the K. has lost his primer seisin, and has not the effect of the stat. the K. shall have primer seisin of others who are within one of the said 3 cases, but not of any other who is out of the said 3 cases) and this resolut. is well prov'd by former resolutions and authorities in the like cases; and therefore if the K. has title to present by lapse *hac vice*, and he presents, and his clerk is admitted and instituted, and dies before induction, the (d) K. shall present again, for he has not the full and compleat effect of his presentat. as it was resolved by Sir *James Dyer* & *totam Curiam* in *Giles Case* 18 *El. in Communi Banco*. So if the King marries a daughter whom he has in (e) ward, *infra annos subiles*, and before the age of consent the Husband dies, the King shall have the marriage of the heir again, because the first marriage was

(a) 1nt. 129. b.

(b) 8 Co. 172. b.

(c) 2 Co 93. b.
Co. Lit. 78. a.* 1 C. 12. a.
Lit. Rep. 135.(d) Cr. Jac. 463.
2 Rol. 353, 354
Lit. Rep. 135.
Dy. 360. pl. 7.
(e) Co. Lit. 79. c.
Lit. Rep. 135
6 Co. 22. b.
Moor 742.
Ley Gards.
&c. 7.

(a) Co. Lit. 10.
19. a. b. 370. b.

not compleat, as it is resolved in *Ambrosia Gores's Case* in the 6 Part of my Reports, f. 22. b. and yet in these Cases a common Person shall be barred. In (a) 6 E. 3. 756. a. b. the Case was such, King H. 3. granted the Honour of *S. Wolsey* with the Advowson of *Mixby* thereto appendant, *Rich. Com' C. 1. 1. b. & Reg' Almanorum*, and to the Heirs of his Body, saving the Reversion to the King, which Earl had Issue *Edmund* his Son, and died, *Edmund* his Son *Ostlav Purp' an. 8 E. 1.* levied a Fine of one Acre of Land Parcel of the said Honour, with the Advowson of the said Church of *Mixby*, to the Bishop of *Rockester*, &c. which Alienation was before the Statute *de Donis Conditionalibus* made anno 13 E. 1. and afterwards the said *Edmund* Earl of *Cornwal* died without Issue. And by the Authority of that Book it appears, that altho' the Alienation was made before the Statute, and *post prolem suscitatum*, the Donees and their Issues *habuerunt potestatem alienandi* to bar the Reversion of common Persons, yet it should not bar the K.'s Reversion, altho' it be with collateral Warranty, if there be not Affets descended, so that the K. may have a full Satisfaction and Recompence, for without Warranty and Recompence it is not such compleat Alienation, because it moved from him who had not a compleat Estate, which should bar the King of his Reversion: And therewith agrees (b) 45 Aff. p. 6. and yet in the Case of a common Person such bare Alienation before the Statute, without any Warranty, or collateral Warranty, without any Alienation would bar the Donor in such Case. As to 2 it was resolved, and so was it affirmed by the Attorney of the Court of Wards, That the Usage had always been in such Cases, that *Francis* being of full Age at the Death of *Thomas* the Father, the King should not have Primer Seisin of the said seck and fruitless Reversion in the Case at Bar; and the Reason is, because the Words of *Prærogativa Regis c. 3.* are (c) *Rex habebit primam seisinam, &c. capiendo exitus eorundam terrarum & tenementorum donce, &c.* So when no Rent or Profit is reserved, the King can't take *exitus, &c.* in such Case, but if the King's Tenant by Knights Service *in Capite* makes a gift in Tail, rendring a yearly Rent or other Profit to him and his Heirs, and dies, his Heir of full Age, there the K. may take Primer Seisin of the Rent or Profit which descends to the Heir for one Year: And so *Nota* for such a seck and fruitless Reversion the Heir shall be in Ward if he be within Age, but shall not pay Primer Seisin for such fruitless Reversion, if he be of full Age at the Time of the Death of the Ancestor.

(b) Co. Lit. 19. b. 370. b.
7 Co. 11. a.
Calvin's Case.
Br. Affets per d. Cent. 21.
Br. Tail 34.
Br. Z. Garranty 68.
Br. Garran. 52.
Plow. 234. a. 553. b.
10 Co. 96. b.
Br. Prærog. 52.
Br. seck parle Roy 5.
10 Co. 80. b.
(c) Stanf. Prærog. 11. b. 12. a. b. &c.

Mich. 9 Jac. Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Matthew Mene's Case.

M *Attbew Mene* the Grandfather being seised in Fee of divers Messuages, Lands, and Tenements in *Kent* of Custom of *Gavelkind*, and of an House held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite*; and the Residue of common Persons in *Socage*, had Issue *Andrew*, who had Issue *Matthew*, *Thomas*, *John*, and *Andrew*: *Andrew* the Father died, *Matthew* the Grandfather by his Will in Writing devised all his said Lands, viz. to *Mat.* eldest Son of *Andrew* the Father one Part, and to the Heirs of his Body, and to *Thomas* second Son of *Andrew* the Father another Part, whereof the House held *in Capite* was Parcel of like Estate; and to the other Sons of the said *Andrew* the Father, other Parts of the like Estate: *Matthew* the Grandfather died seised of the said Messuages, Lands and Tenements, *Matthew* the Son being of the Age of of 15 Years, and all the said Brothers of *Matthew* being alive, and all this was found by Office. And in this Case 2 Questions were moved. 1. Whether the King should have a 3 Part of the Messuage only, and not of the other Lands not held of the King? 2. Admitting he should have a 3 Part of the whole, whether he should have a full 3d Part out of the Part of the eldest Son only, or out of the Part of every Brother? And as to the first it was strongly urged, that the K. should have but a 3 Part of the Land held, and of the third Part of the Part of the eldest Son; and their principal Reason was, because if no Will had been made, the King should have but the 3 Part of that which descended to the eldest Son, and not of the Parts which descended to the younger Sons, for where the Stat. of *Prærogativa Regis c. 1.* saith, *Dominus Rex habebit Custodiam omnium terrarum eorum qui de ipso tenent in Capite per servitium militare, &c. de quocunque tenu-*

10 Co. 80. b.

Stamf. Prærog.
1, 2.

tenuerunt, &c. it is meant, if the Land descends to the same Heir, to whom the Land held descends, but if any Part descends to another Heir, the King shall not have it, and therewith agree 12 E. 4. 18. & *Stamf. prerog.* 8. b. And the Statutes enable one to devise 2 Parts of his Lands for the Benefit of his Children, where the King would have all if no Devise was made, but in all Cases where no Will is made the King would have nothing, there the Stat. gave not any Wardship or Primer or Seisin, altho' a Will be made, and thereby the Land devised to his Sons, for that is not within the Purview of the said Acts. To which it was answered and resolved, That it is true if no Will had been declared, the King should not have the Lands held of others in Socage, which descended to younger Sons, but when by the Will (to which he is enabled by the Statutes) he devises them to his Sons, in such Case the Saving in the same Statutes gives the King Wardship and Primer Seisin; and therefore a stronger Case was agreed for Law, Tenements devisable by Custom in (a) *London* came to King H. 8. by the Dissolution of Abbies, and afterwards the King by his Letters Patents granted them in Fee to hold by Knight's Service in *Capite*, and the Patentee by his Will devises them for Preferment of his Wife, Advancement of his Children, or Payment of his Debts, and dies, his Heir within Age, the King shall have the 3 Part in Ward: And yet the Devise is good for the whole Land by the Custom, without any Help of the said Statutes of 32 & 34 H. 8. And notwithstanding the King in such Case shall have the Wardship of the 3 Part by Force of the said Saving in the said Statutes; and therewith agree 5 Mar. *Dyer* 155. 6 *Eliz.* *Dallison* 4. *Pasch.* 20 *Eliz.* between * *Barbor* and *E.* his Wife Plaintiffs and *William Long* Defendant, in *Partitions facienda*, Judgment given upon a special Verdict reported by *Bendloes* Serjeant: Wherefore it was resolved, that the K. *a fortiori* in the Case at Bar should have a 3 Part of the Whole.

(a) *Dyer* 155. pl. 21.
 Dall. in Kelw. 205. b. 206. a.
 Dall. in Ath. pl. 9.
 Dall. 64. pl. 24.
 75. pl. 60.
 Stile 4-6.
 Moor 70.
 1 *Anderf.* 52, 53, 147.
 Co. Lit. 111. b.
 Benl. in Kel. 214.
 Benl. in Ash. 32.
 N. Benl. 317.
 pl. 300.
 3 Co. 35. a.
 Palm. 543.
 * *Supra* in a.

(b) 10 Co. 84. b.
 8 Co. 173. b.
 (c) 2 Co. 25. b.
 5 Co. 100. a.
 (d) *Dyer* 366.
 Pl. 28.
 3 Co. 31. b.
 1 *Feb.* 57.

As to the second Point, it was also resolved, that the King should have the 3 Part out of every several Part, so that the Charge should be (b) equal, and should not fall upon one only. *Vide* 35 H. 8. *Testaments* (c) *Br.* 19. 4 E. 3. *Assise* 178. *Vide* 21 & 22 *Eliz.* (d) *Dyer* 366. b.

Mich. 9 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Afcough's Case.

It was found by Office, after the Death of *William Afcough* Esq; that *Sir Edw. Afcough* Father of the said *William*, was seised in Fee-Tail of the Manor of *Darcy*, and of the Manor of *Selby* in *Stallingborough* in the County of *Lincoln*, the Remainder to *Francis* his Brother in Tail, and the Remainder to the said *Sir Edward* in Fee, And that the said *William Afcough* was seised in Fee of an House, 10 Acres and an half of Land, 9 Acres of Meadow, and 10 Acres and an half of Pasture in *Stallingborough* aforesaid, and held them of the said *Sir Ed. Afcough*, as of his Manor of *Darcy*, *sed per quæ servitia Juratores ignorant*; and that the said *William* was also seised in Fee of an House, and 40 Acres of Land, &c. in *Owresby* in the said County, and held them of *Sir Thomas Mounson*, as of his Manor of *Owresby* in the same County, and afterwards, upon the Marriage of *William* with *Katharine* the Daughter of *William Henage*, the said *Sir Edward* and *Francis* levied a Fee of the said Manors to the Uses following, *viz.* of some Part in certain of the Manor of *Darcy*, and of some Part in certain of the Manor of *Selby*, to the Use of *William* and *Katharine* for their Lives, and to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said *William*, the Remainder to the said *Sir Edward* in Tail, with other Remainders in Tail,

the

the Remainder to Sir *Edward* in Fee; and for the Residue of the said Manors to the Use of Sir *Edward* for the Term of his Life, and afterwards to the Use of the said *William* and to the Heirs Males of his Body, with diverse Remainders over in Tail, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the said Sir *Edward*; and afterwards the said *William* died, as aforesaid, seized, *Edward Ascough* his Son then being within Age, and that the said Manors of *Darby* and *Selby* are held of the King by Knights Service *in Capite*; and that Sir *Ed. Ascough* the Father, *William* and *Katharine* are yet alive.

And the sole Point in this Case was shortly such. The King Lord, Mesne, who held by Knights Service *in Capite*, Tenant peravail in Socage, the Mesne granted the Mesnalty to the Use of himself for Life, and afterwards to the Use of the Tenant peravail in Tail; if in this Case the Mesnalty be suspended during the Life of the Mesne, by Force of this Remainder in Tail. And it was resolved, that a Remainder in Tail, or for Life, expectant upon an Estate for Life or in Tail, shall never suspend a Mesnalty, Seignory, Rent, &c. For altho' the Remainder vests immediately, yet it can't suspend the present Freehold of the Rent during the Life of the first Tenant for Life, because the Tenant for Life is Tenant to the Lord or to him in Reversion as long as he lives, and he shall do the Services, and the Avowry shall be made upon him, for he is the very Tenant by the Manor; and during his Life the Heir of him in the Remainder in Tail shall not be Tenant, &c. and as a Seignory, Rent, &c. can't be suspended in Part and *in esse* for Part, in Respect of the Land out of which it is issuing; so a Seignory, Rent, &c. can't be suspended in Remainder, and *in esse* for a particular Estate in Possession, for then (b) Fractions of Estates would ensue, and particular Estates would be created without Donors or Lessors, against the Rules and Maxims of the Law. But in this Case aforesaid, if the Mesne grants his Mesnalty to one for Life, or in Tail, the Remainder to the Tenant peravail in Fee; there the Mesnalty is extinct, because he has as high an Estate in the Inheritance of the Mesnalty as he has in the Tenancy, and there is not Possibility of reviving the Mesnalty. And in the same Case the Mesnalty is not extinct for the Inheritance, and *in esse* for the particular Estate for Life, or in Tail, in Possession; but the Mesnalty by the Remainder in Fee is extinct in the Whole, for otherwise in the same Case this Absurdity would ensue, *sc.* that there would be a Fee-simple of the Tenancy peravail, and also a Fee-simple of the Seignory.

(a) 1 Ventr. 277.

(b) 1 Co. 45.

Seignory paramount, and but an Estate for Life, or in Tail of the Mesnalty only; and so a Tenancy in Fee-simple would be only held of a Mesnalty for Life, or in Tail, and a Seignory in Fee would be issuing out of a Mesnalty for Life or in Tail only, which is impossible, and by no Means can be. Vide 3 H. 6. 1. 15 E. 4. 12.

Nota Reader I conceive, That if the Lord grants his Seignory for Years, the Remainder to the Tenant peravall for Life, in this Case the Seignory is suspended, because the Tenant for Life has the Freehold of the Seignory, and he is Tenant to every *Præcipe* of the Seignory, as in the Case of *Lit. lib. 2. c. Attorn. f. 128.* if (a) Land is leased to a Man for Term of Years, the Remainder to another for Term of Life; and afterwards the Lessor grants over the Reversion, and he in the Remainder for Life attorns, it is a good Attornment, and shall bind the Lessee for Years, without any Attornment made by him, for he was Tenant of the Freehold; and at the Common Law the Termor for Years was subject, and under the Power of the Tenant of the Freehold, for he should not (b) falsify a Recovery at the Common Law against the Tenant of the Freehold, because he had not a Chattel. And where it is said in this Case, that the Seignory can't be suspended in Part, and *in esse* for Part, as it is held in 32 H. 8. Tit. (c) *Extinguishment Br. 48.* that is regularly true, but *habet hæc regula plures fallentias*, all which may be well explained with this Difference between the Act of the Party, and Act in Law, or Act of the Party: For by Act of the Party, be it rightful or wrongful the whole Seignory, &c. is suspended, and therefore if the Lord, or Lessor disseises or (d) ousts the Tenant or Lessee of any Part, the whole is suspended, as it is held in 11 E. 3. *Assavit 21. 7 H. 6. 26. a. 35 H. 6. Avowry 46. (e) 9 E. 4. a. 4 H. 7. 6. b. (f) 32 H. 8. aforesaid.* And the Book in 1 E. 4. 29. a. is misprinted, for there it is said, *quod fuit nectum* by all, where it should be *quod fuit concessum* by all the Justices. Vide 9 E. 3. 7. The Law is the same, if the Lord takes a Lease of any Part of the Tenancy, the whole Seignory is suspended, as it is resolved in 32 H. 8. aforesaid. So if a (h) Commoner takes a Lease of any Part of the Land, in which, &c. the whole Common is suspended; and he therewith agrees 11 H. 6. 22. a. b. But in the Case of Rent-Service, if the Lord purchases Part of the Tenancy in Fee, Part is extinct, and in *esse* for the Residue. Now, if the other Part of the Difference by Act in Law, a Seignory may be suspended in Part, and *in esse* for Part; and therefore, (k) if the Lord seises the Wardship of the Land of his Tenant by Knights Service, now the Seignory is suspended, but if the Guardian endows the Wife of the Tenant of the third Part of the Tenancy, now the third Part of the Seignory is revived, and the Tenant in

(a) Co. Lit. 316. b.
Lit. Sect. 571.
Lit. 129. a. b.

(b) F.N.B. 198. e.
Cr. El. 284, 718.

6 Co. 57. a.
Plowd. 83. b.
7 H. 7. 11. b.
2 Inft. 322.

Co. Lit. 46. a.
Br. Fauxifier de Recovery 25.

(c) 3 Keb. 500, 558.

1 Rol. 938.
Co. Lit. 148. b.
1 Ventr. 277.

(d) Co. Lit. 148. b.

1 Rol. 938.

(e) 3 Co. 22. b.
Br. Apportionment 7.

Br. Bar 39.

Co. Lit. 148. b.

(f) 32 H. 8. Br. Extinguishment 48.

(g) Co. Lit. 148. b.

(h) 1 Anderf. 159.

1 Leon. 43, 44.
Gldsb. 53.

8 Co. 79. a.

(i) Lit. Sect. 222.

Co. Lit. 147. b.

148. a.

(k) Co. Lit. 148. b.
1 Rol. 939.

(a) 1 Rol. 685. in dower shall be (a) attendant to the guardian for 3 parts of
 8 Co. 36. a. the services, because the tenant in dower is in by act in law,
 (b) Co. Lit. as it is held in 33 E. 3. *Dower* (b) 138. and for the same
 148. b. Reason, if a man (c) seised of lands in fee takes a wife, and
 (c) Co. Lit. infeoffs another, the feoffee grants a rent-charge to the hus-
 150. a. band and wife, and to the heirs of the husband, the husband
 dies, the wife is endowed of the 3 part of the land, out of
 (d) Co. Lit. as it is adjudged in *Furden's case*, 5 E. 2. (d) *Avowry* 206
 150. a. *Vide* 30 (e) *Aff. p.* 12. where a rent-charge shall be suspended
 (e) Br. Appor- in part, and *in esse* for part by act in law; and 29 *Aff. pl.* 10
 tionment. 11. If guardian in knights service seises the land of one daugh-
 Br. Extinguish- ter and heir within age, the other daughter being of full age,
 ment. 29. there the seignory is suspended for one moiety, and *in esse*
 Co. Lit. 148. b. for another moiety: So if two (f) coparceners are of a seig-
 (f) Co. Lit. niory, and one disseises the ter-tenant, or comes to the land
 148. b. by defeasible title, the other may distrain her for her moiety
 of the seignory, for the act of her coparcener can't prejudice
 her in such case. And where it is said in the case before
 that where the tenant makes a lease for life, or a gift in tail
 the remainder over in fee, that the tenant for life, or donee
 in tail is very tenant by the manner to the lord paramount
 it is true that at the com. law there are (g) four manner of
 (g) Co. Lit. avowries for rents, services, &c. 1. By reason of a tenure
 269. a. b. upon one as upon his very tenant, and that is when the lord
 Doct. pl. 319, has fee in the seignory, and the tenant has Fee in the tenan-
 320. cy, *ut super verum tenentem suum*: 2. Upon one as upon his
 Raym. 257. very tenant by the manner, *ut super verum tenentem suum*
in forma pred'; and that is when the tenant makes a lease
 for life, or a gift in tail, with the remainder in fee, in that
 case, if the lord has fee in the seignory, he shall avow upon
 the tenant for life, or donee in tail, as upon his very tenant
 by the manner. 3. Upon one as upon his tenant by the man-
 ner omitting this word (*very*) and that is when the lord has
 a particular estate in a seignory, as an estate in tail, estate
 for life, or less interest *super tenentem suum in forma pred'*
 so shall the donor upon the donee, the lessor upon the lessee
 for life, or years. 4. Upon the matter in the land, as within his
 fee and seignory; as where the ten't by knights service makes
 a lease for life rendering rent, and dies, his heir within age
 the guardian shall make such avowry upon the lessee, *sc. su-
 per materiam pred' in terris & tenementis pred' ut infra
 feodum & dominium suum*. And all these forms of avowry
 you will find in your books 20 H. 6. 9. 2 H. 4. 24. 12 E. 4.
 2. 26 H. 6. *Avowry* 17. 9 El. Dy. 257. a. 5 H. 7. 11. 7 E. 4. 24. 20 E.
Avowry 131. 47 E. 3. f. ult' 38 H. 6. 23. But now by the Stat
 21 H.

²¹ H. 8. cap. 19. The Lord (*a*) may avow the Taking of (*a*) Ant. 23. b. the Distress within the Tenancy, as in Lands or Tene-^{36. a.}ments within his Fee or Seigniory, without making any Avowry upon a Person certain; but the Lord has Liberty, Co. Lit. 268. b. if he will, to make his Avowry according to the Common^{269. b.} Law.

Hill. 9 Jac. Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Thoroughgood's Case.

IT was found by Office in the County of Cambridge, 21 Jan. anno 36 Eliz. by Force of a Writ of *Diem clausis extremum* after the Death of Robert Thoroughgood, that he was seised in Fee of an House, &c. and divers Lands and Tenements in Tadlowe in the County aforesaid, and that the said House, &c. was held of the King in Chief by Knights Service; and he being thereof so seised, fecit & sigillavit in dicto messuagio quoddam scriptum indentatum, in hæc verba: To all Christian People, &c. Robert Thoroughgood sendeth greeting, &c. Know ye, that I the said Robert for divers good Causes, &c. have given, granted, and enfeoffed, and by these Presents do give, grant, enfeoff and confirm to Henry Hutton and Edward Eliot all that my capital Messuage, &c. Lands and Tenements, &c. Habendum unto the said Henry Hutton, and Edward Eliot, and their Heirs, &c. dat' 18 Julii anno 35 Eliz. Et ulterius dicunt, quod præd' Robert' jacens in extremis deliberavit in præd' messuagio præd' 18 Julii scriptum præd' indentatum præfatis Henrico Hutton & Edwardo Eliot pro & in nomine seisine præd' messuagii & omnium residuorum terrarum & tenementorum in dicto scripto indentato contentorum: And further found the other Points of the Writ. And upon this Case 2 Questions were moved; 1. If in this Case the Jury have found a sufficient Delivery of the Indenture, to make it a Deed in Law. 2. If this delivery of the Indenture in the House, in the Name of Seisin of the House and of the Residue of the Lands and Tenements aforesaid was a sufficient Livery of Seisin in Law, or not. And to the First, it was resolved, that the actual (a) Delivery of a Writing sealed to the Party, without any Words

2) 1 Rol. 21.
Co. Lit. 36. a.
49. b.
Cr. El. 356, 357.
Dall. 104.

is a good delivery; for *in traditionibus scriptorum non quod dictum est, sed quod gestum est inspicitur*: But here he saith, *I deliver this writing to you*, which clearly is sufficient, altho' he doth not say, as (a) his deed or as his act. And therefore if A. makes a writing to B. and seals it, and delivers it to B. as an escrow, to take effect as his deed when certain conditions are perform'd, it has been adjudg'd to be immediately his deed, for the law respects the delivery to the party himself, and rejects the words which will make the express delivery to the party upon the matter no delivery. And therefore in *Mich. 12 H. 8. Rot. (b) 751. in Banco, Anne Quilter* late wife of *John Quilter*, and others, executors of the will of the said *John Quilter*, brought an action of *Debt* against *Ed. Cobham* on a bond, &c. the def. pleaded that he deliver'd the bond to the testator as a schedule, upon condition if the pl. made indentures between the def. *ex una parte, & præfat' Testator' ex altera parte, de certis conditionib', convent' & agreement' inter easd' partes ad tunc concord'*, &c. *pro admulatione præd' script' oblig'*, &c. *ante festum Mich' Archang' deliberand' qd' extunc præd' script' obligator' in omni suo robore stare, sin aliter, vacua foret: Et id' defendens dicit qd' præd' testat' non fecit aliquam indent': &c. Et sic id' defendens dicit, qd' script' præd' in forma præd' deliberat' dictis indent' inter easd' partes minime confectis non est factum suum, & hoc, &c.* Judgm. if action? And thereupon the pl. demurr'd in law, and it was resolv'd that the said delivery was good in law, altho' the condition was not perform'd, and the pl's had judgm. to recover. And (c) *Tr. 13 H. 8. rot. 405. in Banco, between T. Bodenham* esq; pl. and *Edw. Marmion* clerk def. in *Debt* on a bond the like plea pleaded, and a demurrer upon it, and judgm. given for the pl. which judgments upon search which I commanded to be made) I have seen. And therewith agrees the report of 19 (d) *H. 8. 8. a.* and takes the difference when it is so delivered to the party himself, and when to a stranger, as it was there agreed. 35 *Aff. p. 6. (e) a* writing may take effect by actual delivery to the party himself without any words: And as a writing may take effect by actual delivery without words, so it may take effect by words without actual delivery: As if a writing is sealed, and it lies in a window, or upon a (f) table, and the obligor saith to the obligee, see there's the writing, take it as my deed, and he takes it accordingly, it is a good delivery in law: in the same manner as if one (g) makes a Charter of feoffment, and within the view of his land, saith to another, see you the land enter into it and enjoy it according to the form and effect of this charter, and the feoffee enters, it amounts to a good livery of seisin of the land: And if words in such case shall amount to a livery of seisin, by which a freehold shall pass, a *fortiori* words shall amount to a delivery

(a) Dall. 104.
2 Rol. 24.
* Cr. El. 520,
835, 836, 884.
Co. Lit. 36. a.
2 Rol. 26, 27.
Moor 642, 696,
697.
Noy 6, 50.
Cr. Jac. 85, 86.
Stile 251.
Hob. 2, 6.
(b) 2 Rol. 26.

(c) 2 Rol. 26,

(d) 2 Rol. 26.

(e) Co. Lit.
49. b. 36. a.
2 Rol. 24.
Cr. El. 7, 356.
Dall. 104.

(f) Cr. El. 122,
356.
Owen 95.
Co. Lit. 36. a.
1 Leon. 140.
2 Rol. 24.
(g) 2 Rol. 6, 7.
Co. Lit. 48. b.
Palm. 434.

of a deed; wherefore it was concluded *a fortiori* in the case at bar, when *Rob. Thoroughgood* delivered the writing to the parties, saying, *Here I deliver you this (a) writing*, it is a good delivery thereof to take effect as a deed: *Vide* 33 *Aff.* 2. 33 *E.* 3. *Affise* 367. 43 *E.* 3. 28. 13 *H.* 4. 8. 8 *H.* 6. 26. 9 *H.* 6. 37 & 59. *Vide* 4 *H.* 6. 5. If the obligor delivers the bond to the obligee to redeliver to him, the obligee may detain the bond for ever, and these words to redeliver to him are void. *Vide* 29 *H.* 8. 34 & 35

(a) 2 Ro. 24.

(b) Dy. 34, 35.

pl. 25.

Cr. El. 835.

2 Rol. 27.

(c) Cr. El. 835, 836.

2 Rol. 27.

Noy 50.

Co. Lit. 36 a.

(d) Moor 458.

Co. Lit. 48. a.

56 b. 57. a.

Owen 22.

6 Co. 26. b.

Cr. Jac. 80.

(b) *Dyer*, & *Trin.* 43 *El.* between (c) *Hawskston* and *Catcher* in *B. R.* where some opinions *ex improviso* were conceived, that the obligor might deliver a bond as an escrow to the obligee; but believe you the said judgments given upon demurrer in law in the point: Wherefore as to the first point it was clearly resolved, that the said writing sealed took effect as a deed by the delivery aforesaid.

As to the 2d point, first it was clearly resolv'd, that the (d) delivery of the deed upon the land, doth not amount to a livery, for it has another effect, *sc.* to take effect as a deed, as it is resolved in *Sharp's case an.* 42 *El. in Com' Banco* reported by me in the 6 part of my reports f. 26. and there it is well agreed, that to every livery of seisin there is requisite, either an act which the law adjudges livery, or apt words which amount to it, and there the case of 43 *E.* 3. *Feoffments* & (e) *Faits* 51. is cited, which is to this effect: In *Affise* the recognitors found a special verdict, *f.* that the Pl. was seised of land in fee, and the ten't drew and engrossed a charter of Feoffment of the land in view, &c. in the name of the pl. to the ten't himself and his heirs, and the ten't delivered the charter to the pl. and pray'd him to deliver seisin in the same land, and the pl. would not deliver seisin, but he delivered back the charter to the ten't upon the land, and the ten't kept himself in, and if the delivery of the charter upon the land was a sufficient livery of seisin, was the question, and there *Kirton* justice said, if the plaint. had spoke in this manner, when he delivered the charter to the ten't, Sir I deliver to you this charter in the name of seisin of all the lands and tenements contained in the charter, it had been a good delivery of seisin, but so he doth not do in this case, wherefore the court awarded that the pl. should recover seisin. And it was resolved, that altho' most properly livery of seisin is made by delivery of a twig or (f) turf of the land it self, whereof livery of seisin is to be given; and so it is good to be observed, yet a delivery of a turf or twig growing upon other land; of a piece of gold or silver, or other thing upon the land in the name of seisin, is sufficient, for the turf or twig which grows upon the land, when it is severed is not parcel of the land, and when the feoffor is upon the land, his words without any act are sufficient to make livery of seisin; as if he saith, I deliver seisin of this land to you in the name of all the land contained in this deed; or, enter you into this land, and take seisin

(f) Co. Lit.

48. 2.

6 Co. 25. 2.

Poph. 49.

Perk. 43. 2.

of it in the Name of all the Land contained in this Deed, or such other Words, without any Ceremony or Act done; and that is the Reason that the Delivery of any Thing upon the Land in the Name of Seisin is sufficient, because his Words alone without any Thing were sufficient; for if words alone out of the Land which is within the View are sufficient in Law, *a fortiori* when they are spoke upon the Land itself; and yet it is not wisely done to omit usual Ceremonies and Acts in such Cases, for they imprint a better Remembrance of the Thing which is done, because they are subject to sight, than Words alone, which are only heard, and which easily and usually slip out of Memory: Wherefore it was resolved, That the Delivery of the Deed upon the Land in the Name of Seisin was sufficient in Law. And the said Case of *Sharp* was affirmed for good Law in this Case. 3. It was resolved, That this Delivery of the Writing amounted to two several Acts at one and the same instant, *viz.* to deliver the Writing as a Deed, and to deliver Seisin of the Land according to the Deed.

Pasch. 10 Jacobi Regis.

In Curia Wardorum.

Beaumont's Case.

1 Jones 393,
394.
Cr. Jac. 476,
477.
2 Inf. 681,
682.

SIR *Humphry Foster* seised in Fee of the Scite of the Monastery of *Gracedieu*, and other Lands in Question, gave them to *John Beaumont* and *Elizabeth* his Wife, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, the Remainder in Fee to *John Beaumont*; an. 6 E. 6. *John Beaumont* levied a Fine *come ceo* to King E. 6. his Heirs and Successors with Proclamations, King E. 6. anno 7. granted the said Scite, &c. by his Letters Patent to *Francis* Earl of *Huntington* and his Heirs, *John Beaumont* died, after whose Death *Elizabeth* within five Years entred claiming her Estate, the said *Francis* E. of *Huntington* died, *Henry* his Son and Heir an. 16 El. by Indenture reciting the said Gift by Sir *Hump. Foster* to the said *John* and *Elizabeth* his Wife in special Tail, and that *Elizabeth* was then seised in her Demesne as of Fee-Tail, by Force of the said Gift, ratified, allowed and confirmed to the said *Elizabeth* her Estate, *Habendum* the Lands to her and to the Heirs of the Body of the said *J. Beaumont* deceased, and of the said *Elizabeth*. The said *Elizabeth* died seised, having Issue *Francis Beaumont* one of the Justices of the Com. Pleas, Son and Heir of both their Bodies. *Francis Beaumont* entred into the said Scite, &c. and took the Profits, &c. and afterwards accepted a Fine with Proclamations *sur Conuison de droit tantum* of two Strangers, with a Render for ninety nine Years after the Death of the said *Francis*, if Ann
hi

his wife should so long live, the proclamations past, *Fr. Beaumont* having issue *Sir Hen. Beaumont* his elder son, and *J.* his younger son, dy'd *Sir H.* being in ward to *Q. E.* attained to his full Age *an. 45 El.* and before livery, by indenture 2 *Jacobi* covenanted upon good consideration to stand seised to the use of himself and the heirs males of his body, and afterwards to the use of *J. Beaumont* his brother and the heirs males of his body, with divers remainders over; *Sir Henry* died without issue male, having issue *Barbara*, who now is of tender years, and inward to the *K.* The question was, whether the said scite and land belong'd to *Barbara*, or to the said *J. Beaumont*: And in this case 2 points were moved and argued by counsel on both parts, *s.* in the terms of *Trin. Mich. & Hill.* by *Coventry, Tho. Crew,* and *G. Crooke* on the *K's* part, and by *Finch, Walter,* and *Harris* serjeant on the part of the heir male: And the first point was, if by the fine levied with proclamations, and the death of *J. Beaumont*, the wife had but an estate for life dispensible of waste, as ten't in tail after possibility of issue extinct? The 2 admitting she had an estate tail, what is wrought by the said confirm. if thereby the issue in tail shall inherit or not? As to the first it was objected, that by the fine levied by the (a) husband, the estate-tail was barred, because the issue ought to make himself heir of both their bodies, as it is adjudged in 18 *El. 351. b.* So, and for the same reason, if one donee is (b) attainted of treason, the estate-tail is extinct, as it is expressly held in 16 *El. Dyer. 332. b.* and therewith agrees (c) 5 *H. 7. 32. b.* by *Brian* ch. just. of the bench, from thence it follows, that the wife can't be seised of an estate-tail, because the estate-tail by fine was barred and extinct; and therefore for necessity of reason, the estate of the wife shall be (d) chang'd into an estate for life dispensible of waste: And it was resembled to the case in 7 *H. 4. 16. b.* husband and wife ten'ts in special tail are (e) divorced (which is intended of a divorce which dissolves the marriage *ab initio*, and the husband and wife a *vinculo matrimonii*) the donees have but an estate for their lives, because the estate-tail is determined and extinct: It was also urged, that if the wife should have an estate in tail, then she might (f) suffer a recovery, or levy a fine, and so bar the conusee of her husb. or prevent the *K.* of his forfeiture for treason, which would be against the resolutions aforesaid reported by the *L. Dyer.* Then if the estate is converted into an estate for life dispensible of waste (g) in the nature of a ten't in tail after possibility of issue extinct, sequitur that the confirmat. enlarges her estate, and makes *Barbara* daughter of *Sir Henry* inheritable to the Land.

But admitting for the argument of the second point, That the said *Elizabeth* had an estate in special tail, the reversion expectant to the said *Henry* Earl

T 3

(a) 8 Co. 72. a. b.
 Dy. 351. pl. 24.
 2 Inst. 681. Hob.
 257, 333. 1 Le-
 on. 84. 157.
 Moor 147.
 1 Brownl. 140.
 Dall. in Keiw.
 205. pl. 7. Dall.
 in Ath. pl. 7.
 Dall 50 pl. 16.
 1 Ander 39. pl.
 101. Godb. 312.
 N Benl 225 pl.
 578. Benl. in
 Ash' pl 27 Benl.
 in Kclw 215. pl.
 27. 2 Rol. Rep.
 321. Moor 28.
 pl. 92. 114. pl.
 256. Cr. Car.
 478. 1 Jones 40.
 1 Rol. Rep. 424.
 1 Co. 87. b.
 Lit. Rep. 291.
 (b) Ho. 257.
 8 Co. 72. a. b.
 Moor 147.
 1 And. 39. pl.
 102. Raym. 6, 7.
 Godb. 312, 325.
 2 Rol. Rep. 321.
 Moor 114. pl.
 256. Dy. 332. pl.
 27. Hob. 346.
 Cr. Car. 478.
 1 Brownl. 139,
 140. 1 Jones 40.
 (c) 2 Inst. 681.
 Godb. 312.
 5 H. 7. 33. a.
 (d) 2 Inst. 682.
 (e) Post. 141. a.
 2 Inst. 682. Br.
 Tail 9. Br E-
 state 11. Br.
 Deraignm. &
 Devorce 13.
 Co. Lit. 22. a.
 (f) Post. 142. b.
 Hob. 259. 1 Le-
 on. 157. 1 Rol.
 Rep 424. 2 Rol.
 Rep. 427.
 Winch. 43.
 (g) 11 Co. 80 a.
 Dr. & Stud.
 lib. 2. cap. 1. Lit.
 sect. 34. 12 H. 4.
 3. b. 4. a. 10 H. 6.
 1. b. 45. E. 3. 25.
 a 18 E. 3. 52. b.
 11 H. 4. 14 b. 15.
 a. 11 H. 6. 1. b.
 of 2 Rol. 826, 828.
 1 Rol. Rep. 100,
 Lit. 27. b. F. N.

179, 184. West Symb. 180. b. 6 Co. 41. a. 2 Inst. 302, 306. 4 Co. 63. a. C.
 B. 58. p. 39 h. 3. 16. a. b.

of *Huntingden* to take effect in possession (in respect of the said fine levied by the husband) immediately after the death of the said *Elizabeth*, then the 2 point is, if against the said confirmation made by him who has the reversion in fee expectant as is aforesaid, he shall enter into the land after the death of *Elizabeth*, or if the confirmation to *Elizabeth* in tail *ut supra*, makes the issues of the said *John* and *Elizabeth* inheritable; and it was strongly urged, that the Issues should be inheritable by the said confirmat. for 2 reasons, one, in respect of the estate of him who has made the confirm. by way of extraction of a new estate out of the reversion; the other, in respect of the estate of him to whom the confirmation is made, by way of incorporat. and alterat. of the quality of the estate: As to the first, the said Earl has the entire reversion in fee, out of which he may raise and create as many estates in tail one after the other, as he will, and therefore when he confirms the estate of the wife, to have and to hold to her and to the heirs of the body of the said *John* and *Elizabeth*, thereby the E. has excluded himself and his heirs by express words, so long as the said *John* and *Elizabeth* have heirs of their bodies to claim the land: As if a feme (a) covert be ten't for term of her life, the reversion over in fee, if he in reversion confirms the estate of the husband and wife, to have and to hold to them for term of their lives, in that case the husb. shall have an Estate for life after the death of his wife, for it would be against reason, that he who has the reversion in fee, out of which he may derive as many estates for lives as he will, should enter into the land after the death of the wife, during the life of the husb. against his own confirmat. when the husb. had such estate upon which the confirmat. might enure, by way of extraction of a new estate out of the reversion; and therewith agree *Lit. f.* 120, 121. b. & (b) 17 E. 3. 68. b. So in the case at bar, it would be against reason that the E. who made the confirmat. after the death of *Elizabeth* should enter into the land, against the limitation of his own confirmation, viz. so long as the said *John* and *Elizabeth* have heirs of their bodies. Secondly, in respect of the estate of the said *Elizabeth*, for this confirmation alters the quality of her estate, and thereby incorporates a new quality in the estate; for where the E. after the death of *Elizabeth*, might have entred and excluded all the heirs in tail, now by this confirmation he has added this enlarging quality, to make all the heirs in tail inheritable. And that a Confirmation may alter or add to the quality of the estate in land appears in our books; and therefore, if the lessor confirms the estate of his lessee for life, and adds this clause without (c) impeachment of waste, it is good. So if the

(a) Plowd.
21. b. 160. a.
Cr. Car. 478.
Lit. sect. 67 c.
Co. Lit. 299. a. b.
Cr. El. 153.
Keiw. 129. a.

(b) Co. Lit.
297. d.
Fitz. Confirm.
9.
(c) 2 Co. 23. a.
72. a. 32. a.
11 Co. 82. b.
83. b.
1 Rol. Rep. 192.
2 Rol. Rep. 325.
Moor 13, 317.
327.
2 Inst. 146.
Hob. 132.
Popnam 193.
194, 105.
4 Co. 63. a.
Larch. 269.
270.
Bridgm. 102.
Dyer 47. pl. 11.
Plowd. 132. b.
Cr. Jac. 216.
2 Rol. 835.
9 Co. 9. a.
Heth. 77.
Co. Lit. 227. a.
15 H. 6. 62. b.
4 E. 4. 36. a.

the lord paramount confirms the estate of the mesne with clause of acquittal, it is good, 6 E. 3. 7. 19 H. 6. 63. b. F. N. B. 136. Vide 4 E. 4. 35. (a) *Isabel de Upsy's case*. So a confirmat. may alter the quality of the estate of the land; as if the estate of the feoffee is upon condit. the feoffor may confirm his estate absolute, and so alter the quality of the estate of the land, s. from (b) conditional into absolute, * 7 H. 6, 7. b. and *Mayowe's case* in the 1 part of my reports f. 146. So in 49 E. 3. 7. a. b. If the lord of (c) ancient demesne confirms the estate of the ten't, to hold by certain services *ad communem legem*, altho' the estate of the ten't is not changed, nor any transmutat. of the possession, yet the quality of his estate is changed, for the ten't shall not be afterwards impleaded by petit writ of *right close*, and the land by the confirmat. is discharged from the customs of the manor. So in the case at bar, altho' the estate of *Elizabeth* is not changed, nor any transmutat. of possession had, yet the quality of the estate of the said *Elizabeth* is changed, by incorporating of a quality to the estate of the said *Elizabeth*, s. that the heirs in tail shall inherit.

As to the first point, it was answered and resolved, that the (d) wife after the death of her husband had an estate in special tail; and for the better understanding of the true reason thereof, let us see, by what law the estate of the wife shall be altered and changed to an estate for life, and first, it was resolved, that it was not by the com. law, for at the com. law, if lands had been given to husb. and wife, and to the heirs of their two bodies, and after issue the husb. had aliened and died, this alienat. had not barred neither the wife, nor the issue in tail, because the husband alone had not *potestatem alienandi*, for as much as he had an undivided estate jointly with his wife, and therewith agree 12 H. 4. (e) *Formedon* 15. 21 E. 3. 45. and by the Stat. of W. 2. *de donis conditionalibus*, it is enacted, that a fine levied by ten't in tail *ipso jure sit nullus*. As to the case *an. 16 El. Reg'* of treason whereof the husband is (f) attainted, it must be known, that such bar and forfeiture is made by the Stat. (g. of 26 H. 8. c. 13. by which it is enacted, That every offender convicted of high Treason, &c. shall lose and forfeit to the King, his heirs and successors, all such lands, &c. whereof any such offender shall have any estate of inheritance: But in the same act there is a saving to every person (other than the offenders, their heirs and successors,) all rights, titles, interests, &c. So that it appears, that the estate of the wife, if she survives her husband, is saved by this act, and that the bar by the Statute is only as to the issues in tail, and not as to the wife, and the reason of the resolution that the heir is disabled in such case is, because he ought in his lineal conveyance to make himself heir as well to the father as to the mother by the opinions of *Catlyn, Wray, Saund-*

(a) 4 E. 4. 36 a.
 (b) 1 Co. 147. b.
 Poph. 51. Co.
 Lit. 300. a.
 Postea 142. a.
 * Postea 142. a.
 (c) 1 Rol. 324.
 Fitz. ancient
 Demesne 42.
 Br. ancient De-
 mesne 8.
 Postea 142. a.
 (d) Ci. Cal.
 478 Cr. Jac.
 68, 1 C. 87. b.
 Hob. 257. 259.
 1 Jon: 575.
 Godb. 317, 325.
 Dy. 351. pl. 24.
 N. Benl. 225.
 pl. 257. 1 Ander.
 39. pl. 101 Benl.
 in Ash. pl. 27.
 Benl. in Kelw.
 205. pl. 7. 213 b.
 pl. 17. Dall. 50.
 pl. 16.
 Winch 43.
 (e) Ant. 26 b.
 (f) Dy. 332.
 pl. 27. Hob. 257,
 346. Cr. Car.
 478. 8 Co. 72. a.
 b. Moor 147.
 1 Ander. 39. pl.
 102. Raym. 6. 7.
 2 Rol. Rep. 321.
 Godb. 312, 325.
 1 Jones 40.
 Moor 114 pl.
 256. 1 Brownl.
 139, 140.
 (g) 3 Co. 10 b.
 1 Rol. Rep. 162.
 2 Rol. Rep. 314,
 315, 318, 319,
 320, 321, 323,
 324, 325, 340,
 374, 416, 418,
 420, 501, 503,
 507, 508.
 1 Jones 70, 71,
 75, 76, 77, 80.
 1 Co. 24. a. 7 Co.
 33. a. 34. b. 12.
 Co. 6. 3. Inst. 19.
 4. Inst. 42 2 And.
 34. Palm. 439.
 Hct. 151, 157.
 Co. Lit. 372. b.
 352. b. Dy. 332.
 pl. 27 343. pl. 56
 Co. Ent. 422 a.
 Plow. 552. b.
 Godb. 300, 303,
 307, 308, 309,
 311, 313, 315,
 1 Leon. 21 Cr. Car. 428.

(a) Dy. 351.
 pl. 24.
 8 Co. 72. a. b.
 2 Inst. 681.
 Hob. 257, 333.
 Moor 147.
 1 Brownl. 142.
 Dall. in Kelw.
 205. pl. 7.
 Dal. in Ash.
 pl. 7.
 Dall. 50. pl. 16.
 1 Anderf. 39.
 pl. 101.
 Godb. 312.
 N. Benl. 225.
 pl. 257.
 Benl. in Ash.
 pl. 27.
 Benl. in Kelw.
 213. pl. 27.
 2 Rol. Rep. 321.
 Moor 28. pl. 90.
 114. pl. 255.
 Cr. Car. 478.
 1 Jones 40.
 1 Leon. 84. 157.
 1 Co. 87. b.
 1 Rol. Rep. 424.
 Lit. Rep. 291.
 (b) 3 Co. 77. b.
 85. b. 87. a. b.
 88. a. b. 89. a.
 90. a. b. 91. a.
 9 Co. 105. b.
 13 Co. 20.
 Savil 85, 88,
 106, 107.
 1 Anderf. 170.
 2 Anderf. 176.
 Co. Lit. 262. a.
 326. a. 372. a.
 (c) 10 Co. 50. a.
 Moor 115, 146.
 1 Anderf. 46.
 Savil 85, 88.
 1 Bullf. 33.
 Co. Lit. 237. a.
 Goldsb. 11.
 3 Co. 51. a.
 Hob. 257, 258.
 7 Co. 32. a. b.
 11 Co. 75. a.
 1 Leon. 244.
 2 Leon. 62, 224.
 3 Leon. 10.
 (d) Supra in a.
 (e) 1 Rol. 878.
 Cr. El. 513, 514.
 Hob. 258.
 2 And. 44. 45.
 Moor 455.
 Cr. Car. 478,
 479.

ders and Dyer. And as to the said case (a) of the fine with proclamation in 18 Reg. El. levied by the husb. alone, the bar is made by the Stat. of 4 H. 7. c. 24. § (c) 32 H. 8. c. 36. and in the Stat. of 4 H. 7. there is a saving for the wife, if she brings her action or lawful entry within 5 years after she shall be uncovert, as she did in this case, and by the Statute of 32 H. 8. the fine levied with proclamat. of lands intailed to him who levies the fine, or any of his ancestors, shall be a sufficient bar against the said person and his heirs claiming only by force of any such intail, and against other persons, claiming only to their use, or to the use of any manner of heir of their body, in which case there needs not any saving for strangers, for the purview of the act is special, and *secundum quid*, viz. against the heirs in tail, and others claiming to their use; and therefore *distinguendum est*, that the fine with proclamations levied by the husb. or the attainder of the husb. of high treason is a bar to the estate tail, *quoad* the issues in tail, but not *quoad* the Wife, but that she surviving shall be seised of an estate tail, which estate is saved to her by all the said acts: and that is proved by the said book of (d) 18 Eliz. for there the husb. being jointly seised with his wife in special tail, levied a fine with proclamations, to the use of himself and his heirs (which fine is a bar to the issues in tail) and afterwards the husb. devised the land to the wife for life, and died, there the wife entred and waived the estate-tail, claiming for life by force of the devise, which proves, that if she had not waived the estate-tail, that she should have had it, and not an estate for life, as has been supposed by the other side. And in the indenture of confirmat. which was made in an. 16 Reg. Eliz. it is recited, that the said Elizabeth had an estate-tail, by which it appears that the law was so taken at that time. And as to that which was objected, that the said Elizabeth could not have an estate-tail, because as to the issues in tail the estate-tail is barred, also it was asked, to what end should she have an estate-tail, when it can't descend? It was answered and resolved, that one may have an estate-tail, and yet all the issues in tail shall be barred to inherit, as in the case of Sir George (e) Brown in the 3 part of my reports f. 50. b. 51. a. b. Sir Richard Bridges seised of certain land in fee, did thereof in feoff Winscombe and others, upon condit. that they should give it back to him and his wife, and to the heirs of their two bodies begotten, the remainder to the right heirs of Sir Richard, which was done accordingly; they had issue Anthony Bridges, Sir Richard died, Anthony Bridges in the life of his mother levied a fine with proclamations to Sir G. Brown in fee, the wife living, the said Anthony made a lease for 3 lives, which was not warranted by the stat. of 32 H. 8. c. 28 and there it is resolv'd, that the said fine levied by the said Anthony

Anthony

Anthony, should bar the estate-tail, yet there it is clearly admitted, that the wife remained ten't in tail; for there the question was, if the said discontinuance for lives without warranty was within the stat. of (a) 11 H. 7. but if the estate of the wife had been changed to an estate for life, then without question the said lease for 3 lives had been a forfeiture by the com. law, and all the argument upon the stat. of 11 H. 7. had been in vain, and to no purpose, and in such case the wife had an estate-tail restrained from alienations by the stat. of 11 H. 7. and not descendible to her issues. So in (b) *Archer's case* 20 El. Reg. in the 3 part of my reports f. 90. If the son of the tenant in tail in the life of the father levies a fine with proclamations, this after the death of the father (c) shall bar the estate-tail, and yet without question the father remains ten't in tail, altho' the estate-tail doth not descend. So if lands are given to an (d) alien and the heirs of his body, he has an estate-tail, and yet such estate after his death is not descendible to his issue. And if a disseisor makes a gift in tail, the donee makes a feoffm. to A. and afterwards levies a fine with proclamat. to B. who has nothing, this fine shall bar the issues in tail, because the issues in tail being privies shall not plead *Quod partes finis nihil habuerunt*, but shall not bar the disseisee by nonclaim, because the fine as to him was void: So as in such case *quoad* the heirs in tail the fine shall bind, but not *quoad* the disseisee, who is a stranger: *Pari ratione* in the case at bar, this (e) fine levied by the husband, as to the issues in tail shall be a bar, but not as to the wife, who is a stranger to it. Husb. and wife are ten'ts in special tail, the reversion to the donor, they have issue, the husband levies a fine with proclamations to a stranger, and dies, the wife enters, the wife has de vested the whole estate out of the donee, and re vested the estate-tail in her, the immediate reversion to the donor, and left nothing but a possibility in the donee: *Ergo*, the estate of the wife is not changed into an estate for life, for then if error is in the fine, the issue in tail should have a writ of *error* upon the stat. of (f) 9 R. 2. in the life of the wife, and so the issue in tail would have an estate in the land in the life of the donee, which would be absurd; for he has not any estate by purchase, and living the donee he can have nothing by descent. As to the case of 7 H. 4. 16. b. where after (g) divorce the estate of the donees is changed to an estate for their lives, that is not like the case at bar for divers reasons. 1. There the estate-tail is dissolved *ab initio*, and the issue made bastard; but in the case at bar the estate-tail is barred, and not dissolved or determined, but has continuance as long as the wife lives, or the heirs in tail remain.

As to the 2 point, it was answer'd and resolv'd that the confirmation (b) *nihil operatur*: And 1. It was admitted, that if

1 Co 87 b. 1 Rol. Rep. 424. Witch. 43. Lit. Rep. 291. (f) 9 R. 2. c. 3. 3 Co. 4. a. 4 Inst. 51. Dyer 2. pl. 5. 90 pl. 5. Bridgm. 71. Cr. El. 282. 4 N.B. 99. c. Owen 149. 2 Bull. 15. 10 Co. 44. b. Palm 251, 253. (g) Antea 139 a. 2 Inst. 682. Br. Tail 9. 4 R. Estate 11. B. Deraignment & Divo ce 13. Co Lit. 22. a (h) Cr. Car. 477, 478. cont Hop. 257.

(a) 11 H. 7. c. 20.
3 Co. 50. b. 51. b.
4 Co. 3. b. 5 Co.
80. a. 10. Co. 37.
a. Winch. 43.
1 Leon. 261.
2 Leon. 168.
3 Leon 78. Cr.
El. 2, 24, 513,
514. Godb. 6.
Moor 93, 250,
455. 2 Anderl.
31, 44, 57.
1 Rol. 878. Cr.
Jac. 174, 474,
624. Cr. Car.
244. 1 Jones
13, 254. Co.
Lit. 326. b. 365.
b. Hob. 166,
341. Brid. 136.
(b) Hob. 258,
333. 3 Co. 90. a.
b. Cr. Car. 435.
1 Jones 33, 37,
39, 40, 81.
2 Rol. Rep. 374.
Winch. 110.
(c) 10 Co. 50. a.
Godb 316. Cr.
Car. 435. 3 Co.
50. a. 1 Jones 33.
1 Leon. 244.
2 Leon. 36.
3 Leon. 211, 227
Goldsb. 107.
Hob. 133. Cr.
El. 122, 610.
Hut. 84.
(d) 2 Rol. Rep.
321.
(e) 8 Co. 72. a. b.
Dyer 351. pl.
24. 2 Inst. 681.
Hob. 257, 333.
Moor 147.
1 Brownl. 140.
1 Leon. 84. 157.
Dall. in Kelw.
205. pl. 7. Dall.
in Ath. pl. 7.
Dall. 50. pl. 16.
1 Anderl. 39.
pl. 101. Godb.
312. N. Benl.
225. pl. 257.
Benl. in Ath.
pl. 27. Bent. in
Kelw. 213. pl.
27. 2 Rol. Rep.
321. Moor 28.
pl. 90, 114. pl.
256. 1 Jones 40.
Cr. Car. 478.
3 Co. 4. a.
Owen 149.

the Reversion or Remainder in Fee had been in a Stranger, and not in *John Beaumont*, then let us see when *Elizabeth* entred and was seised in Tail, what Estate was left in the Conusee; and it was resolved that no Part of the Estate-tail was left in him, for the Wife was seised of the whole Estate-Tail, and no Part of the Reversion remained in the Conusee, for that was revested in him to whom the Reversion or Remainder did appertain, and from thence it follows, that nothing remained in the Conusee in such Case, but only a (a) Possibility to have the Land after the Death of the Wife (who had the whole Estate-Tail) so long as the Issues in Tail remained, if any were alive at the Time of the Death of the Wife; and without Question such Possibility shall not pass by the said Confirmation. Then when *J. Beaumont* had the Remainder in Fee, the Confirmation made by the Heir of the Conusee could pass nothing in respect of the Possibility which was gained by the Fine during the Continuance of the Estate-Tail, but it ought to be extracted from the Rem'r in Fee, and that it could not be in this Case for divers Reasons: 1. The old Estate-Tail as to the Issues is barred and can't descend, but the Wife is seised of the intire old Estate, and no new Estate is created by the Confirmation, but only the old Estate confirmed, ergo it can't descend. 2. A Confirmation can't add a descendible Quality to him who is disabled to take by Descent; as if Lord and Tenant be of a Carve of Land, and the Ten't has Issue, and is attainted of Felony, and the King Pardons him, and afterwards the Lord confirms the Estate of the Tenant, and the Tenant dies, The Lord shall have the Land against his own Confirmation, for the Confirmation can't add to the Estate of the Tenant a Quality descendible to him who was disabled to take the Land by Descent: So in the case at Bar, the Confirmation of the Earl to *Elizabeth* can't add a Quality descendible to the Issue in Tail, who was disabled by the Fine to take by Descent. 3. If this Confirmation in this Case, should add to the Estate of the Wife a descendible Quality, that in Effect as to this Point would repeal two Acts of Parliament, viz. the Act of 4 H. 7. and 32 H. 8. by which, as appears before, the Estate-Tail is barred as to the (b) Issues, and the Issues disabled to claim the Land by Force of the said Estate-Tail, *Sed pacta privata juri publico derogare non possunt*, and these Statutes are *jura publica*, for they are two of the principal Pillars of the Law. 4. In the said Case of Sir *George Brown*, after that *Anthony* had levied a Fine to him in the Life of his Mother, suppose Sir *George* had confirmed the Estate of the Mother, yet after the Death of the Mother

(a) Hob. 257.
Cr. Car. 477.

(b) 8 Co. 72. 2. b.
Dyer 351. pl. 24.
2 Inst. 681.
Hob. 257. 333.
Antea 139 a.
Moor 28. pl. 90.
114. pl. 256.
1 Brownl. 140
Moor 127.
Dall in Keiw.
205. pl. 7.
Dall. in Añh.
pl. 7.
Dall. 50. pl. 16.
1 And. 39.
pl. 101.
Godb. 312.
N. Benl. 225.
pl. 257.
Benl. in Añh.
pl. 27.
Benl. in K-lw.
213. b. pl. 27.
2 Rol. Rep 321.
1 Jones 40
Cr. Car. 478.
1 Co. 87 b.
1 Leon. 84,
157.
1 Rol. Rep 424.
Lit. Rep. 291.

the Land should not descend to *Anthony*, for the Confirmation doth not increase the Estate of the Wife, but she hath her old Estate, and as it hath been said, the said Earl by his Confirmat. can't add a descendible Quality. 5. The Law is, if Ten't in (a) Dower grants over her Estate, yet for Waste done the Action shall be brought against Ten't in Dower, and Damages shall be recovered against her, and it is a descendible Quality to the Heirs of him in Reversion: In that Case to oust and take away that Charge of the Tenant in Dower, he in the Reversion by his Deed confirms her Estate, to have and to hold to her for Term of her Life, and dies, and afterwards she grants over her Estate, and for Waste done by the Assignee, the Heir brings an Action of Waste against the Ten't in Dower, who pleads this Confirm. to her to have and to hold the Land for Term of her Life; in this Case, notwithstanding this Confirm. the Action shall be maintainable against her, for the Confirmat. doth not enlarge her Estate, and therefore it can't take away this descendible Quality to the Heirs to have an Action of Waste against her after her Assignm. made of her Estate, and so is the Book adjudged in 38 E. 3. 23, a. b. a principal Case: *Pari ratione*, in the Case at Bar, for as much as the Confirmat. doth not enlarge the Estate of *Elizabeth*, it can't add a descendible Quality. 6. (b) *Quelibet confirmatio aut est perficiens, crescens, aut diminuens: Perficiens*, as in *Mayorwe's Case* in the first Part of my Reports f. 145, 147. If Feoffee upon Condition makes a Feoffm. over, and the Feoffor confirms his Estate to him and to his Heirs, *ista est confirmatio perficiens*, for it doth not make Transmut. of the Estate, but it corroborates and perfects the Estate, and makes it simple and absolute, where it was before conditional; and therewith agrees (c) 7 H. 6. 7. b. cited before. So if the Disfeisor confirms the Estate of the Disfeisor, or his Feoffee, it perfects and corroborates his Estate, for where it was defeasible before, it makes the Estate indefeasible. 2. *Confirmatio crescens*, f. when it enlarges the Estate of him to whom the Confirmat. is made; as to an Estate at Will to encrease it for Years, &c. to an Estate for Years, to encrease it for Life, to an Estate for Life, to increase it to an Estate in Tail, &c. or to an Estate in Tail, to increase it in Fee. But in the Case at Bar, *predicta confirmatio non fuit crescens*, for it did not enlarge the Estate of the Wife, for she had as high an Estate in Point of Estate by the first Gift, as she had by the Confirmat. 3. *Diminuens*, as where the Lord confirms the Estate of his Tenant who held by Knight's Service, to hold in Socage, or to hold by less Rent, or for Tenant in (d) ancient Demesne to hold at the Common Law, for thereby the Customs of the Manor are diminished; but upon a Confirmation to the Tenant the Lord can't reserve new Services; as an Hawk for Rent, or Rent for an Hawk,

(a) Co. Lit. 44. a.
273. a. 316. a.
2 Rol. 828.
3 Co. 23. b.
30 E. 3. 16. a. b.
F. N. B. 55. c.
56. f.
Cr. El. 358.
Fitz. Wast 122.
2 Inst. 301.
11 H. 4. 19. a.
Br. Wast 66.
Regist. 72. a.
Cr. Car. 430.
40 E. 3. 33. b.
Fitz. Wast 67.

(b) Co. Lit.
295. b.

(c) Ant. 140. 2.

(d) Ant. 140. 2.
1 Rol. 324.
Fitz. ancient
Demesne 42.
Br. ancient
Demesne 8.
49 E. 3. 7. a. b.

Et sic de similibus. And the Confirmation in the Case at Bar, is neither *perficiens, crescens, nec diminuens*; for the said *Elizabeth* had as perfect and large an Estate before the Confirmation, as she had after.

And as to that which was objected, That if the Wife should have an Estate-Tail; that she had Power to levy a Fine, or suffer a Recovery, &c. To that it was answered, **Anno 137. 2.** That if the Wife had not such Power, the Reason is, That she can't bar that which was utterly barred before by the Priority of her Husband's Act: But this Point was not then in Question.

Casuum istius libri series.

1 Doman's Cafe. }	Mich. 25 & 26. & Pasch. 28 Eliz. Fol. 1.	
2 Ann Bedingsfield's Cafe.	Hill. 28 Eliz.	15
3 Cafe of Avowry.	Pasch. 30 Eliz.	20
4 The Cafe of the Abbot of Strata Marcella. }	Mich. 33 & 34 El. }	24
5 Bucknal's Cafe.	Pasch. 42 Eliz.	33
6 Hensloe's Cafe.	Trin. 42 Eliz.	36
7 The Earl of Shrewsbury's Cafe. }	Trin. 7. & Trin. 8 Jacobi. }	42
8 Hickmor's Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	52
9 Baten's Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	53
10 The Poulterers Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	55
11 William Aldred's Cafe.	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	57
12 John Lamb's Cafe	Mich. 8 Jacobi.	59
13 Robert Bradshaw's Cafe.	Trin. 10 Jacobi.	60.
14 Mackalley's Cafe in killing a Serjeant of London. }	5 Decemb. 8. & Pasch. 9 Jacobi. }	62
15 Richard Peacock's Cafe.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	70
16 Doctor Hufsey's Cafe.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	71
17 Combe's Cafe.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	75
18 Henry Peytoe's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	77
19 Agnes Gore's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	81
20 Conny's Cafe.	Trin. 6. & Mic. 9 Jac.	82
21 Pinchon's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	86
22 William Bane's Cafe.	Hill. 8 & Hill. 9 Jacobi.	91
23 Sir George Reynel's Cafe.	Hill. 9 Jacobi.	95
24 Margaret Podger's Cafe.	Pasch. 10 Jacobi.	104
25 Meriel Thresham's Cafe.	Pasch. 10 Jacobi.	108
26 Robert Marys's Cafe.	Trin. 10 Jacobi.	111
27 The Lord Sanchar's Cafe.	Trin. 10 Jacobi.	114

Cases in the Court of Wards.

		<i>Fol.</i>
28 Anthony Lowe's Cafe.	Trin. 7 Jacobi.	122
29 Floyer's Cafe.	Hill. 8 Jacobi.	125
30 Sunday's Cafe.	Hill. 8 Jacobi.	127
31 Quick's Cafe.	Paschæ 9 Jacobi.	129
32 Bewley's Cafe.	Trin. 9 Jacobi.	130
33 Tho. Holt's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	131
34 Matth. Mene's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	133
35 Ascough's Cafe.	Mich. 9 Jacobi.	134
36 Thoroughgood's Cafe.	Hill. 9 Jacobi.	136
37 Beaumont's Cafe.	Pasch. 10 Jacobi.	138

F I N I S.
