

bitium forinsecum, scrutentur rotuli de cancellaria de tempore prædecessorum domini regis, et fiat secundum quod fieri consuevit.

8. *Eodem modo fiat de clericis infra sacros existentibus laicum feodum tenentibus, qui milites esse deberent, si laici fuissent.*

9. *Item nullus distringatur pro burgagiis suis, licet valorem xx. li. attingant, aut plus.*

10. *Item qui milites esse debent et non sunt, qui per modicum tempus terras suas tenuerunt, et similiter qui nimiam senectutem, vel defectum membrorum habent, seu morbum incurabilem, vel onus liberorum, vel placitorum allegant, vel alias causas necessarias prætendunt: adeant ad Robertum Typtost, et Anto' de Berk, et coram eis fines faciant: quibus est injunctum, quod secundum discretionem eorum, rationabiles fines admittant de viris prædictis.*

shall be searched for the time of the kings predecessors. And it shall be done as it hath used to be done.

In like manner shall be done of clerkes being within orders, holding lay fee which should be knights if they were laye.

Also none shall be distrained for his burgage lands, although they doe amount to the value of xx.li. yeerely or more.

Also they that ought to be knights and be not, which have holden their lands in their hands but a small time, and likewise such as should be knights that do pretend great age, or default of their members, or any other incurable disease, or charge of their children, or of suites, or do alledge such necessary excuses, they shall resort unto Robert Typtoffe and Anthonie de Berke, and shall make fine before them, to whom it is enjoyned that according to their discretions they shall admitte the reasonable fines of all such persons. [*Rastell's Translation.*]

(1) *Dominus rex concessit, quod omnes illi qui milites esse debent, et non sunt.*] That is, the king doth grant, that all they which ought to be knights, and be not, &c. In these words consist the locke and the key of this writ, *viz.* who by the common lawes of this realme ought (that is, *de jure*) to be compelled to be a knight. For the understanding whereof, and of all the parts of this writ, seven things fall into consideration. (There being foure kinds of knights, *viz.* knights of the garter, knights banaret, knights of the bath, and knights bachelor of the spurre, 3 E. 4. cap. 5.)

First, of what degree knighthood is. This writ being understood of a knight bachelor.

It is resolved in our bookes without any contradiction, that the name of this knight is a name of dignity, and of the inferiour degree of nobility; and therefore is parcell of his name. And in writs and inditements he ought to be named knight by the common law; but so it is not of the state of an esquire or gentleman. * Britton stileth a knight honourable, and in the record of 9 E. 1. Sir John Aston knight hath the addition of *nobilis*; and certaine it is, that, seeing it is a name of dignity, it followeth, that he ought to have sufficient revenue to maintaine that dignity. See W. 1. cap.

11 E. 3. brev.

259. 26 E. 3.

brev. 250.

42 E. 3. 9.

22 R. 2. brev.

925. 4 H. 4. 2.

7 H. 4. 7. 11 H.

4. 19. 14 H. 4.

21. 7 H. 6. 15.

14 H. 6. 15.

22 H. 6. 32 H.

6. 29. 35 H. 6.

55. 5 E. 4. 19. 15 E. 4. 14. 18 E. 4. 20. 21 E. 4. 71. * Brit. cap. 25. fo. 49. b. Mich. 9 E.

in banco rot. 63. Someriet.

10. *verbo chivalers*, that in ancient times coroners ought to have been knights, and the reason was, for that being knights, the law did intend, that they had sufficient to answer both the king and the subject, if cause should require. But hereof more shall be said hereafter.

In the meane time this is to be observed, that the greater dignity doth never drowne the lesser dignity, but both stand together in one person: and therefore if a knight be created a baron, yet he remaineth a knight still; and if the baron be created an earle, yet the dignity of a baron remaineth, *et sic de cæteris*. But if an esquire (which is no name of dignity be made a knight, the degree of the esquire is changed, and gone, and cannot so be named in any judiciall proceeding.

Secondly, of what quality he that is to be a knight ought to be, *debet*, &c. We have not found that a baron, being a lord of parliament, or higher degree, hath been distrained *ad arma militis suscipienda*. But he that is distrained, &c. ought to be a gentleman of name and bloud, *claro loco natus*, or else *non debet*, he ought not to be compelled by this writ to take the dignitie of knighthood upon him.

Of ancient time those that held by knights service were regularly gentile, and those which held by socage, or in burgage, were yeomen or burgesies: and this appeareth by the ancient rule of law, * *Lex Angliæ nullum scutagium aut servitium militare de sockmannis aut burgensibus expetit*. It appeareth also by many ancient records, and particularly by this writ, that *sockmannus, &c. et qui terras tenent in socagio, &c. et nullum faciunt forinsecum servitium*, that is, those which hold in socage, of what value soever, and doe no knights service, ought not to be knights, *non debent, &c.* And our writ saith, *Nullus distringatur pro burgagiis suis, &c.* no man ought to be distrained to be a knight for the land which he holds in burgage, &c. of what value soever. But though it were of ancient time a badge of gentry to hold by knights service, yet now *tempora mutantur*, and many a yeoman, burgesse, or tradesman purchase lands holden by knights service, and yet (*non debet*) ought not for want of gentry be a knight. At this day the surest rule is, *Nobiles sunt qui arma gentilicia antecessorum suorum proferre possunt*; therefore they are called *scutiferi, armigeri, &c.* When a knight is degraded, one of his punishments is, *quod clypeus suus gentilicius reversus erit*, and here his armes be reversed that beareth none.

Lands and tenements anciently holden by knights service, belonging to the nobility and gentry of the realme, are not of the custome of gavelkind, which belonged to the yeomanry, and were holden in socage for the service of the plow: and this appeareth by the judgement of the whole parliament in^a 31 H. 8. cap. 3. and by the booke of 9 H. 3. tit. Prescription 63. and 26 H. 8. fol. 4. and the reason thereof was, because the lands and tenements holden by knights service should not be carried by descent into many hands of issues males, whereby the service for defence of the realme in a few descents should be lost or diminished, and the owners (the lands being divided into so many hands) should not be able to maintaine the countenance of their order and degree. *Inter statuta seu institutiones H. 1. cap. 11. Militibus qui per loricas terras suas deserviunt, terras dominicarum carucarum suarum quietas ab omnibus gildis, et ab omni opere ipso dono meo concedo; ut sicut benignitas mea propensior est in eis,*
ita

7 E. 4. 7. ad-
judge. 32 H. 6.
29.

2.

[595]

Vid. 7 E. 3.
ca. 19. Un
gentilhomme de
estat. Pat. 28
F. 3. part. 1. m.
10. Rex licen-
tiam dedit J. han-
ni Beverly armig-
ero suo, &c.
Rot. clauf.
19 R. 2. in dorf.
proclam. Ne quis
miles, armiger,
&c. 11 H. rot.
clauf. m. 2.
Omnes qui te-
nent per servi-
tium militare in
capit milites
sunt.
* See here cap.
6 & 7 Glauv.
lib. 7. cap. 9.
Burgensis.

Mich. 9 E. 2.
fol. 61. in libro
reco. Dower de
socage terre, &
tenny de terre
tenus per service
de chivaler, Lit.
de la plus beale.
31 H. 8. cap. 3.
9 H. 3. pre-
script. 63. 26 H.
8. 4. b. Bract.
fol. 77.
Glauv. fol. 46
In Bundello.
cuch. act. an 1 E.
3. acc.

ita mihi fideles sint: et sicut tam magno gravamine alleviati sunt, ita equis et armis se bene instruant, ut apti et parati sint ad servitium meum, et ad defensionem regni mei. And where it is enacted by the statute of prerogat' regis, cap. 16. *quod fœminæ non participabunt cum masculis,* it is to be understood of such as be in equall degree; as the suster shall not inherit with the brother, because they be in equal degree; but the daughter of the sonne shall have a part with her uncle, for they be not in equall degree.

A knight is by creation, and not by descent, a gentleman is by descent, and yet I read of the creation of a ^b gentleman; and thus it was: a knight of France came into England, and challenged John Kingston (a good and a strong man at armes, but no gentleman) as the record saith, *ad certa armorum puncta, &c. perficienda. Rex, ut prædictus Johannes honorabilis in præmissis accipiatur, ipsum Johannem ad ordinem generosorum adoptavit, et armigerum constituit, et certa honoris insignia ei concessit, &c.* Note, the king made him no knight, as his adversary was, because he was no gentleman.

But for any thing that I have reade and doe remember in the raigne of H. 4. or ever before, gentlemen of name and bloud had very rarely the addition of *generosus* or *armiger*, as of a state or degree, but were distinguished from yeomen, who serve by the plow, by their service, *viz.* knights service, *forinsecum servitium*, but in the raigne of H. 5. and ever since, they have had the addition of gentlemen or esquires, and the reason thereof is this: it is enacted by the statute of 1 H. 5. that in every writ originall of actions personals, appeales, and inditements, in which processe of outlary doe lye, that to the name of the defendants addition be made of the estate or degree, or mysterie: and hereupon in those writs addition was made as the case required, of *generosus* or *armiger*; for if a gentleman were named in such a writ husbandman, or yeoman, he may abate the writ, by pleading that he is a gentleman. And after this the like additions were made in commissions, and after that in grants and conveyances, &c.

And great discord and discontentment would arise within the realme, if yeomen and tradesmen should be called to the dignity of knighthood, to take the place and precedency of the ancient and noble gentry of the realme. And the eldest sonne of a knight is an esquire, as his father ought to be, before he was called to the dignity of knighthood.

Thirdly, of what livelihood or revenue a knight ought to be, *debet, &c.* And it is certaine, that he ought to have a knights fee: *i. feodum unius militis.* Herein three things are to observed: first, whether the law doth determine of what yearly value a knights fee (*viz.* the lands and revenue of a knight) ought to be. Secondly, if the law define not the certainty of the value, what is esteemed in law a knights fee, Thirdly, what estate he ought to have in it.

To the first, the law doth respect rather the value, then the content, *viz.* to be of sufficient value to maintain the degree of a knight, but doth not determine of any certaine yearly value: for nothing is more incertaine than the values of lands in succession. And therefore in a writ in the raigne of H. 3. no value was expressed, but a writ issued out of the chancery generally to distraine *omnes qui tenent per servitium militare.*

At the making of *Magna Charta* a knights fee was accounted the value of 20 li. and the fourth part thereof was a knights reliefe.

Prerogat. regis, cap. 16.

Vid. Pasch.

4 E. 1. in com' banco rot. 22.

Kanc' for the custome of gavelkind, Hill.

10 E. 1. in banco

Kanc' per de Benbrokes case,

& m. 18 E. 1.

in banco rot. 68.

Suff. Laurence le Fryes case.

b Rot. patent.

an. 13 R. 2.

part. 1. 7 H. 4.

fol. 7. 1 H. 5.

cap. 1.

1 H. 5. cap. 5.

[596]

14 H. 6. 15.

22 H. 6. 3.

28 H. 6. 8.

38 H. 6. 10.

23 H. 6. cap. 15.

3.

Lib. 9. fol. 124.

Lowes case.

1. part Institutes, sect. 112.

Sir Tho. Smith,

lib. 1. cap. 18.

11 H. 3. ubi

sup.

9 H. 3. Magn.

Chart. cap. 2.

Glanv. lib. 9.
ca. 4. lib. 7. fol.
33. b. Rot. parl.
20 E. 1. r. t. 4.
* Trin. 1 E. 2.
coram rege, rot.
4. Linc'.
2 Rot. clauf.
19 E. 2. m. 16.
in dorf.
b Brev. legis,
part 1. & 2.
2 R. 2.
c Rot. parl.
* Hill. 40 H. 3.
par. 254. a. 30.
ibid. a. 60.

d Vid Camden.
Brit. pag. 126.
Note, a baron &
others of higher
degrees are pre-
sumed to have
greater livings,
as appear th by
their reliefs,
Mag. Chart. cap.
2. li. 2. fol.
124. ubi fupr.
Glanv. lib. 2.
ca. 3. acc'.
Patch' 3 E. 1.
coram Rogero de
Saton & locis
fuis, rot. 10.
Raiph Norman-
vils cate.
19 E. 2. ubi
fupr.
e See here, cap.
5.

[597]

4.
The end.

In anno 20 E. 1. the value of the knights fee in the writ was 40 li. by our writ in 1 E. 2. 20. li. * Trin. 1 E. 2. 48. *carucat' terrar' faciunt unum feodum militis.* This was in the fame year that this writ was granted. ^a 19 E. 2. *feodum unius militis annui valoris 40 li.* ^b 2 R. 2. 10. li. *per annum.* 7 H. 6. fol. 15. 10 li. *per annum.* ^c 18 Hen. 6. nu. 43. 40 li. *per an. &c.* So as (as hath been faid) nothing is more uncertaine then the values of lands; but he must have *feodum unius militis.* And in severall ages a knights fee, as before it appeareth, was valued at severall values. * The king caufed a proclamation to be fet forth, that all fuch as might difpend 15 li. in lands, fhould receive the order of knighthood, and thofe that would not, or could not, fhould pay their fines.

There was 5 markes fet on every fhriefes head, becaufe they had not diftrained every perfon that might difpend 15 li. lands, to receive the order of knighthood, as was to the fame fhriefes commanded.

^d As to the fecond it appeareth before, that he ought to have a knights fee: then the onely queftion is, what quantity of land a knights fee is. And without doubt this fhall not be accounted by the acres; for fome acre is of far greater value then another: and therefore that fhould be as uncertaine as the values be; but this is refolved by prudent fages of the law of ancient time, who have reduced a knights fee to a certaine number of carues, or plow lands, which though they be uncertaine (for if the land be fertile and heavie, there goeth to a plow land the leffe; and if it be lighter, a greater quantity) yet it is as neere to certainty as can be, and this computation time cannot alter: and therefore a knights fee containeth ^e 12 plow lands. And by this writ it appeareth, that a knights fee is here valued at 20 li. *per an.* And if he be impleaded for it, or any part thereof, &c. that he fhall not be compelled to be a knight, untill the action be determined. And fo likewise, if he be indebted to the king, and his debt ftalled, he fhall not be compelled to be a knight, untill his debt be paid: and the reason hereof is, that povertie fhould not be apparelled with honour and dignity.

As to the third, he ought to have an eftate in fee-fimple or fee-taile, as it appeareth in 20 E. 1. *ubi fupra, in feodo et hæreditate.* Or as tenant by the curtefie (which in this writ is intended by the name of tenant for life) whose heire by poffibility may inherit.

Fourthly, to what end he ought to be called to this dignity of knighthood. And our writ doth truly answer, *ad arma militaria fufcipienda,* to take upon him the military armes, or the armes of a knight for the honour, and fervice, and defence of the realme; this is *pro bono publico.*

The writs of parliament are to returne two knights for every county *gladiis cinctos,* not that they fhould come to the parliament girt with fwords, but that they fhould be able to do knights fervice, *et arma militaria exercere,* the fword being named, for that it is the warden of all weapons: and therefore this end ought not to be pretended, and a private intended. *Dicuntur arma, quia armos tegunt, et ab humeris dependent, et continent fcutum, gladium, tela, et ea quibus præliantur.* No iniufficient men are to be called *ad arma militaria fufcipienda, ne dignitas bujus ordinis vilefceret.*

Fifthly,

Fifthly, of what age he ought to be, &c. when he is called, *debet*, &c. By this writ it appeareth, that he ought to be above 21, and this agreeth with Littleton, and other authorities and records; but this is so to be understood, that he cannot be compelled to be a knight before 21, but if he be made a knight before that age, it is good enough.

* And above the age of 60 (which in this writ is called *nimia senectus*) no man ought to be compelled *ad arma militaria suscipienda*, or to serve as a souldier. If the plaintife in an appeale of death, &c. be of the age of 60, or maimed, or of any great infirmity, so as hee is not able to fight, hee shall not be compelled to wage battell. And by this writ it appeareth, that if hee hath *defectum membrorum, seu morbum incurabilem, vel alias causas rationabiles*, that hee shall not be compelled *ad arma militaria suscipienda*, because he is not able to performe the service and duty of knighthood.

Sixthly, by what meanes hee ought to be called, *debet*, &c. hee ought to be called by writ. It hereby appeareth, that this writ issueth out of the chancery to the shrieve, commanding him, *quod proclamari faciat, quod omnes illi qui habent terram, arma militaria suscipiant citra (tale festum) et quod summoneri fac eos*, &c. and this writ is returnable into the chancery at a certaine returne. At which day of the returne it is necessary for them that are summoned to appeare; for if they make default, it is finable (which, it may be, is the marke that is aimed at) but if they appeare, and take the dignity upon them, or refuse for the causes aforesaid, or any of them, they ought not be fined.

This writ and the returne thereof is by writ of *mittimus* transmitted into the court of exchequer, who cannot make a commission to others concerning this matter, but ought to proceed legally themselves, because they have but *delegatam potestatem, quæ non potest delegari*, and they are learned and sworne judges, and able to allow the parties their just exceptions.

For writs of summons or *distingas* for the dignity of bachelor knighthood, see Rot. claus. 29 H. 3. m. 9. 44 H. 3. parte prima. *ibid.* 6 E. 1. dorf. 8. *ibid.* 6 E. 2. dorf. 29. &c.

Seventhly, if he ought to be a knight, and refuse, or make default, how often he may be fined.

He can by the law be fined but once, no more then an apprentice at law, that is called by writ *ad statum et gradum servientis ad legem*, if hee refuse, and be fined, he cannot be fined againe; for so he might be fined infinitely, *et infinitum in jure reprobatur*.

The commons petitioned (to have a declaratory law) that no person once making fine for not being knight, be never after called thereunto againe. But this was but to avoid charge and vexation. *Vid.* Dyer, 35 H. 8. 55. Brook briefe 150, *sine pur contemptis* 19.

We doe not remember that we have read any thing touching this matter in Braeton, Britton, Fleta, Mirror, the Regisler, or F.N.B.

No clerke within holy orders, be hee regular or secular, though hee hath a knights fee, can be made a knight, as by this writ it appeareth.

See Matth. Paris, an. 29 H. 3. pag. 832. *Rex die natali Johannis de Gatesden clericum, et multis ditatum beneficiis, sed omnibus ante expectatum resignatis, quia sic oportuit, baltheo cinxit militari.* This
last

5.

* See here, ca. 10. Rot. claus. an. 7 E. 3. part. 1. mem. 25. & m. 22, 23. Rot. parl. 5 H. 4. nu. 24, 25. 33 H. 8. cap. 22 E. 4. 19. 15 E. 2. coron. 185. Brit. fol. 40. Fitz. N.B. 163. n. simile. See here, cap. 10. 6.

7 H. 6. 15. See here, cap. 2. * The yearly value of the land.

7.

Rot. Parl. 18 H. 6. nu. 43. 14 H. 6. 2, 3. Fitz. N.B. 231. b. Le Roy navera que un pension.

[598]

See here, cap. 2.

See here, cap. 10.

last clause, *item qui milites esse debent, et non sunt*, and yet have just cause of excuse (as for instance, that they are impleaded for their land, which before by this writ is allowed for a good excuse, &c. or have any other of the just causes of excuse here expressed, and yet will not stand to a legall and chargeable pleading and proceeding) they may, if they will, redeem their vexation and charge, and submit themselves to a reasonable fine: and therefore by this writ Robert Tiptoft, and Anthony de Berke are appointed to assesse reasonable fines; but this must be understood by consent, for this was no legall proceeding. I find in the parliament roll *de anno 18 E. 1. rot. 6.* that Robert Tiptoft was *justiciarius domini regis*. And so, it is like, Anthony Berk was; but certainly what he was, we have not yet found.

For knights of the bath.

Writs to divers *ad ordinem militiae de balneo suscipiendum juxta antiquam consuetudinem in creatione usitatam, Rot. claus. in dorso, 10 H. 7. 20. Septembris.*

For knights banners.

See Rot. Valch' 13 E. 3. m. 13. William de la Pole created. Rot. *eodem* m. 1. Rich. de Cobham created. Rot. Pat. 15 E. 3. part 2. m. 22. John Coupland created. See Matth. Paris, pag. 1354, 1355. &c. Camden Brit. 124.

ARTICULI CLERI,

Edit' Anno nono Edw. II.

LONG before the making of this statute, that is, *anno 42 H. 3. anno Domini 1258*, Boniface younger sonne of Thomas earle of Savoy, archbishop of Canterbury, uncle of Elianor queen of England, who was daughter of Reymond earle of Province by Beatrix daughter of Thomas earle of Savoy, and sister to the said Boniface, made divers and many canons and constitutions provinciall directly against the lawes of the realme, which canons begun thus: *Universis Christi fidelibus ad quos præjens pagina pervenerit, Bonifacius miseratione divina Cantuariensis archiepiscopus, totius Angliæ primas, et sui suffraganei in verbo salutari salutem,* And ending thus: *Actum apud Westm', sexto iduum Junii anno Domini 1258. In quorum omnium robur et testimonium, &c.* which being exceeding long, we could not here insert. But the effect of them is, so to usurp and ineroach upon many matters, which apparently belonged to the common law, as, amongst many others, the tryall of limits and bounds of parishes, and right of patronage, against tryall of right of tithes (by *indicavit*) against writs to the bishop upon a recovery in a *quare impedit*, &c. in the kings courts. That none of their possessions or liberties, which any of the clergy had in the right of their church, should be tryed before any secular judge; (so as they would not have conufance of things spirituall, but of temporall also) and concerning distresses and attachments within their fees, and

and in effect, that no *quo warranto* should be brought against them, when they had been long in possession, with an invective against the perverse interpretation by the judges of the realme (so they termed it) of charters, &c. granted to them, and in substance against the ancient and just writs of prohibition in cases, where by the lawes of the realme they are maintainable: and commandement given to admonish the king, and interdict his lands and revenues, and thundred cut excommunications against the judges and others if they violated, or obeyed not the said canons and constitutions. And this was the principall ground of the controversies between the judges of the realme and the bishops: for this caused ecclesiasticall judges to usurp and incroach upon the common law. But notwithstanding the greatnesse of the archbishop Boniface, and that divers of the judges of the realm were of the clergy, and all the great officers of the realm, as chancellor, treasurer, privie seale, &c. were prelates; yet the judges proceeded according to the lawes of the realm, and still kept, though with great difficulty, the ecclesiasticall courts within their just and proper limits. The courts by pretext of these canons being at variance, at length at a parliament holden in the 51 yeare of Henry the third, Boniface, and the rest of the clergy complained (which was *ultimum refugium*, and yet the right way) and exhibited many articles as grievances, called *Articuli Cleri*. The articles exhibited by the clergie either by accident or industry are not to be found; some of the answers are extant, *viz. ad 16 articulum de usuris respondetur, quod licet episcopus, &c. ad 17 articulum de defamatione, &c. respondetur, si aliquis defamatus, &c. si autem certæ personæ nominatæ fuerint, per quas rei veritas melius scire poterit, nominantur, ad proband' matrimonium vel testamentum: et similiter in accusationibus tales personæ impediendæ non sunt, quia testimonium perhibent veritati, sed propter hoc non est congregatio laicorum faciend', quia per congregationem hujusmodi servitici dominus possit deperire.*

Ex fragmento
Rot. parl. anno
51 H. 3.

Ad 18 artic' dominus posuit remedium.

Ad 19 artic' respondetur, quod archiepiscopus de episcopatu vacante non se intromittat quantum ad temporalia, sed tantum se de spiritualibus intromittat, &c.

[600]

Ad 20 artic' respondetur, quod de clericis occisis, et de hiis qui forsan occidi contigerit, in futurum fiat justitia, secundum legem et consuetudinem terræ, &c.

Ad 21 artic' respondetur, quod excommunicatus per ordinarium, aut alium judicem competentem, et denunciatus taliter, debet ab aliis evitari, nisi forsan excommunicatus conqueratur se esse injuste excommunicatum pro aliqua re temporalis, de qua non debeat coram ordinario respondere, ad cujus probationem debet admitti, sed in cæteris quæ proponit, ut actor, est interim evitandus.

Ad 22 artic' mandabitur justiciariis, quod non fiant aliquæ prise per totam terram de bonis aliquorum, nisi debitæ prisæ et consuetæ.

Ad 23 artic' respondetur, quod cum aliqui tentant aliquod de rege in capite unde custodia debeat, custodiæ omnium terrarum de quibuscunque tenentes illi tenementa illa teneant cum acciderint (si inde custodia habere debeat) hætenus ex consuetudine approbata spectarunt ad regem, sed episcopi si expedire videant, inhibeant tenentibus suis, ne aliqua tenementa sibi perquirant de feodis regis.

These

These answers are yet extant of record, and are worthy to be read at large as they yet remaine; whereunto we referre the reader. This is to be observed, that none of Boniface's canons against the lawes of the realme, and the crowne and dignity of the king, and the birth-right of the subject, are here confirmed.

Prohibitio formata de statut' Artic' Cleri. Vet. Magn. Chart.

What the residue of the articles and the answers were, may be collected by that act of parliament entituled *prohibitio formata de statuto articuli cleri*, which was made in the time of Edward the first, about the beginning of his raign, which beginneth thus: *Edwardus, &c. praelatis, &c.* wherein divers points are to be observed against the canons of Boniface: 1. *Quod cognitiones placitorum super feodalibus et libertatibus feodalium, districtionibus, officiis ministrorum, executionibus contra pacem nostram factis, felonum negotiationibus, consuetudinibus secularibus, attachiametis, vi laica malefactoribus relictis, robberiis, arrestationibus, maneriis, ad-vocationibus ecclesiarum, sufficientibus assis juratis, recognitionibus laicum feodum contingentibus, et rebus aliis, et causis pecuniarum, et de aliis catallis et debitis quæ non sunt de testament' vel matrimon' ad coronam et dignitatem regiam pertineant, et de regno de consuetud' ejusdem regni approbata, et hactenus observata.*

2. *Et proceres, et magnates, aut alii de eodem regno temporibus nostrorum prædecessorum regum Angliæ, seu nostra auctoritate alicujus non consueverunt contra consuetudinem illam super hujusmodi rebus in causa trahi vel compelli ad comparandum coram quocunque iudice ecclesiastico.*

3. *Et quod vicecomes non permittant, quod aliqui laici in baliva sua conveniunt ad aliquas recognitiones per sacramenta sua faciend', nisi in causis matrimonialibus et testamentariis.* Of the substance of this prohibition, Britton speaketh in these words, *et queux ount suffert pleader en court christian auters pleas, que de testament ou mariage, et de pure spirituelle sans deniers prender de lay home. Ou suffert lay home iorer devant lordinary.*

Wid. Bilt. fo. 35. b. Registr. 30. b. 2. E. 3. 29. F.N.B. 41. a. VII. Vet. Magn. Chart. fol. 91. Bertelets Impression.

After this the clergy, at a parliament holden in the raigne of the same king E. 1. preferred articles intituled *articuli contra prohibitionem regis*, fearing lest by reason of some generall words therein they might be prohibited in causes, which of right belonged to the ecclesiastical jurisdiction, in these words, *sub hac forma impetrant laici prohibitionem in genere super decimis, oblationibus, ob-ventionibus, mortuariis, redemptionibus penitentiarum, violenta manuum injectione in clericam vel commissarium, et in causa defamationis, in quibus casibus agitur ad pœnam canonicam imponendam.* And a just and legall answer was made thereunto, as thereby appeareth. But it is to be observed, that they claimed nothing which was against the true meaning of the said act, called *prohibitio formata de statuto artic' cleri*, nor any of Boniface's canons to bee consumed: and so these matters rested, untill the parliament holden at Lincoln in the ninth yeare of Edw. 2. where Walter Reynolds bishop of Canterbury (whom the king favoured, saith one, singularly for the opinion he had of his fidelity and great wisdom, and *Walterus archiepiscopus Cantuariensis regi gratiosissimus fuit, hæc regis æquissima responsa ad praelatorum petita obtulit*) in the name of himselfe and of the clergy, preferred these 16 articles, and by authority of parliament had the answers here following *seriatim* to every one of them. And now it may seem high time that we should descend to the perusal of the preamble, and the articles and answers. But before we come to it, it shall con-

[601]

Mat. Parker, fol. 229.

duce

duce much to the right understanding of divers parts of this act of parliament, to report unto you what articles Richard Bancroft archbishop of Canterbury exhibited in the name of the whole clergy in Michaelmas terme *anno 3 Jacob. regis* to the lords of the privie councill against the judges of the realm, intituled, Certain articles of abuses, which are desired to be reformed, in granting of prohibitions, and the answers thereunto, upon mature deliberation and consideration, in Easter terme following, by all the judges of England, and the barons of the exchequer, with one unanimous consent under their hands (resolutions of highest authorities in law) which were delivered to the lords of the councill. And we for distinction sake (because we shall have occasion often to cite them) call them *Articuli Cleri 3 Jacobi*.

His Majesty hath power to reforme abuses in prohibitions.

1.

The clergy well hoped, that they had taken a good course in seeking some redresse at his majesties hands concerning fundry abuses offered to his ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, by the over frequent and undue granting of prohibitions; for both they and we supposed (all jurisdiction, both ecclesiasticall and temporall being annexed to the imperiall crowne of this realme) that his highnesse had been held to have had sufficient authority in himselfe, with the assistance of his councill, to judge what is amisse in either of his said jurisdictions, and to have reformed the same accordingly; otherwise a wrong course is taken by us, if nothing may bee reformed that is now complained of, but what the temporall judges shall of themselves willingly yeeld unto. This is therefore the first point, which upon occasion lately offered before your lordships by some of the judges, we desire may be cleared, because we are strongly persuaded as touching the validity of his majesties said authority, and doe hope we shall be able to justifie the same, notwithstanding any thing that the judges, or any other can alledge to the contrary.

Objection.

No man maketh any question, but that both the jurisdictions are lawfully and justly in his majesty, and that if any abuses be, they ought to bee reformed: but what the law doth warrant in cases of prohibitions to keep every jurisdiction in his true limits, is not to be said an abuse, nor can be altered but by parliament.

Answer of the judges.

The formes of prohibitions prejudiciall to his majesties authority in causes ecclesiasticall.

2.

Concerning the forme of prohibitions, forasmuch as both the ecclesiasticall and temporall jurisdictions be now united in his majestie, which were heretofore *de facto*, though not *de jure* derived from severall heads, we desire to be satisfied by the judges, whether, as the case now standeth, the former manner of prohibitions heretofore used importing an ecclesiasticall court to be *aliud forum à foro regio*, and the ecclesiasticall law not to be *legem terræ*, and the proceedings in those courts to bee *contra coronam et dignitatem regiam*, may now without offence and derogation to the kings ecclesiasticall prerogative be continued, as though either the said jurisdictions remained now so distinguished and severed as they were before, or that the lawes ecclesiasticall, which wee put in execution, were not

Objection.

[602]

not the kings and the realmes ecclesiasticall lawes, as well as the temporall lawes.

Answer.

It is true, that both the jurisdictions were ever *de jure* in the crowne, though the one sometimes usurped by the see of Rome; but neither in the one time, nor in the other hath ever the forme of prohibitions been altered, nor can bee but by parliament. And it is *contra coronam et dignitatem regiam* for any to usurp to deale in that, which they have not lawfull warrant from the crowne to deale in, or to take from the temporall jurisdiction that which belonged to it. The prohibitions doe not import, that the ecclesiasticall courts are *aliud* then the kings, or not the kings courts, but doe import, that the cause is drawne into *aliud examen* then it ought to be: and therefore it is alwaies said in the prohibitions (be the court temporall or ecclesiasticall, to which it is awarded) if they deale in any case which they have not power to hold plea of, that the cause is drawn *ad aliud examen* then it ought to be; and therefore *contra coronam et dignitatem regiam*.

3.

A fit time to be assigned for the defendant, if he will seek a prohibition.

Objection.

As touching the time when prohibitions are granted, it seemeth strange to us, that they are not onely granted at the suit of the defendant in the ecclesiasticall court after his answer (whereby hee affirmeth the jurisdiction of the said court, and submitteth himselfe unto the same;) but also after all allegations and proofes made on both sides, when the cause is fully instructed and furnished for sentence: yea, after sentence, yea after two or three sentences given, and after execution of the said sentence or sentences, and when the party for his long continued disobedience is laid in prison upon the writ of *excommunicato capiendo*, which courses, forasmuch as they are against the rules of the common law in like cases (as we take it) and doe tend so greatly to the delay of justice, vexation, and charge of the subject, and the disgrace and discredit of his majesties jurisdiction ecclesiasticall, the judges (as we suppose) notwithstanding their great learning in the lawes, will be hardly able in defence of them to satisfie your lordships.

Answer.

Prohibitions by law are to be granted at any time to restrain a court to intermeddle with, or execute any thing, which by law they ought not to hold plea of, and they are much mistaken that maintaine the contrary. And it is the folly of such as will proceed in the ecclesiasticall court for that, whereof that court hath not jurisdiction; or in that, whereof the kings temporall courts should have the jurisdiction. And so themselves (by their extraordinary dealing) are the cause of such extraordinary charges, and not the law: for their proceedings in such case are *coram non iudice*. And the kings courts that may award prohibitions, being informed either by the parties themselves, or by any stranger, that any court temporall or ecclesiasticall doth hold plea of that (whereof they have not jurisdiction) may lawfully prohibit the same, as well after judgement and execution, as before.

4.

Prohibitions unduly awarded heretofore in all causes almost of ecclesiasticall cognizance.

Objection.

Whereas it will be confessed, that causes concerning testaments, matrimony, benefices, churches, and divine service, with many offences

offences against the 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9. and 10. commandements, are by the lawes of this realm of ecclesiasticall cognizance, yet there are few of them, wherein sundry prohibitions have not been granted, and that more ordinarily of latter times, then ever heretofore, not because we that are ecclesiasticall judges doe give greater cause of such granting of them, then before have been given, but for that the humour of the time is growne to be too eager against all ecclesiasticall jurisdiction. For whereas (for examples sake) during the raigne of the late queen of worthy memory, there have been 488 prohibitions, and since his majesties time 82 sent into the court of the arches; we humbly desire your lordships, that the judges may be urged to bring forth one prohibition of ten, nay the twentieth prohibition of all the said 488, and but 2 of the said 82, which upon due considerations with the libels in the ecclesiasticall court, they shall be able to justifie to have been rightly awarded: we suppose they cannot; our predecessors, and we our selves have ever been so carefull not to exceed the compasse and limits of the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction: which if they shall refuse to attempt, or shall not be able to performe, then we referre our selves to your lordships wisdomes, whether we have not just cause to complaine, and crave restraint of this over lavish granting of prohibitions in every cause without respect. That which we have said of the prohibitions in the court of the arches, we verily perswade our selves may be truly affirmed of all the ecclesiasticall courts in England, which doth so much the more aggravate this abuse.

[603]

It had been fit they should have set downe some particular cases, in which they find the ecclesiasticall courts injured by the temporall (as their lordships did order) unto which we would have given a particular answer; but upon these generalities nothing but clamour can be concluded. And where they speake of multitudes of prohibitions; for all granted to, or in respect of any ecclesiasticall court, we have heretofore caused diligent search to be made in the kings bench and common pleas, from the beginning of his majesties raigne, unto the end of Hilary term, in the third yeare of his raigne; in which time we find, that there were granted unto all the ecclesiasticall courts in England out of the kings bench but 251. whereof 149. were *de molo decimandi*, upon unity of possession, for trees of 20 yeares growth and upwards, and for barren and heath ground, and all out of the common pleas, but 62. whereof 31. were such as before, and the rest grounded upon the bounds of parishes, or such other causes as they ought to be granted for; but for that which was done in the late queenes time, it would be too long a search for us to make, to deliver any certainty thereof. And for his majesties time, they requiring to have but two to be lawfully warranted upon the libell in the ecclesiasticall court, we have six to shew to be lawfully warranted upon the libell there, and so are all the rest of like kind, by which it will appeare, that this suggestion is not onely untrue, but also, that the extraordinary charges growing unto poore men, are of necessity by meanes of the undue practices of ecclesiasticall courts.

Answer.

The multiplying of prohibitions in one and the same cause, the libell being not altered.

5.

Although it hath been anciently ordained by a statute, that when a consultation is once duly granted upon a prohibition made to

Objection.

to

[604]

to the judge of holy church, the same judge may proceed in the cause, by vertue of that consultation, notwithstanding any other prohibition to him delivered, provided that the matter in the libell of the same cause be not engrossed, enlarged, or otherwise changed; yet notwithstanding prohibitions and consultations in one and the same cause, the libell being no waies altered according to the said statute, are lately so multiplyed, as that in some one cause, as aforesaid, two, in some three, in some other six prohibitions, and so many consultations have been awarded, yea divers are so granted out of one court: as for example, when after long suit a consultation is obtained, it is thought a sufficient cause to send out another prohibition in revocation of the said consultation, upon suggestion therein contained, that the said consultation *minus commode emanavit*. By which pretty device the judges of those courts which grant prohibitions, may, notwithstanding the said statute, upon one libell not altered, grant as many prohibitions as they list, commanding the ecclesiasticall judges in his majesties name, not to proceed in any cause that is so divers times by them prohibited, whereby the poore plaintifes doe not know when their consultations (procured with great charge) will hold, and so finding such and so many difficulties, are driven to goe home in great griefe, and to leave the causes in Westminster hall, the ecclesiasticall judges not daring to hold any plea of them. Now may it please your lordships, the premisses being true, we humbly desire to heare what the judges are able to produce for the justifying of these their proceedings.

Answer.

It were fit they should set downe particular causes, whereupon this grievance is grounded, and then we doubt not but to answer it sufficiently, without using any pretty device, such as is set downe in this article.

6.

The multiplyng of prohibitions in divers causes, but of the same nature, after consultations formerly awarded.

Objection.

We suppose, that as well his majesties ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, as also very many of his poore, but dutifull subjects, are greatly prejudiced by the granting of divers severall prohibitions, and consultations in causes of one and the same nature and condition, and upon the selfe same suggestions: for example, in case of beating a clerke, the prohibition being granted upon this suggestion, that all pleas *de vi et armis* belong to the crowne, &c. notwithstanding a consultation doth thereupon ensue, yet the very next day after, if the like suggestion be made upon the beating of another clerke, even in the same court another prohibition is awarded. As also, where 570 prohibitions have been granted since the late queens time into the court of arches (as before is mentioned) and but 113 consultations afterwards upon so many of them obtained: yet it is evident by the said consultations, that (in effect) all the rest of the said prohibitions ought not to have been awarded, as being grounded upon the same suggestions, whereupon consultations have been formerly granted: and so it followeth, that the causes why consultations were awarded upon the rest of the said prohibitions, were for that either the plaintifes in the court ecclesiasticall were driven for saving of further charge, to compound, to their losse, with their adversaries, or were not able to sue for them; or being able, yet
through

through strength of opposition against them, were constrained to desist; which is an argument to us, that the temporall judges doe wittingly and willingly grant prohibitions, whereupon they know, before hand, that consultations are due: and if we mistake any thing in the premisses, we desire your lordships, that the judges, for the justification of their courses, may better enforme us.

It shall be good, the ecclesiastical judges doe better enforme themselves, and that they put some one or two particular cases to prove their suggestions, and thereupon they will find their owne error; for the case may be so, that two severall ministers suing in the ecclesiasticall court for beating of them in one and the selfe same forme, that the one may and ought to have a consultation, and the other not. And so it is in cases of prohibitions, *de modo decimandi*; and hereof groweth the oversight in making this objection. And we assure our selves, that they shall not find 570 prohibitions granted into the arches since her late majesties death; for we find (if our clerkes affirme truly upon their search) that out of the kings bench have been granted to all the ecclesiasticall courts in England but 251 prohibitions (as before is mentioned) from the beginning of his majesties raigne, unto the end of Hilary terme last; and out of the common pleas not 63. And therefore it cannot be true, that so many have passed to the arches in that time, as is set downe in the article; and this article, in that point doth exceed that which is set downe in the fourth article by almost 500, and therefore whosoever set this downe, was much forgetfull of that which was before set downe in the fourth article, and might well have forborne to lay so great a scandall upon the judges, as to affirme it to be a witting and willing error in them, as is set downe in this article.

Answer.

[605]

New formes of consultations, not expressing the cause of the granting of them.

7.

Whereas upon the granting of consultations, the judges in times past did therein expresse and acknowledge the causes so remitted to be of ecclesiasticall cognizance, which were presidents and judgments for the better assurance of ecclesiasticall judges, that they might afterward hold plea in such cases, and the like; and were also some barre as well to the temporall judges themselves, as also to many troublesome and contentious persons from either granting or seeking prohibitions in such cases, when so it did appeare unto them upon record, that consultations had been formerly granted in them; they the said temporall judges have now altered that course, and doe onely tell us, that they grant their consultations *artis de causis ipsos apud Westm' moventibus*, not expressing the same particularly, according to their ancient presidents. By meanes whereof the temporall judges leave themselves at liberty without prejudice, though they deny a consultation; at another time upon the same matter contentious persons are animated, finding no cause expressed, why they may not at another time seeke for a prohibition in the same cause; and the ecclesiasticall judges are left at large to thinke what they list, being no way instructed of the nature of the cause which procured the consultation: the reason of which alteration in such consultations, we humbly intreat your

Objection.

lordships, that the judges, for our better instruction, may be required to expresse.

Answer.

If we find the declaration upon the surmise, upon which the prohibition is granted, not to warrant the surmise, then we forthwith grant a consultation in that forme which is mentioned, and that matter being mentioned in the consultation would be very long and cumbersome, and give the ecclesiasticall court little information, to direct them in any thing thereafter; and therefore in such cases, for brevity sake, it is usuall: but when the matter is to receive end by demurrer in law, or tryall, the consultation is in another forme. And it is their ignorance in the arches, that will not understand this, and we may not supply their defects with changing our formes of proceedings, wherein if they would take the advice of any learned in the lawes, they might soon receive satisfaction.

3. That consultations may be obtained with lesse charge and difficulty.

Objection.

The great expences and manifold difficulties in obtaining of consultations are become very burthensome to those that seeke for them; for now a dayes, through the malice of the plaintifes in the temporall courts, and the covetous humours of the clerkes, prohibitions are so extended and enlarged, without any necessity of the matter (some one prohibition containing more words and lines then forty prohibitions in ancient times) as by meanes thereof the party in the ecclesiasticall court, against whom the prohibition is granted, becomes either unwilling, or unable to sue for a consultation, it being now usuall and ordinary, that in the consultations must be recited *in eadem verba* the whole tenour of the prohibition, be it never so long; for the which (to omit divers other fees, which are very great) he must pay for a draught of it in paper viii. d. the sheet, and for the entry of it xii. d. the sheet. Furthermore, the prohibition is quicke and speedy; for it is ordinarily granted out of court by any one of the judges in his chamber, whereas the consultation is very slowly and hardly obtained, not without (oftentimes) costly motions in open court, pleadings, demurrers, and sundry judiciall hearings of both parties, and long attendance for the space of two or three, nay, sometimes of eight or nine yeares before it be obtained. The inconvenience of which proceedings is so intolerable, as we trust, such as are to grant consultations will by your lordships meanes not onely doe it expeditely, and moderate the said fees; but also reforme the length of the said consultations, according to the formes of consultations in the Register.

[606]

Answer.

It were fit the particular cause were set downe, whereupon the generall grievance, that is mentioned in this article, is grounded; and that done, it may have a full answer: for a prohibition is grounded upon the libell, and the consultation must agree therewith also; and therefore we doubt not, but the ground of this grievance, when it is well looked into, will grow from themselves in interlacing of much nugatory and unnecessary matter in their libells: and for the fees taken; wee assure our selves, none are taken, but such as are anciently due and accustomed; and it will appeare, that we have abridged the fees, and length of pleadings,
and

and use no delays, but such as are of necessity, and we wish they would doe the like, and upon examination it will appeare of which side it growes, that the fees or delays are so intolerable. And where in ancient time such as sued for tithes, would not sue but for things questionable, and never sought at their parishioners hands their tithes in other kinds then anciently they had been used to have been paid; now many turbulent ministers do infinitely vex their parishioners for such kinds of tithes as they never had, whereby many parishes have been much impoverished: and for example, we shall shew one record, wherein the minister did demand severall kinds of tithes, whereupon the partie suing a prohibition had eight or nine of them adjudged against the minister upon demurrer in law, and other passed against him by tryall, and this must of necessity grow to a matter of great charge; but where is the fault, but in the minister that gave occasion? and we will shew one other record, wherein the party confessed to some of us, that hee was to sue his parishioner but for a calfe and a goose; and that his proctor neverthelesse put in the libell or demand of tithes, of seven or eight things more then he had cause to sue for: this enlarged the prohibition, and gave occasion of more expence then needed; and where is the fault of this, but in the ecclesiasticall courts? and as in these, so can wee approve in many others; and therefore wee must retort the cause and ground of this grievance upon themselves, as more particularly may appeare by the severall presidents to be shewed in this behalfe.

Prohibitions not to be granted upon frivolous suggestions.

9.

It is a prejudice and derision to both his majesties ecclesiasticall and temporall jurisdictions, that many prohibitions are granted upon trilling and frivolous suggestions, altogether unworthy to proceed from the one, or to give any hinderance or interruption to the other: as upon a suit of tithes brought by a minister against his parishioner, a prohibition flyeth out upon suggestion, that in regard of a speciall receipt, called a cup of buttered beare made by the great skill of the said parishioner to cure a grievous disease called a cold, which sorely troubled the said minister, all his tithes were discharged. And likewise a woman being convented for adultery committed with one that suspiciously resorted to her house in the night time, the suggestion of a prohibition in this case was, that *omnia placita de nocturnis ambulationibus* belong to the king, &c. Also where a legatary sued for his legacy given in a will, the prohibition was, *Quia omnia placita de donis et concessionibus spectant ad forum regium, et non ad forum ecclesiasticum, dummodo non sint de testamento et matrimonio*; as if a legacy were not *donatio de* or *in testamento*, with many other of like sort. The reformation of all which frivolous proceedings, so chargable notwithstanding to many poore men, and the great hinderance of justice, we humbly referre to your lordships consideration.

Objection.

We grant none upon frivolous suggestions, but for the case put, it is ridiculous in the minister to make such a contract (if any such were) but that maketh not the contract void, but discovereth the unworthinesse of the party that made the same, and yet no fault in granting the prohibition; but when it shall appeare unto us, that such a matter is suggested by fraud of any clerke or counseller

Answer.

[607]

at law, we will not remit such offences, but will exclude such attorney from the court, and such councellers from their practice at the barre. And if they will suggest adultery to one, against whom they prove but night-walking, and doe adjudge him for it, we are in such a case to prohibite their proceedings: for that is a matter meerly pertinent to the temporall court; so, if it appeare hee hath entred the house as a thiefe, or a burglarer, and so in many other cases also. And if any furnise a legacy from the dead, where it was but a promise of payment in his life time, in that case such a suit is to be prohibited: but if in these cases the parties were named, then we might see the record, and thereupon be directed to shew upon what consideration these prohibitions were granted, otherwise wee shall thinke that these are cases newly invented.

10. No prohibition to be granted at his suit, who is plaintife in the Spirituall Court.

Objection. We suppose it to be no warrantable nor reasonable course, that prohibitions are granted at the suit of the plaintife in the ecclesiasticall court, who having made choice thereof, and brought his adversary there into tryall, doth by all intendment of law and reason, and by the usage of all other judiciall places conclude himselfe in that behalfe; and although he cannot be presumed to hope for helpe in any other court by way of prohibition, yet it is very usuall for every such person so proceeding onely of meere malice for vexation of the party, and to the great delay and hinderance of justice, to find favour for the obtaining of prohibitions, sometimes after two or three sentences, thereby taking advantage (as he must plead) of his owne wrong, and receiving aide from that court, which, by his owne confession, he before did contemne; touching the equity whereof, we will expect the answer of the judges.

Answer. None may pursue in the ecclesiasticall court for that which the kings courts ought to hold plea of, but upon information thereof given to the kings courts, either by the plaintife, or by any meere stranger, they are to be prohibited, because they deale in that which appertaineth not to their jurisdiction, where if they would be careful not to hold plea of that which appertaineth not to them, this needed not: and if they will proceed in the kings courts against such as pursue in the ecclesiasticall courts for matter temporall, that is to be inflicted upon them, which the quality of their offence requireth; and how many sentences howsoever are given, yet prohibitions thereupon are not of favour, but of justice to be granted.

11. No prohibition to be granted, but upon due consideration of the libell.

Objection. It is (we are perswaded) a great abuse, and one of the chiefe grounds of the most of the former abuses, and many other, that prohibitions are granted without sight of the libell in the ecclesiasticall court; yea, sometimes before the libell be there exhibited, whereas by the lawes and statutes of this realme (as we thinke) the libell (being a briefe declaration of the matter in debate betwene the plaintife and defendant) is appointed as the only rule and direction for

for the due granting of a prohibition, the reason whereof is evident, *viz.* upon diligent consideration of the libell it will easily appeare, whether the cause belong to the temporall or ecclesiasticall cognizance, as on the other side without sight of the libell, the prohibition must needs range and roave with strange and forraigne suggestions at the will and pleasure of the devisor, nothing pertinent to the matter in demand: whereupon it cometh to passe, that when the judge ecclesiasticall is handling a matter of simony, a prohibition is grounded upon a suggestion, that the court tryeth *placita, de aduocationibus ecclesiarum, et de iure patronatus.* And when the libell containeth nothing but the demand of tithe wooll, and lamb, the prohibition surmiseth a custome of paying of tithe pigeons. So that if it may be made a matter of conscience to grant prohibitions only, where they doe rightly lye, or to preserve the jurisdiction ecclesiasticall united to his majesties crowne, it cannot (we hope) but seem necessary to your lordships, that due consideration be first had of the libell in the ecclesiasticall court, before any prohibition be granted.

[608]

Who hath an advowson granted to him for money, being sued for simony, shall have a prohibition; and it is manifest, that though in the libell there appeare no matter to grant a prohibition, yet upon a collaterall surmise the prohibition is to be granted: as where one is sued in a spirituall court for tithes of *silva cædua*, the party may suggest, that they were grosse or great trees, and have a prohibition, yet no such matter appeareth in the libell. So if one bee sued there for violent hands laid on a minister by an officer, as a constable, hee being sued there may suggest, that the plaintife made an affray upon another, and he to preserve the peace laid hands on him, and so have a prohibition. And so in very many other like cases, and yet upon the libell no matter appeareth why a prohibition should be granted: and they will never shew, that a custome to pay pigeons was allowed to discharge the payment of wooll, lamb, or such like.

Answer.

No prohibition to be granted under pretence, that one witnesse cannot be received in the ecclesiasticall court, to ground a judgement upon.

12.

There is a new devised suggestion in the temporall courts commonly received and allowed, whereby they may at their will and pleasure draw any cause whatsoever from the ecclesiasticall court: for example, many prohibitions have lately come forth upon this suggestion, that the lawes ecclesiasticall doe require two witnesses, where the common law accepteth of one; and therefore it is *contra legem terræ*, for the ecclesiasticall judge to insist upon two witnesses to prove his cause: upon which suggestion, although many consultations have been granted (the same being no way as yet able to warrant and maintaine a prohibition) yet because we are not sure, but that either by reason of the use of it, or of some future construction, it may have given to it more strength then is convenient, the same tending to the utter overthrow of all ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, we most humbly desire, that by your lordships good meanes, the same may be ordered to be no more used.

Objection.

If the question be upon payment, or setting out of tithes, or upon the prooffe of a legacy, or marriage, or such like incidence,

Answer.

we are to leave it to the tryall of their law, though the party have but one witnesse; but where the matter is not determinable in the ecclesiasticall court, there lyeth a prohibition either upon, or without such a surmise.

13. No good suggestion for a prohibition, that the cause is neither testamentary, nor matrimoniall.

Objection.

[609]

As the former device last mentioned endevoareth to strike away at one blow the whole ecclesiasticall jurisdiction; so there is another as usuall, or rather more frequent then the former, which is content to spare us two kind of causes to deale in, *viz.* testamentary, and matrimoniall: and this device insulteth mightily in many prohibitions, commanding the ecclesiasticall judge, that be the cause never so apparently of ecclesiasticall cognisance, yet hee shall surcease; for that is neither a cause testamentary, nor matrimoniall: which suggestion, as it grew at the first upon mistaking, and omitting the words, *de bonis et catallis, &c.* as may appeare by divers ancient prohibitions in the Register; so it will not be denied, but that, besides those two, divers and fundry other causes are notoriously knowne to be of ecclesiasticall cognizance, and that consultations are as usually awarded (if suit in that behalfe be prosecuted) notwithstanding the said suggestion, as their prohibitions are easily granted; which, as an injury, marching with the rest to wound poore men, protract suits, and prejudice the courts ecclesiasticall, we desire that the judges will be pleased to redresse.

Answer.

If they observe well the answer to the former objections, they may be thereby satisfied, that we prohibit not so generally as they pretend, nor doe in any wise deale further then we ought to doe, to the prejudice of that which appertaineth to that jurisdiction; but when they will deale with matters of temporall contracts, coloured with pretended ecclesiasticall matter, wee ought to prohibit them with that forme of prohibitions, mentioning, that it concerneth not matter of marriage, nor testamentary: and they shall not find that we have granted any, but by forme warranted, both by the Register, and by law: And when suggestions, carrying matter sufficient, appeare to us judicially to be untrue and insufficient, we are as ready to grant consultations as prohibitions: and we may not alter the forme of our prohibitions upon the conceits of ecclesiasticall judges, and prohibitions granted in the forme set downe in the article, are of that forme which by law they ought to be, and cannot be altered but by parliament.

14. No prohibition upon surmise onely to be granted, either out of the kings bench, or common pleas, but out of the chancery onely.

Objection.

Amongst the causes whereby the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction is oppressed with multitude of prohibitions upon surmises onely, this hath a chiefe place, in that through incroachment (as wee suppose) there are so many severall courts, and judges in them, that take upon them to grant the same, as in the kings bench five, and in the common pleas as many, the one court oftentimes crossing the proceedings of the other, whereas wee are perswaded, that all such kinds of prohibitions, being originall writs, ought onely to issue out

out of the chancery, and neither out of the kings bench, nor common pleas. And that this hath been the ancient practice in that behalfe, appeareth by some statutes of the realme, and sundry judgements at the common law; the renewing of which practice carrieth with it an apparant shew of great benefit and conveniency, both to the church, and to the subject: for if prohibitions were to issue onely out of one court, and from one man of such integrity, judgement, sincerity, and wisdom, as we are to imagine the lord chancellour of England to be endued with, it is not likely, that he would ever be induced to prejudice and pester the ecclesiasticall courts with so many needlesse prohibitions; or, after a consultation, to send out in one cause, and upon one and the same libell not altered, prohibition upon prohibition, his owne act remaining upon record before him to the contrary. The further consideration whereof, when, upon the judges answer thereunto, it shall be more thoroughly debated, wee must referre to your lordships honourable direction and wisdom.

A strange presumption in the ecclesiasticall judges, to require that the kings courts should not doe that which by law they ought to doe, and alwayes have done, and which by oath they are bound to doe! and if this shall be holden inconvenient, and they can in discharge of us obtaine some act of parliament to take it from all other courts then the chancery, they shall doe unto us a great ease: but the law of the realme cannot be changed, but by parliament; and what reliefe or ease such an act may worke to the subject, wise men will soone finde out and discerne: but by these articles thus dispersed abroad, there is a generall unbeseeming aspersion of that upon the judges, which ought to have been forborn.

Answer.

[610]

No prohibition to be awarded under a false pretence, that the ecclesiasticall judges would hold no plea for customes for tithes.

15.

Amongst many devices, whereby the cognizance of causes of tithes is drawn from ecclesiasticall judges, this is one of the chiefest, viz. concerning the tryall of customes in payment of tithes, that it must be made in a temporall court; for upon a quirke and false suggestion in Edward the fourth his time, made by some sergeants, a conceit hath risen (which hath lately taken greater strength then before) that ecclesiasticall judges will allow no plea of custome or prescription, either in *non decimando*, or in *modo decimandi*; and thereupon, when contentious persons are sued in the ecclesiasticall court for tithes, and doe perceive, that upon good prooffe judgement will be given against them, even in their owne pleas, sometimes for customes, doe presently (knowing their own strength with jurors in the country) flie unto Westminster hall, and there suggesting that they pleaded custome for themselves in the ecclesiasticall courts, but could not be heard, doe procure thence very readily a prohibition; and albeit the said suggestion be notoriously false, yet the party prohibited may not bee permitted to traverse the same in the temporall court (directly contrary to a statute made in that behalfe): neither may the judge prohibited proceed without danger of an attachment, though himselfe doe certainly know, either that no such custome was ever alledged before him, or being alledged, that he did receive the same, and all manner of proofes offered

Objection.

offered thereupon: which course seemeth the more strange unto us, because the ground thereof laid in Edward the fourth his time, as aforesaid, was altogether untrue, and cannot with any sound reason be maintained: divers statutes and judgements at the common law doe allow the ecclesiasticall courts to hold plea of such customes; all our bookes and generall learning doe therewith con-
 curre, and the ecclesiasticall courts, both then and ever since, even untill this day, have, and still doe admit the same, as both by our ancient and recent records it doth and may to any most manifestly appeare. And besides, there are some consultations to bee shewed in this very point, wherein the said surmise and suggestion, that the ecclesiasticall judges will heare no plea of customes, is affirmed to be insufficient in law to maintaine any such prohibition: and therefore we hope, that if we shall be able, notwithstanding any thing the judges shall answer thereunto, to justifie the premisses, your Lordships will be a meanes, that the abuses herein complained of, having so false a ground, may be amended.

Answer.

The temporall courts have alwayes granted prohibitions as well in cases *de modo decimandi*, as in cases upon reall compositions, either in discharge of tithes, or the manner of tithing: for that *modus decimandi* had his originall ground upon some composition in that kinde made, and all prescriptions and compositions in these cases are to be tryed at the common law, and the ecclesiasticall courts ought to be prohibited, if in these cases they had plea of tithes in kind: but if they will sue in the ecclesiasticall court *de modo decimandi*, or according to composition, then we prohibit them not: and the cause why the ecclesiasticall judges find fault herewith, is, because many ministers have growne of late more troublesome to their parishioners, then in times past; and thereby worke unto these courts more commodity, whereas in former ages they were well contented to accept that which was used to be paid, and not to contend against any prescription or composition; but now they grow so troublesome to their neighbours, as, were it not for the prohibition (as may appeare by the presidents before remembered) they would soone overthrow all prescriptions and compositions that are for tithes, which doth and would breed such a generall garboile amongst the people, as were to be pitied, and not to be permitted. And where they say, there bee many statutes that take away these proceedings from the temporall courts, they are much deceived; and if they looke well unto it, they shall find even the same statutes (they pretend) to give way unto it. And it is strange they will affirme so great an untruth, as to say, they are not permitted to traverse the suggestion in the temporall court; for both the law and daily practice doth allow it.

[CII]

16. The customes for tithes are onely to be tried in the ecclesiasticall courts, and ought not to be drawne thence by prohibitions.

Answer.

Although some indiscreet ecclesiasticall judges, either in the time of king Edward the fourth, or Edward the sixth, might, against law, have refused in some one cause to admit a plea of custome of tithes, to the prejudice of some person whom he favoured, and might thereby peradventure have given occasion of some one prohibition (but whether they did so or no, the suggestion of a lawyer for his fee is no good prooffe) yet forasmuch as by three statutes
 made

made since that time, wherein it is ordained, *viz.* both that tithes should be truly paid, according to the custome, and the tryall of such payments, according to custome upon any default or opposition, should be tryed in the kings ecclesiasticall courts, and by the kings ecclesiasticall lawes, and not otherwise, or before any other judges then ecclesiasticall, we most humbly desire your lordships, that if according to the said lawes we be most ready to heare any plea of customes your lordships would be pleased, that the judges may not be permitted hereafter to grant any prohibitions upon such false surmises; or if they shall answer, that wee mistake the said statutes, that then the said three statutes may bee thoroughly debated before your lordships, lest under pretence of a right, which they challenge, to expound these kind of statutes, the truth may be over-borne, and poore ministers still left unto country tryalls, there to justifie the right of their tithes before unconscionable jurors in these cases.

The answer to the former article may serve for this; and where the objection seemeth to impeach the tryall at the common law by jurors, we hold, and shall be able to approve it to be a farre better course for matter of fact upon the testimonie of witnesses, sworne *vi-va voce*, then upon the conscience of any one particular man, being guided by paper proofes; and we never heard it excepted unto heretofore, that any statute should be expounded by any other then the judges of the land; neither was there ever any so much over-seen, as to oppose himselfe against the practice of all ages to make that question, or to lay any such unjust imputation upon the judges of the realme.

Answer.

No prohibition to be granted, because the treble value of tithes is sued for in the ecclesiasticall court. 17.

Whereas it appeareth plainly by the tenour of the statute of Edw. 6. cap. 13. that judges ecclesiasticall, and none other, are to heare and determine all suits of tithes, and other duties for the same, which are given by the said act; and that nothing else is added to former lawes by that statute, but onely certaine penalties, for example, one of treble value: forasmuch as the said penalty, being onely devised as a meanes to worke the better payment of tithes, and for that there are no words used in the said statute to give jurisdiction to any temporall court, we hold it most apparant, that the said penalty of treble value, being a duty given in the said statute for non-payment of tithes, cannot bee demanded in the temporall court, but onely before the ecclesiasticall judges, according to the expresse words of the said statute: and the rather, wee are so perswaded, because it is most agreeable to all lawes and reason, that where the principall cause is to bee decided, there all things incident and accessary are to bee determined. Besides, it was the practice of all ecclesiasticall courts in this realme, immediately after the making of the said statute, and hath continued so ever since to award treble damages (when there hath been cause) without any opposition, untill about ten yeares past, when, or about which time, notwithstanding the premisses, the temporall judges began to hold plea of treble value, and doe now accompt it so proper and peculiar to their jurisdictions, as by colour thereof they admit suits originally for the said penalty, and doe make thereby

Objection;

[612]

(very

(very absurdly) the penalty of treble value to bee principall, which is indeed but the accessary; and the cognizance of tithes to bee but the accessary, which in all due construction is most evident to be the principall, thereby wholly perverting the true meaning and drift of that statute, whereupon if in the spirituall court the treble value be now demanded by the libell as a duty, according to that statute, or that sentence be awarded directly and sincerely upon the said libell, presently, as contentious persons are disposed, a prohibition is granted, and some sharp words are further used, as if the ecclesiasticall judges were in some further danger for holding of these kind of pleas: and therefore we most humbly desire, that if the judges shall insist in their answers upon such their straining of the said statute, your lordships will be pleased to heare the same further debated by us with them.

Answer.

If they observe well the statute, they shall find, that the ecclesiasticall court is by that statute to hold plea of no more, then that which is specially thereby limited for them to hold plea of; and the temporall court not restrained thereby, to hold plea of that which is not limited unto the ecclesiasticall court by that act, and of that they had jurisdiction of before: and the forfeiture of double value is expressly limited to be recovered before the ecclesiasticall judges; but where a forfeiture is given by an act generally not limiting where to be recovered, it is to be recovered in the kings temporall courts, and the cause why it is so divided, seemeth to be for that, where by that act, temporall men were to sue for their tithes in the ecclesiasticall court, where it was then presumed they were to have no great favour: therefore the party grieved might (if he would) pursue for the forfeiture of the treble value in the temporall court, where hee was to recover no tithes; but if he would sue where he might also recover the tithes, then hee would pursue for the double value: for that is specially appointed to be recovered in the ecclesiasticall court, but not the treble value. And although they alledge, that they sometimes used to maintaine suit for the treble value, yet as soon as that was complained of to the kings courts, they gave remedy unto it as appertained.

18. No prohibition to be awarded, where the person is stopped from carrying away of his tithes by him that setteth them forth.

Objection.

As the said statute of Edward the sixth last mentioned assigneth a penalty of treble value, if a man upon pretence of custome, which cannot be justified, shall take away his corne before he hath set out his tithes; so also in the said statute it is provided, that if any man having set out his tithes, shall not afterwards suffer the parson to carry them away, &c. he shal pay the double value thereof so carried away, the same to be recovered in the ecclesiasticall court. Howbeit the clearnesse of the statute in this point, notwithstanding meanes are found to draw this cause also from the ecclesiasticall court; for such as of hatred towards their ministers are disposed to vexe them with suits at the common law (where they finde more favour to maintaine their wrangling, then they can hope for in the ecclesiasticall court) will not faile to set out their tithes before witnessies, but not with any meaning or intent that the parson shall ever carry them away; for presently thereupon they will cause their owne servants to load them away to their
owne

owne barnes, and leave the parson as he can to seek his remedy; which if he do attempt in the ecclesiasticall court, out cometh a prohibition, suggesting, that upon severance and setting forth of the tenth part from the nine, the same tenths were presently by law in the parsons possession, and being thereupon become a lay chattell, must be recovered by an action of trespassse at the common law, whereas the whole pretence is grounded upon a meere perverting of the statute, which doth both ordain, that all tithes shall be set forth truly and justly without fraud and guile; and that also the parson shall not be stopped or hindered from carrying them away, neither of which conditions are observed when the farmer doth set them forth, meaning to carry them away himselfe (for that is the fraudulent setting of them out;) and also, when accordingly hee taketh them away to his own use; for thereby hee stoppeth the parson to carry them away: and consequently, the penalty of this offence is to bee recovered in the said ecclesiasticall courts, according to the words of the said statute, and not in any court temporall: wherefore we most humbly desire your lordships, that either the judges may make it apparant to your lordships, that we mislike this statute in this point, or that our ecclesiasticall courts may ever hereafter be freed from such kinds of prohibitions.

[613]

For the matter of this article it is answered before, and where the truth of the case is, that he that ought to pay prediall tithes, doth not divide out his tithes, or doth in any wise interrupt the parson or his deputy, to see the dividing or setting of them out: that appearing unto us judicially, we maintain no prohibition upon any suit there for the double value, but if after the tithes severed, the parson will sell the tithes to the party that divided them, upon the surmise thereof, we doe, and ought to grant a prohibition; but if that surmise doe prove untrue, we do as readily grant a consultation, and the party seeking the same, is, according to the statute, to have his double costs and damages.

Answer.

No prohibition to be granted upon any incident plea in an ecclesiasticall cause.

19.

We conceive it to be great injury to his majesties ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, that prohibitions are awarded to his ecclesiasticall courts upon every by, and every incident plea or matter alledged there in barre, or by way of exception, the principall cause being undoubtedly of ecclesiasticall cognizance: for example, in suit for tithes in kind, if the limits of the parish, agreements, compositions, and arbitraments, as also whether the minister that sueth as parson, be indeed parson or vicar, doe come in debate by way of barre, although the same particulars were of temporall cognizance (as some of them wee may boldly say are not) yet they were in this case examinable in the ecclesiasticall court, because they are matters incident, which come not in that case finally to be sentenced and determined, but are used as a meane and furtherance for the decision of the maine matter in question. And so the case standeth in other such incident pleas by way of barre; for otherwise either party in every cause might at his pleasure, by pleading some matter temporall by way of exception, make any cause ecclesiasticall whatsoever subject to a prohibition, which is contrary to the reason of the common law, and sundry judgements thereupon given.

Objection.

given, as wee hope the judges themselves will acknowledge, and thereupon yeeld to have such prohibitions hereafter restrained.

Answer.

Matters incident that fall out to be meere temporall, are to be dealt withall in the temporall, and not in the ecclesiastical court, as is before particularly set downe in the eleventh article.

20.

That no temporall judges, under colour of authority to interpret statutes, ought, in favour of their prohibitions, to make causes ecclesiasticall to be of temporall cognizance.

Objection.

[614]

Although of late dayes it hath been strongly held by some, that the interpretation of all statutes whatsoever doe belong to the judges temporall, yet we suppose, by certain evil effects, that this opinion is to bee bounded within certaine limits; for the strong conceit of it hath already brought forth this fruit, that even those very statutes which doe concerne matters meerly ecclesiasticall, and were made of purpose with great caution, to preserve, enlarge, and strengthen the jurisdiction ecclesiasticall, have been by colour thereof turned to the restraining, weakening, and utter overthrow of the same, contrary to the true intent and meaning of the said statutes: as for example (besides the strange interpretation of the statutes before mentioned, for the payment of tithes) when parties have been sued in the ecclesiastical courts, in case of an incestuous marriage, a prohibition hath been awarded, suggesting, under pretence of a statute in the time of king Hen. 8. that it appertaineth to the temporall courts, and not to the ecclesiasticall, to determine what marriages are lawfull, and what are incestuous by the word of God. As also a minister, being upon point of deprivation for his insufficiency in the ecclesiasticall court, a prohibition was granted, upon suggestion that all pleas of the fitnessse, learning, and sufficiency of ministers belong only to the kings temporall courts, relying, as wee suppose, upon the statute of 13 Eliz. by which kind of interpretation of statutes, if the naming, disposing, or ordering of causes ecclesiasticall in a statute shall make the same to be of temporal cognizance, and so abolish the jurisdiction of the ecclesiasticall court, without any further circumstances, or expresse words to warrant the same, it followeth, that forasmuch as the common book and articles of religion are established and confirmed by severall acts of parliament, the temporall judges may challenge to themselves an authority to end and determine of all causes of faith and religion, and to send out their prohibitions, if any ecclesiasticall judge shall deale or proceed in any of them: which conceit, how absurd it is, needeth no prooffe, and teacheth us, that when matters meerly ecclesiasticall are comprized in any statute, it doth not therefore follow, that the interpretation of the said matters doth belong to the temporall judges, who by their profession, and as they are judges, are not acquainted with that kind of learning: hereunto, when we shall receive the answer of the judges, we shall be ready to justifie every part of this article.

Answer.

If any such have slipt, as is set downe in this article, without other circumstances to maintaine it, we make no doubt, but when that appeared to the kings temporall court, it hath been presently remitted; and yet there be cases, that we may deale both with marriages and matters of deprivation, as where they will call the marriage in question after the death of any of the parties, the marriage

marriage may not then be called in question, because it is to bastard and disinherit the issues, who cannot so well defend the marriage, as the parties both living themselves might have done; and so is it, if they will deprive a minister not for matter appertaining to the ecclesiasticall cognizance, but for that which doth meerly belong to the cognizance of the kings temporall courts. And for the judges expounding of statutes that concerne the ecclesiasticall government or proceedings, it belongeth unto the temporall judges; and wee thinke they have been expounded as much to their advantage, as either the letter or intention of lawes would or could allow of. And when they have been expounded to their liking, then they could approve of it; but if the exposition be not for their purpose, then will they say, as now they doe, that it appertaineth not unto us to determine of them.

That persons imprisoned upon the writ of *de excommunicato capiendo* are unduly delivered, and prohibitions unduly awarded for their greater security. 21.

Forasmuch as imprisonment upon the writ of *excommunicato capiendo* is the chiefest temporall strength of ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, and that by the lawes of the realm none so committed for their contempt in matters of ecclesiasticall cognizance, ought to be delivered untill the ecclesiasticall courts were satisfied, or caution given in that behalfe, we would gladly be resolved by what authority the temporall judges do cause the sherifes to bring the said parties into their courts, and by their owne discretions set them at liberty, without notice thereof first given to the ecclesiasticall judges, or any satisfaction made either to the parties at whose suit he was imprisoned, or the ecclesiasticall court, where certaine lawfull fees are due: and after all this, why doe they likewise send out their prohibitions to the said court, commanding, that all censures against the said parties shall be remitted, and that they be no more proceeded with for the same causes in those courts. Of this our desire, we hope your lordships do see sufficient cause, and will therefore procure us from the judges some reasonable answer. Objection.

[615]

We affirme, if the party excommunicate be imprisoned, wee ought upon complaint to send the kings writ for the body and the cause, and if in the returne no cause, or no sufficient cause appeare, then we doe (as we ought) set him at liberty; otherwise, if upon removing the body, the matter appeare to be of ecclesiasticall cognizance, then we remit him againe; and this we ought to doe in both cases; for the temporall courts must alwaies have an eye, that the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction usurp not upon the temporall. Answer.

The kings authority in ecclesiasticall causes is greatly impugned by prohibitions. 22.

We are not a little perplexed touching the authority of his majestie in causes ecclesiasticall, in that we find the same to be so impeached by prohibitions, that it is in effect thereby almost extinguished; for it seemeth, that the innovating humour is growne so rank, and that some of the temporall judges are come to be of opinion, that the commissioners appointed by his majesty for his causes Objection.

causes ecclesiasticall (having committed unto them the execution of all ecclesiasticall jurisdiction annexed to his majesties imperiall crowne, by virtue of an act of parliament made in that behalfe, and according to the tenour and effect of his majesties letters patents, wherein they are authorized to imprison, and impose fines, as they shall see cause) cannot otherwise proceed, the said act and letters patents notwithstanding, then by ecclesiasticall censures onely: and thereupon of latter dayes, whereas certaine lewd persons (two for example sake) one for notorious adultery and other intolerable contempts, and another for abusing of a bishop of this kingdome with threatning speeches, and sundry railing termes (no way to be endured) were thereupon fined and imprisoned by the said commissioners, till they should enter into bonds to performe further orders of the said court; the one was delivered by an *habeas corpus* out of the kings bench, and the other by a like writ out of the common pleas: and sundry other prohibitions have been likewise awarded to his majesties said commissioners upon these suggestions, *viz.* that they had no authority either to fine or imprison any man; which innovating conceit being added to this that followeth, That the writ of *de excommunicato capiendo* cannot lawfully be awarded upon any certificate or *significavit* made by the said commissioners, wee find his majesties said supreme authority in causes ecclesiasticall (so largely amplified in sundry statutes) to be altogether destitute in effect of any meanes to uphold it, if the said proceedings by temporall judges shall be by them maintained and justified; and therefore wee most humbly desire your lordships, that they may declare themselves herein, and be restrained hereafter (if there be cause found) from using the kings name in their prohibitions, to so great prejudice of his majesties said authority, as in debating the same before your lordships will hereafter more fully appeare.

Answer.

[616]

Wee doe not, neither will we in any wise impugne the ecclesiasticall authority in any thing that appertaineth unto it; but if any by the ecclesiasticall authority commit any man to prison, upon complaint unto us that he is imprisoned without just cause, we are to send to have the body, and to be certified of the cause; and if they will not certifie unto us the particular cause, but generally, without expressing any particular cause, whereby it may appeare unto us to be a matter of the ecclesiasticall cognizance, and his imprisonment be just, then we doe and ought to deliver him: and this is their fault, and not ours. And although some of us have dealt with them to make some such particular certificate to us, whereby wee may bee able to judge upon it, as by law they ought to doe, yet they will by no meanes doe it; and therefore their error is the cause of this, and no fault in us: for if we see not a just cause of the parties imprisonment by them, then we ought, and are bound by oath to deliver him.

23. No prohibition to be granted, under pretence to reforme the manner of proceedings by the ecclesiasticall lawes, in causes confessed to be of ecclesiasticall cognizance.

Objection.

Notwithstanding that the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction hath been much impeached heretofore through the multitude of prohibitions, yet the suggestions in them had some colour of justice, as pretending,

pretending, that the judges ecclesiasticall dealt with temporall causes: but now, as it seemeth, they are subject to the same controlments, whether the cause they deale in be either ecclesiasticall or temporall, in that prohibitions of late are wrestled out of their owne proper course, in the nature of a writ of error, or of an appeale: for, whereas the true and onely use of a prohibition is to restraine the judges ecclesiasticall from dealing in a matter of temporall cognizance, now prohibitions are awarded upon these surmises, *viz.* that the libell, the articles, the sentence, and the ecclesiasticall court, according to the ecclesiasticall lawes, are grievous and insufficient, though the matter there dealt withall be meerly ecclesiasticall: and by colour of such prohibitions, the temporall judges to alter and change the decrees and sentences of the judges ecclesiasticall, and to moderate the expences taxed in the ecclesiasticall courts, and to award consultations upon conditions: as for example, that the plaintife in the ecclesiasticall court shall except of the one halfe of the costs awarded, and that the register shall lose his fees; and that the said plaintife shall be contented with the payment of his legacy, which was the principall sued for, and adjudged due unto him at such day, as they the said temporall judges shall appoint, or else the prohibition must stand. And also where his majesties commissioners, for causes ecclesiasticall, have not been accustommed to give a copy of the articles to any party, before he hath answered them; and that the statute of Hen. 5. touching the delivering of the libell, was not onely publikely adjudged in the kings bench, not to extend to the deliverance of articles, where the party is proceeded with *ex officio*, but likewise imparted to his majestie, and afterwards divulged in the starre-chamber, as a full resolution of the judges, yet within 4 or 5 moneths after, a prohibition was awarded to the said commissioners out of the kings bench, upon suggestion, that the party ought to have a copy of the articles, being called in question *ex officio*, before he should answer them; and notwithstanding that a motion was made in full court shortly after for a consultation, yet an order was entred, that the prohibition should stand untill the said partie had a copy of the said articles given him; which novell and extraordinary courses doe seem very strange unto us, and are contrary not onely to the whole course of his majesties lawes ecclesiasticall, but also to the very maximes and judgement of the common law, and sundry statutes of this realme, as wee shall be ready to justifie before your lordships, if the judges shall endeavour to maintaine these their proceedings.

To this we say, that though where parties are proceeded withall *ex officio*, there needeth no libell, yet ought they to have the cause made knowne unto them for which they are called *ex officio*, before they be examined, to the end it may appeare unto them before their examination, whether the cause be of ecclesiasticall cognizance, otherwise they ought not to examine them upon oath. And touching the rest of this article, they doe utterly mistake it.

Answer.

That temporall judges are sworne to defend the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction.

[617]
24.

We may not omit to signifie unto your lordships, that (as wee take it) the temporall judges are not onely bound by their ancient oath,

Objection.

oath, that they shall doe nothing to the dis-herison of the crown, but also by a latter oath unto the kings supremacy, wherein they doe sweare, that, to their power, they will assist and defend all jurisdictions, priviledges, preheminences, and authorities united and annexed to the imperiall crowne of this realme; in which words the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction is specially aimed at: so that whereas they doe oftentimes insist upon for their oath, for doing of justice in temporall causes, and do seldome make mention of the second oath taken by them for the defence of the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, with the rights and immunities belonging to the church; we think, that they ought to weigh their said oaths better together, and not so farre to extend the one, as that it should in any sort prejudice the other: the due consideration whereof (which we most instantly desire) would put them in mind (any suggestion to the contrary notwithstanding) to be as carefull not to doe any thing that may prejudice the lawfull proceedings of the ecclesiasticall judges in ecclesiasticall causes, as they are circumspect not to suffer any impeachment, or blemish of their owne jurisdictions and proceedings in causes temporall.

Answer.

We are assured, that none can justly charge any of us with violating our oaths, and it is a strange part to taxe judges in this manner, and to lay so great an imputation upon us; and what scandall it will be to the justice of the realme to have so great levity, and so foule an imputation laid upon the judges, as is done in this, is too manifest. And we are assured it cannot be shewed, that the like hath been done in any former age; and for lesse scandals then this of the justice of the realme, divers have been severely punished.

25. That excommunication is as lawfull, as prohibition, for the mutuall preservation of both his majesties supreme jurisdiction.

Objection.

To conclude, whereas for the better preserving of his majesties two supreme jurisdictions before mentioned, *viz.* the ecclesiasticall and the temporall, that the one might not usurp upon the other, two meanes heretofore have of ancient time been ordained, that is to say, the censure of excommunication, and the writ of prohibition; the one to restrain the incroachment of the temporall jurisdiction upon the ecclesiasticall, the other of the ecclesiasticall upon the temporall, we most humbly desire your lordships, that by your meanes the judges may be induced to resolve us, why excommunications may not as freely be put in ure for the preservation of the jurisdiction ecclesiasticall, as prohibitions are, under pretence to defend the temporall, especially against such contentious persons, as doe wittingly and willingly, upon false and frivolous suggestions, to the delay of justice, vexation of the subjects, and great scandall of ecclesiasticall jurisdictions, daily procure, without feare either of God or men, such undue prohibitions, as we have heretofore mentioned.

Answer.

The excommunication cannot be gain-said, neither may the prohibition be denied upon the surmise made, that the matter pursued in the ecclesiasticall court is of temporall cognizance, but as soon as that shall appeare unto us judicially to be false, we grant the consultation.

For

For the better satisfaction of his majesty, and your lordships, touching the objections delivered against prohibitions, we have thought good to set downe (as may be perceived by that which hath been said) the ordinary proceeding in his majesties courts therein; whereby it may appeare both what the judges doe, and ought to doe in those causes; and the ecclesiasticall judges may doe well to consider, what issue the course they herein hold can have in the end: and they shall find it can be no other, but to cast a scandall upon the justice of the realme; for the judges doing but what they ought, and by their oaths are bound to doe, it is not to be called in question: and if it fall out, that they erre in judgement, it cannot otherwise be reformed, but judicially in a superiour court, or by parliament.

[618]

Subscribed by all the judges of England, and the barons of the exchequer, Pasch. 4 Jacobi, and delivered to the lord chancellor of England.

Which answers and resolutions, although they were not enacted by authority of parliament, as our statute of *Articuli Cleri* in 9 E. 2. was; yet, being resolved unanimously by all the judges of England, and barons of the exchequer, are for matters in law of highest authority next unto the court of parliament.

Magna est veritas, et prevalet.

But now we will peruse the preamble, and after every chapter in order, and proceed to the exposition of the same; which office the clergy claimed, *viz.* to interpret all statute lawes concerning the clergy; but it was resolved by all the judges of England, that the interpretation of all statutes concerning the clergy, being parcell of the lawes of the realme, doe belong to the judges of the common law.

Artic' Cleri.
3 Jac. ad artic.
20.

EDWARDUS Dei gratia rex Angliæ, &c. omnibus ad quos presentes literæ pervenerint, salutem. Sciatis quod cum dudum, temporibus progenitorum nostrorum quondam regum Angliæ, in diversis parliamentis suis (1); et similiter postquam regni nostri gubernacula suscepimus, in parliamentis nostris (2), per prælatos, et clericum (4) regni nostri plures articuli continentes gravamina aliqua ecclesiæ Anglicanæ, et ipsis prælatis et clero illata (ut in eisdem asserbatur) porrecti fuissent, et cum instantia supplicatum, ut inde apponeretur remedium opportunum: ac nuper in parlamento nostro apud Lincoln', anno regni nostri ix. (3) articulos subscriptos, et quasdam responsiones ad aliquos eorum prius factas, coram concilio nostro recitari, ac quasdam responsiones corrigi, et cæ-

II. INST. teris

THE king to all to whom, &c. sendeth greeting. Understand ye, That whereas of late times of our progenitors sometimes kings of England, in divers their parliaments, and likewise after that we had undertaken the governance of the realm, in our parliaments many articles containing divers grievances (committed against the church of England, the prelates and clergy) were propounded by the prelates and clerks of our realm; and further, great instance was made that convenient remedy might be provided therein: and of late in our parliament holden at Lincoln, the ninth year of our reign, we caused the articles underwritten, with certain answers made to some of them heretofore, to be rehearsed before our council, and made certain answers

3 R to

teris articulis subscriptis per nos, et dictum concilium nostrum fecerimus responderi: quorum quidem articulorum et responsionum tenores subsequuntur in hunc modum.

to be corrected; and to the residue of the articles underwritten, answers were made by us and our council; of which said articles, with the answers of the same, the tenors here ensue.

(1) *Cum dudum temporibus progenitorum nostrorum, &c. in diversis parliamentis.]* That is, in the said parliament holden anno 51 H. 3. *Articuli Cleri*, and of the said acts in the raigne of E. 1. called *prohibitio formata super Artic' Cleri*, and *Articuli contra prohibitionem regiam*, which have been cited before.

Rot. parl. 5 E. 2.
m. 3. & 8 E. 2.

(2) *In parliamentis nostris.] Viz. 5 E. 2. & 8 E. 2.*

[619]
Vid. artic' Cler'
anno 3 Jacobi
regis ad artic' 1.
& 13.

(3) *Ac nuper in parlamento nostro apud Lincoln' anno regni nostri nono.]* There were two parliaments holden in this ninth yeare, viz. the one at Lincoln, 15 Hill. mentioned in this preamble; and the other, 15 Pasch' anno nono at Westminster: and as one saith, *Merito in parlamento conquesti sunt, quia lex Angliæ sine parlamento mutari non potest.*

And note well what is said there, viz. what the law doth warrant in cases of prohibition, to keep every jurisdiction in his true limits, cannot be altered but by parliament.

Vid. ubi supra
ad artic' 3.
Vid. ad artic'
10. 21.

(4) *Per prælatos et clerum, &c.]* In these parliaments complaint was made by the clergy onely; but the kings courts, that may award prohibitions, being informed by the parties themselves, or by any stranger, that any court temporall or ecclesiasticall doe hold plea of that whereof they have not jurisdiction, may lawfully prohibit the same, as well after judgement and execution, as before; and so resolved by all the judges of England, and barons of the exchequer, agreeable to make authorities in law.

C A P. I.

INPRIMIS laici impetrant prohibitiones in genere super decimis, obventionibus, oblationibus, mortuariis, redemptionibus penitentiarum, violenta manuum injectione in clericum vel conversum, et in casu diffamationis: in quibus casibus agitur ad pœnam canonicam imponendam: rex ad istum articulum respondit, quod in decimis, oblationibus, obventionibus, mortuariis, quando sub istis nominibus proponuntur, prohibitioni regie non est locus; etiamsi, propter detentionem istorum diuturnam, ad æstimationem eorundem pecuniariam veniatur. Sed si clericus, vel religiosus decimas suas in horreo suo

FIRST, whereas lay-men do purchase prohibitions generally upon tythes, obventions, oblations, mortuaries, redemption of penance, violent laying hands on clerks or converts, and in cases of defamation, in which cases spiritual penance ought to be enjoined; the king doth answer to this article, that in tythes, oblations, obventions, mortuaries (when they are propounded under these names) the king's prohibition shall hold no place, although for the long withholding of the same the money may be esteemed at a sum certaine. But if a clerk or a religious

man

congregatas, vel alibi existentes vendiderit alicui pro pecunia: si petatur pecunia coram iudice ecclesiastico, locum habet regia prohibitio, quia per venditionem res spirituales fiunt temporales, et transeunt decimæ in catalla.

man do sell his tythes being gathered in his barn, or otherwise, to any man for money, if the money be demanded before a spiritual judge, the king's prohibition shall lie; for by the sale the spiritual goods are made temporal, and the tythes turned into chattles.

(8 Ed. 4. 13. Cro. El. 753. 12 Rep. 29. 13 Rep. 41. Rast. 484, &c.)

Of these sufficient hath been said in the exposition upon the statute of *Circumspecte agatis*: whereunto we referre the reader; only this wee may adde (which wee have reserved to this place) the resolution of all the judges of England to the 5. 8. 15, 16. 18. articles in *Artic' Cleri*, 3 *Jacobi regis*, in many cases concerning tithes, &c.

C A P. II.

I*TEM si sit contentio de jure decimarum, originem habens de jure patronatus, et earundem decimarum quantitas ascendat ad quartam partem bonorum ecclesiæ, locum habeat regia prohibitio, si hæc causa coram iudice ecclesiastico ventilet'. Item, si prælatus imponat pœnam pecuniariam alicui pro peccato (1), et repetat illam, regia prohibitio locum habet.*

[620] *Veruntamen, si prælati imponant pœnitentias corporales, et sic puniti velint hujusmodi pœnitentias per pecuniam redimere sponte, non habet locum regia prohibitio, si coram prælatis pecunia ab eis exigatur.*

ALSO if debate do arise upon the right of tythes, having his original from the right of the patronage, and the quantity of the same tythes do come unto the fourth part of the goods of the church, the king's prohibition shall hold place, if the cause come before a judge spiritual. Also if a prelate enjoin a penance pecuniary to a man for his offence, and it be demanded, the king's prohibition shall hold place. But if prelates enjoin a penance corporal, and they which be so punished will redeem upon their own accord such penances by money, if money be demanded before a judge spiritual, the king's prohibition shall hold no place.

(Co. 465. Regist. 35.)

This is intended of the kings writ of *indicavit*, whereof, and of the tryall of the right of tithes at the common law, we have spoken sufficiently for the understanding of this branch of this act, in the exposition of the statute of W. 2. cap. 5. *versus finem*, and the statute of *circumspecte agatis*, &c.

Vid. Registr. 48, &c.

(1) *Item, si prælatus imponat pœnam pecuniariam alicui pro peccato, &c.*] For the understanding hereof, wee referre the reader to the exposition upon the statute of *Circumspecte agatis*, where sufficient hath been said of this matter.

CAP. III.

INSUPER, si aliquis violentas manus injecerit in clericum, pro violentia facta debet emendari coram rege: pro excommunicatione vero, coram praelato, ubi imponatur pœnitentia corporalis; quod si reus velit sponte per pecuniam redimere, dand' praelato vel læso, potest repeti coram praelato: nec in talibus regia prohibitio locum habet.

MOREOVER, if any lay violent hands on a clerk, the amends for the peace broken shall be before the king, and for the excommunication before a prelate, that penance corporal may be enjoined; which if the offender will redeem of his own good will, by giving money to the prelate, or to the party grieved, it shall be required before the prelate, and the king's prohibition shall not lie.

(Regist. 51, 52. 57.)

For this matter, we referre the reader to the statute of *Circumspecte agatis*: to that we adde the resolution of all the judges of England touching this matter, *ad Artic' 6. & 11. in Articulis Cleri, 3 Jacob.* which you may reade before, since we began with this statute.

And here it is to be noted, that where the article of the clergy, cap. 1. *de violenta manuum injectione in clericum vel conversum*, answer is made to the clerke, but no answer is made at all to the convert.

CAP. IV.

IN diffamationibus etiam corrigant praelati supradieto modo, regia prohibitione non obstante, primo injungendo pœnam corporalem: quam si reus velit redimere libere, percipiat praelatus pecuniam, licet regia prohibitio porrigatur.

IN defamations also prelates shall correct in manner abovesaid, the king's prohibition notwithstanding; first injoyning a penance corporal, which if the offender will redeem, the prelate may freely receive the money, though the king's prohibition be shewed.

(4 Rep. 20. Regist. 49. Rast. 487, &c.)

Hereof also sufficient hath been said in the exposition upon the statute of *Circumspecte agatis*.

C A P. V.

ITEM, si aliquis in fundo suo molendinum crexit de novo, et postea a rectore loci exigatur decima de eodem, exhibetur regia prohibitio sub hac forma :

ALSO if any do erect in his ground a mill of new, and after the parson of the same place demandeth tithe for the same, the king's prohibition doth issue in this form:

Quia de tali molendino haecenus decimae non fuerunt solutae, prohibemus, &c. et sententiam excommunicationis, si quam hac occasione promulgaveritis, revocetis omnino.

Responsio: In tali casu nunquam exivit regia prohibitio de principis voluntate (1), qui et decernit talem perpetuo non exire.

The answer. In such case the king's prohibition was never granted by the king's assent, nor never shall, which hath decreed that it shall not hereafter lie in such cases.

See hereafter the exposition of the statute of 2 E. 6. cap. 17. verb. by the lawes of the realme. Vid. inter leges Edwardi regis, cap. 8. fo. 128. (1 Roll, 405. 2 Roll, 84.)

The forme of this prohibition is justly condemned, for that the substance of it was a *non decimando*, because the mill was newly erected; but yet hereby, and by our bookes it appeareth, that some tithe or other is due for a mill, be it new, or old.

But this is (as some doe hold) a personall^a tithe, coming from the gaine of the miller, by his industry and labour: as of a fisherman of the tithe of his gain by fishing, called *decimae de piscationibus*, or the like.

The words are generall, *molendinum crexit*, and doe extend to all kind of mills, as private mills, and to publike, as to fulling mills, paper mills, &c. whereof there is no tithe to bee paid, but personall, if any bee; which is a good prooffe (say they) that so it ought to be of corne mills; and if the parson should have the tenth toll-dish, then should he have not onely tithe corne, but also tithe of the same corne ground at the mill, and so a double tithe, which he shall not have of a fulling mill, paper mill, &c. No tithe shall be demanded of the rawyn, or after-pasture, or of stubble, because the parson shall not have a double tithe of one and the same thing in one yeare. If the parson hath tithe of fruit that groweth on fruit-trees, and in the same yeare the owner fell downe the fruit-trees, and make billets or fagots of them, he shall have no tithe of them, as it was holden Hill. 8 Jacob. Rot. 1109. *in communi banco, inter Baxter & Hopes.*

^b Every person exercising merchandizes, bargaining and selling, clothing, handicraft, or other art or faculty, being such kind of persons, and in such places, as heretofore, within 40 yeares, before the statute of 2 E. 6. have accustomedly used to pay such personall tithes, or of right ought to pay, other then such as be common day-labourers, shall yearly, before the feast of Easter, pay for his personall

See 2 E. 6. c. 13. every person shall justly, &c. set out, yeeld, and pay all pre-diall tithes in their proper kind, as they rise, and happen, &c. which (say they) cannot be applyed to the taking of the toll-dish. Registr. 48. b. F.N.B. 51. h. ^a Rot. claus. 7 E. 2. Decimae de molendino Ewell. Mich. 8 & 9 H. 3. coram rege, rot. 6. See Linwood, tit. de Decimis, fol. 141, 142. Mich. 9 & 10 H. 3. coram rege, rot. 15 Jo. Fitzroberts case. ^b 2 E. 6. cap. 13.

sonall tithes the tenth part of his cleare gaines, his charges and expences, according to his estate, condition, or degree, to be therein abated, allowed, or deducted, &c. And the ordinary hath power to call the parties before him, and to examine them by all lawfull and reasonable meanes, other then upon oath, concerning the true payment of personall tithes.

Nota, in this description of personall tithes, the words be, clothing, handicraft, or other art and faculty; within which generall words, the millers of fulling mills, rape mills, corne mills, and other mills be included; for a miller is of an art and faculty.

Exigatur decima.] Some do hold, that the parson shall have the tenth toll-dish, as a prediall tithc.

* He that desireth to reade more concerning this matter, let him search for two records of prohibitions in the court of common pleas, in the raigne of the late queen Elizabeth.

Note, that in many cases the common law and the canon law differ concerning the payment of tithes; the common law adjudging many things not tithable, which by the canon law ought to pay tithes: and this case of tithes of mills was never (that I know) judicially determined.

See the exposition of the statute of *Circumspecte agatis, verbo Consuet'*.

(1) *De principis (i. regis) voluntate.*] *i. Curiae regis, in qua rex sive princeps representatur.*

C A P. VI.

ITEM, si aliqua causa, vel negotium, cujus cognitio spectat ad forum ecclesiasticum, et coram ecclesiastico iudice fuerit sententialiter terminatum, et transferit in rem iudicatam (1), nec per appellationem fuerit suspensum, et postmodum coram iudice seculari, super eadem re inter easdem personas quaestio moveatur, et probetur per testes vel instrumenta, talis exceptio in foro seculari non admittatur. *Responsio*: Quando eadem causa diversis rationibus (2) coram iudicibus ecclesiasticis et secularibus ventilatur, ut supra patet de injectioe violentarum manuum in clericum, dicunt quod (non obstante ecclesiastico iudicio) curia regis ipsum tractat negotium, ut sibi expedire videtur.

ALSO if any cause or matter, the knowledge whereof belongeth to a court spiritual, and shall be definitively determined before a spiritual judge, and doth pass into a judgement, and shall not be suspended by an appeal; and after, if upon the same thing a question is moved before a temporal judge between the same parties, and it be proved by witness or instruments, such an exception is not to be admitted in a temporal court. The answer. When any one case is debated before judges spiritual or temporal (as above appeareth upon the case of laying violent hands on a clerk) it is thought, that notwithstanding the spiritual judgement, the king's court shall discuss the same matter as the party shall think expedient for himself.

(4 Rep. 16. 20.)

(1) *Fuerit*

~ Mich. 25 & 26 El. rot. 2617. in communi banco.

Mich. 29 & 30 Eliz. rot. 254. Nicholas Muffels case, ibid. Vid. lib. 11. fol. 48, 49. & 81.

[622]

(1) *Fuerit sententialiter terminatum, et transferit in rem judicatam,* Art. Cleri, 3. Jac. &c.] The like article was preferred 3 Jac. and answered and resolved by all the judges of England, which you may reade there, and need not here to be rehearsed.

(2) *Diversis rationibus.*] For the spirituall judges proceedings are for the correction of the spirituall inner man, and, *pro salute animæ*, to injoyne him penance; and the judges of the common law proceed to give damages and recompence for the wrong and injury done: as if one lay violent hands of a clerke, the spirituall judge, *pro salute animæ*, shall injoyne him penance, and the clerke may have his action of battery, and recover damages for the injury done to him; and so in the case of usury, and the like: so as this act saith well, that *eadem causa diversis rationibus coram iudicibus ecclesiasticis et secularibus ventilatur*; and therefore this article of the clergy was deservedly rejected.

C A P. VII.

ITEM, *litera regia ordinariis dirigitur, quæ aliquos suos subditos excommunicationis vinculo innodarunt, quod eos absolvant infra certum diem; alioquin quod compareant responsur' quare eos excommunicaverunt. Responsio: rex * decernit, quod talis litera nunquam in posterum exire permittatur, nisi in casu quo possit inveniri, lædi per excommunicationem regiam libertatem.*

* [623]

ALSO the king's letter directed unto ordinaries, that have wrapped those that be in subjection unto them in the sentence of excommunication, that they should affoil them by a certain day, or else that they do appear, and shew wherefore they have excommunicated them. The answer. The king decreeth, that hereafter no such letters shall be suffered to go forth, but in case where it is found that the king's liberty is prejudiced by the excommunication.

(5 El. c. 23. Regist. 65.)

Here was a mistaking in the article of the clergy: for never was any writ of the king here called *litera regis*, granted in case of excommunication, but in certaine cases, as, when a man is justly excommunicated, and taken by force of the kings writ *de excommunicato cap.* if the bishop, upon the kings writ *de cautione admittenda*, &c. doe not deliver him, then shall a writ out of the chancery goe to the sherife, upon the refusall of the bishop to deliver him; or if the excommunication be unjust, that is, if the party be excommunicated for a matter which belongs not to ecclesiasticall conusance, and taken by force of the kings writ, then the party grieved shall have a writ out of the chancery to the sherife, to deliver him out of prison. And this appeareth by our ancient books written before this act, and by ancient records and book-cases in all succession of ages ever since; and in both the cases abovesaid, *regia libertas læsa fuit*, and thereupon the subject had reliefe by the kings writ; and therefore the answer to this article was very pertinent, *Nisi in casu quo possit inveniri, lædi per excommunicationem regiam libertatem.* And

Regist. 65, 66, 67, 70. Braët. lib. 5. fol. 408, 409, 427, 443, Flet. lib. 6. c. 43. 5 E. 3. 8. 8 E. 3. 9. 14 H. 4. 14, 15. 3 H. 4. 4.

Doffor & Stud.
 lib. 2. cap. 32.
 Vet. N.B. 33,
 35. N.B. 62.
 &c. Dorf. clauf.
 21 R. 2. m. 10.
 Hill. 22 E. 1.
 apud Sandw. co-
 ram rege, rot. 2.
 William de Va-
 lences cafe.

the contempt of the bifhop in thofe cafes is the greater, for that *breve regis de excommunicato cap. de gratia regis procedit*. And fo it is if a man be excommunicated, and offer to obey and performe the fentence, and the bifhop refuseth to accept it, and to affoile him, he fhall have a writ to the bifhop, requiring him, upon performance of the fentence, to affoile him, &c. and the reafon thereof is, for that by the excommunication, the party is disabled to fue any action, or to have any remedy for any wrong done unto him, fo long as he fhall remaine excommunicate. And alfo the party grieved may have his action upon his cafe againft the bifhop, in like manner as he may when the bifhop doth excommunicate him for a matter which belongeth not to ecclefiaticall confufance. Alfo the bifhop in thofe cafes may be indited at the fuit of the king, as by many notable records may appeare: Mich. 7 E. 1. *coram rege*, Rot. 33. Robertus Sprot, Hill. 7 E. 1. *coram rege*, Rot. 8. Magifter R. de Petchford, Pasch' 32 E. 1. *coram rege*, Rot. 33. Walterus de Wilton, Hill. 35 E. 1. *coram rege*, Rot. 52. Gloc' Prior de Glocefters cafe, Mich. 19 E. 2. *coram rege*, Rot. 53. Linc' Philip Whites cafe, Trin. 20 E. 3. *coram rege*, Rot. 46, 289. Fresiles cafe.

28 E. 3. 97.
 14 H. 4. 14.
 3 H. 4. 4. 22 E.
 4. 20. b. 9 H. 7.
 22. Fitz. N.B.
 6. f.
 5 El. cap. 73.

And it is to be obferved, that at the common law a certificate of the bifhop, whereupon a *significavit*, that is, a writ *de excommunicato capiendo* was to be granted, ought to exprefse the caufe, and the fute againft him fpecially in the certificate.

See more the ftatute of 5 El. cap. 23. concerning the awarding and returning the writ *de excommunicato capiendo*.

See the firft part of the Inftitutes, feft. 201. concerning this matter.

C A P. VIII.

I*TEM*, barones de faccario domini regis, vendicantes ſibi ex privilegio (1), quod non debent extra illum locum conquerenti cuicunque r.ſpondere, extendunt illud privilegium ad clericos commorantes ibidem, vocatos ad ordines, ſeu ad reſidentiam; et antecellantis inſibeant, ne ali-
 [624] quo modo aliquave ex cauſa, dum ſint in ſaccario, et in ſervitio domini regis, traſbant ad iudicium quovifmodo. Reſponſio: Placet domino regi, ut clerici ſuis obſequiis intendentes, ſi delinquant (2) per ordinaricos (ut cæteri) corrigantur: ſed tempore quo occupantur circa ſaccarium, at reſidentiam (3) in ſuis faciendam eccleſiis non teneantur. Hic additur de novo, per concilium domini regis (4). Rex et antecellantes ſui, à tempore

ALSO barons of the king's exchequer claiming by their privilege, that they ought to make answer to no complainant out of the ſame place, extend the ſame privilege unto clerks abiding there, called to orders or unto reſidence, and inhibit ordinaries that by no means, or for any cauſe, ſo long as they be in the exchequer, or in the king's ſervice, they ſhall not call them to judgement. The answer. It pleaſeth our lord the king, that ſuch clerks as attend in his ſervice, if they offend, ſhall be correct by their ordinaries, like as other; but ſo long as they are occupied about the exchequer, they ſhall not be bound to keep reſidence in their churches. This is added of new by the king's council. The

tempore cujus contrarii memoria non existit, nisi sunt, quod clerici suis immorantes obsequiis, dum obsequiis illis intenderint. ad residentiam in suis beneficiis faciendam minime compellantur; nec debet dici tendere in præjudicium ecclesiasticæ libertatis, quod pro rege et republica necessarium invenitur (5).

The king and his ancestors since time out of mind have used, that clerks, which are employed in his service, during such time as they are in service, shall not be compelled to keep residence at their benefices. And such things as be thought necessary for the king and the commonwealth, ought not to be said to be prejudicial to the liberty of the church.

(Regist. 58.)

(1) *De privilegio, &c.*] The court of the exchequer may grant a prohibition to the ordinary, for any that ought to have the privilege of the exchequer, where the court may give the party remedy, or where a sute dependeth in the court of exchequer for the same cause, or where the kings service, which is the cause of the privilege, is hindered by the suit before the ordinary: as for non-residence, &c. during that time that he gave his necessary attendance in the exchequer for the kings service.

(2) *Si delinquat.*] This extendeth onely *ad delicta, i. crimina*, whereof the ecclesiasticall court hath conusance, as heresie, adultery, and the like, which the ordinary may correct; and not unto civill actions.

(3) *Ad residentiam.*] There is an ancient writ, called *de non residentia clerici regis*, the words of which writ be, *Cum clerici nostri ad faciend' in beneficiis suis residentiam personalem, dum in nostris immorantur obsequiis compelli, aut alias super hoc molestari, seu inquietari non debeant: nosque ac progenitores nostri quondam reges Angliæ, hujusmodi libertate et privilegio pro clericis nostris à tempore quo non extat memoria semper hæcenus usi sumus: vobis mandamus, quod dilectum clericum nostrum A. parsonam ecclesiæ de B. vestræ diocesis. qui in cancellaria nostra, nostris jugiter intendit obsequiis, ad personalem residentiam in beneficio suo prædict' faciendam, dum in eisdem obsequiis nostris immoretur, nullatenus compellatis. Et sequestrum si quod in fructibus, aut aliis bonis ecclesiæ suæ prædictæ ea occasione per vos, aut vestros fuerit appositum, sine dilatione relaxari faciatis. Teste, &c.* Regist. 58. b.
F N.B. 44. g.

(4) *Per concilium domini regis.*] Here *concilium domini regis* is taken for *commune concilium regni*, as it is termed in originall writs, and in other legall records, and so it is taken in other acts of parliament, and in the preamble of this act also, where it is said, *Ac nuper in parlamento nostro apud Lincoln', &c. coram concilio nostro, &c.*

This branch is generall (and not limited, as the former is, to the privilege of the exchequer) but extendeth to any other service of the king for the common-wealth: as if hee be employed as an embassador into any forraine nation, or the like service of the king, which is *pro republica*, for the common-wealth, as hereafter it is said, which ever must be preferred before the private.

(5) *Nec debet dici tendere in præjudicium ecclesiasticæ libertatis, quod pro rege et republica necessarium invenitur.*] The clergy in this parliament inveighing vehemently against this answer, and that it tended

Registr. 58. b.

tended to the breach of the ecclesiasticall liberty, which was granted to them by *Magna Charta*, and often confirmed by other acts of parliament, *quod ecclesia Anglicana libera sit, &c.* To which it was answered, that the words subsequent explained those words, *et habeat omnia jura sua et libertates suas illesas*; so as the clergy cannot claime any right, but *jus suum*, nor any liberty, but *libertates suas*: and the point here in question, *viz.* to proceed against a clerke for non residence, whiles hee was in the kings service for the commonwealth, was neither *jus suum*, nor *libertas sua*, but *libertas regis*: and therefore the parliament thought it fit to declare, that the king and his ancestors had used this liberty or prerogative time out of mind. And where it was said, that this tended *in præjudicium ecclesiasticæ libertatis*, the parliament thereunto answered (which is worthy to be written in letters of gold) *nec debet dici in præjudicium ecclesiasticæ libertatis, quod pro rege et republica necessarium invenitur.*

Regularly personall residence is required of ecclesiasticall persons upon their cures; and to that end, by the common law, if hee that hath a benefice with cure, be chosen to an office as to an office of bailiffe, or bedle, or the like secular office, he may have the kings writ, *quod non eligatur in officium, &c. quia non est consonum, quod is, qui pro salubri statu animarum elemosynis, et aliis piis operibus, infra, &c. manutenerendis et sustentandis continue deseruit, extra &c. in secularibus negotiis compellatur, vobis præcipimus, quod districtioni et compulsioni, si quas &c. eidem &c. ad officium bali-vi, bedelli, &c. in manerio, &c. assumend' feceritis, omnino supersedeatis, et eas sine dilatione relaxetis, et denarios, si quos per amerciamenta, vel alio modo ex causa præd' ab eo levaveritis, eidem &c. restitui faciatis immediate, sub periculo quod incumbit. Teste, &c.*

2 Tim. 2. ver. 4.
34 H. 6. 40. a.

10 H. 6. fo. 8. a.

And this writ of ancient time was granted at the petition of the clergy, and grounded upon holy writ, *Nemo militans Deo implicat se negotiis secularibus, ut ei placeat cui se probavit.* And the opinion of Sir John Prisot, chiefe justice of the common pleas, is notable; to those lawes which holy church hath out of the scripture, we ought to yield credit; for that (saith he) is the common law, upon which all lawes are founded: and the intendment of the common law is, that a parson, &c. is resident upon his cure; for in an action of debt brought against J. S. *rectorem de D.* the defendant pleaded, that he was demurrant, and conversant at B. in another county: and the rule of the booke is, that seeing the defendant denied not that he was rector of the church of D. he shall be deemed by law to be demurrant and conversant there for the cure of soules; and therefore the plea was over-ruled.

We could not over-passe an ancient and an excellent record concerning non-residence, in the 48 yeare of king Henry the third, for it is worthy of rehearfall for many purposes: at that time one Peter Egneblanke a stranger, borne in Savoy, was bishop of Hereford: this bishop then was, and long before had been a non resident, an unfaithfull steward, and altogether carelesse of his pastorall charge: the king travelling (for the defence and safety of the Marches) came to the citie of Hereford, where finding the bishop absent, the people neither informed nor reformed *per verbum salutis, et virgam correctionis*, divine service neglected, and all things out of order, as by the writ following appeareth, which

which we hold worthy to be rehearsed *de verbo in verbum*, as it is of record.

Rex episcopo Hereford' salutem, Pastores gregibus præponuntur, ut, diei noctisque vigilias exercendo, oves famelicas in fertilitatis pascua introducant: errantes vero per verbum salutis, & virgam correctionis in unius ovilis conseruare studeant indissolubilem unitatem: sed sunt nonnulli qui hanc doctrinam damnabiliter contempnentes, & sua ab aliis pecora distinguere nescientes, lac & lanam tollunt, qualiter dominicus grex alatur non curantes, temporalia rapiunt, & quis in parochia fame pereat, aut periclitetur in moribus, non attendunt; qui non pastores, sed mercenarii potius dici promerentur: hoc siquidem, dum hiis diebus ad disponendum de regni nostri præsiidiis in partes Marchiæ nos transferremus, in ecclesia vestra Hereff. (dolenter referimus) nos inuenisse quam adeo inuenimus pastoris solatio destitutam, ut ne dum episcopum, sed nec officialem haberet, vicarium, aut decanum, qui quicquam spiritualitatis exercere possit in eadem. Sed ecclesia ipsa, quæ olim deliciis affluere consuevit, & canonicis qui ibidem nocturnis et diurnis officiis vacare, & opera charitatis exercere deberent, eam deserentibus & longe degentibus in remotis, stola iocunditatis exuta cecidit in terram, viduitatis suæ detrimenta deplorans, nec est qui consoletur eam ex omnibus caris ejus: sane, dum hæc vidimus & consideramus diligenter, pietatis aculeus viscera nostra commouit, & compassionis gladius intima cordis nostri acrius vulneravit, ut tantam ecclesiæ matris nostræ injuriam ulterius dissimulare non possimus, nec pertransire incorrectam. Quapropter vobis mandamus firmiter injungentes, quatenus ad ecclesiam vestram prædictam, occasionibus quibuscunque postpositis, cum ea qua poteritis celeritate vos transferre curetis, commissum vobis in eadem cura pastorali officium personaliter executur' &c. Alioqui scire vos volumus pro constanti, quod si istuc facere non curaveritis, bona temporalia, & omnia quæ ad baronium ipsius ecclesiæ pertinent, quæ donatione constat eidem fuisse collata, & quæ hæcenus colligi, & salvo custodiri præcepimus in commodum & utilitatem ipsius ecclesiæ convertenda, cessante jam causa in manu nostra totaliter capiemus, nec ulterius sustinebimus, quod temporalia metat, qui spiritualia ad quæ ex officii sui debito tenetur, irreverenter subtrahere non formidat, aut quod emolumenta percipiat, qui incumbentia ejusdem onera subire recusat. Test' R. apud Hereff. primo die Junii anno regni sui xlviij.

By this writ the king telleth the bishop what his pastorall office and duty was, rehearseth the damnable and damned events of non-residency, commandeth him to be personally resident, and representeth to him the danger, if he doth it not. And this writ, commanding residence, ought to have been put into the Register of writs, rather then the writ *de non residentia clerici regis: hoc non omitendum, illud faciendum.*

The Englishman hath ever been desirous to be taught and directed in the way of his salvation; and therefore hath often complained in * parliament against non residents, unlearned pastors, and pluralities, which you may reade in the fountaines themselves.

After that Thomas Wolsey in the seventh year of Henry the eight was made cardinall, and grew into the height of his authority and favour with the king, he hated both parliaments, and the common lawes (the principall meanes to keep greatnesse in order, and due subjection) as it is contained in his inditement, which he confessed of record, that hee intended (that I may use the very words

- * Rot. parl.
- 35 E. 1. lestatute de Carlile.
- 18 E. 3. nu. 32.
- Rot. parl. 3 R. 2. nu. 38. 3 R. 2. stat. 2. cap. 2.
- 7 R. 2. nu. 35.
- 17 R. 2. nu. 43.
- 1 H. 4. nu. 50.
- 2 H. 4. nu. 26.
- 6 H. 4. nu. 48.
- 7 H. 4. nu. 114.
- 11 H. 4. nu. 70.
- 3 H. 6. nu. 38.
- 4 H. 6. nu. 31.
- of &c.

Mich. 21 H. 8.
coram Rege.

[627]

21 H. 8. cap. 13.
Wid. 33 H. 8.
cap. 28.

of the record) *Antiquissimas Angliæ leges penitus subvertere, et enervare, universumq; hoc regnum Angliæ, et ejusdem regni populum legibus imperialibus vulgo dictis legibus civilibus, et earundem legum canonibus imperpetuum subjugare et subducere, &c.* And for execution of his intended plot, he was the meane that but one parliament was holden in fourteen yeares, viz. from the seventh yeare, till the one and twentieth yeare of Henry the eight, and that one was principally holden for the attainder by parliament of Edward the good duke of Buckingham, whom he hated, and the confiscation of all that he had. Now the cardinall, being a great protector of non-residents, was no sooner attained by that law (which he sought to alter) but at the parliament holden in 21 H. 8. a law was made against non-residence, which was excellent for that time, but now had need of some alterations and additions.

C A P. IX.

ITEM, *ministri domini regis, ut vicecomites, et alii, ingrediuntur feoda ecclesiæ (1) ad faciendum distractiones, et aliquando capiunt animalia rectorum (2) in via regia, quando non habent nisi terram pertinentem ad ecclesiam. Responsio: Placet domino regi quod de cætero distractiones fiant hujusmodi, nec in via regia, nec in feodis, quibus olim (4) ecclesiæ sunt dotate (3), vult tamen distractiones fieri in possessionibus de novo à personis ecclesiasticis acquisitis.*

ALSO the king's officers, as sheriffs and other, do enter into the fees of the church to take distresses, and sometime they take the parson's beasts in the king's highway, where they have nothing but the land belonging to the church. The answer. The king's pleasure is, that from henceforth such distresses shall neither be taken in the king's highway, nor in the fees wherewith churches in times past have been indowed; nevertheless he willeth distresses to be taken in possessions of the church newly purchased by ecclesiastical persons.

(52 H. 3. c. 15. Regist. 98. 183.)

Marlebridge, ca.
55.

Reg. 187, 188.
F.N.B. 173. e. f.

(1) *Ingridiuntur feoda ecclesiæ.*] See the exposition upon the statute of Marlebridge: this is to be added, that the statute of Marlebridge was construed to extend onely to lay men, and this statute to men of the church: and this appeareth by the Register; for if a lay man bring an action upon the statute for distraining in the kings high-way, he reciteth the statute of Marlebridge: and if a parson bring an action for distraining in the high-way, he groundeth it upon this statute.

(2) *Rectorum.*] Here parsons be named but for example; for this law extended to abbots, priors, and the like; for afterwards the words be *personæ ecclesiasticæ*: but this law bindeth not the king, when he is party, for any debt, or duty due unto him, because the distresse or other processe for the king is not expressly named in the act, but *districtiones* generally: and this appeareth by a book-case: a prior brought a bill of trespassse against J. for entering into his

27 Aff. p. 66.

his sanctuary, that is, within the circuit of the scite of his priorie, and tooke away his beasts: J. said that he was sheriffe, and that the prior lost issues in the court of common pleas, and a writ issued to him to levie the issues, and that hee entred into the sanctuary, &c. because he could not find a distresse without; whereupon the plaintife demurred, and judgement was given against the plaintife, which proveth, that the sheriffe in that case could not have returned upon the processe to him directed, *Clericus beneficiatus nullum habens laicum feodum.*

(3) *Nec in feodis quibus olim ecclesiæ sunt dotatae.*] Here *dotata* is taken in a large sense; for here the fees that they have *ratione fundationis*, or *ratione dotationis* are included; and here is also to be noted, that the possessions of the church are the indowment of the church, and they accounted as tenants in dower, as in another place hath been observed.

(4) *Olim.*] This word is well expounded afterwards in this act, to be those that are not *de novo acquisita*.

Concerning taskes, tenths, and fifteenes granted by parliament to the king, the possessions of ecclesiasticall persons, which they acquired since 20 E. 1. either by purchase or act in law, as by others, &c. were chargeable thereunto: but those which they had at that time were not charged therewith; and the reason thereof was this, the pope (after the example of the high priest amongst the Jewes, who had of the Levites *decimam partem decimæ*) claimed by pretext thereof a yearly tenth part of the value of all ecclesiasticall livings: this portion or tribute was by ordinance yeilded to the pope in 20 E. 1. and a valuation then made of the ecclesiasticall livings within this realme, to the end the pope might know, and be answered of that yearly revenue, so as the ecclesiasticall livings chargeable with that tenth (which was called spirituall) to the pope, were not chargeable with the temporall tenths or fifteenes granted to the king in parliament, lest they should be doubly charged, but their possessions acquired after that taxation were liable to the temporall tenths or fifteenes, because they were not charged to the other; and so it was declared by act of parliament in 18 E. 3. which never was printed; so as the tenths of ecclesiasticall livings were not yeilded to the pope *de jure*, after the example of the high priest amongst the Jewes; for then hee should have had the tenths of all ecclesiasticall livings whensoever they were acquired; but he contented himselfe with what he had got, and never claimed more: and that he might the better keep and enjoy that which he had got, the popes did often after grant the same for certaine termes to divers of the kings of England, as by our histories appeare. And albeit these yearly tenths are perpetually annexed to the crown of England by act of parliament yet hereby the student shall better understand the bookes of law that treat hereof.

17 E. 3. 44. b.
27 E. 3. 28. b.
11 H. 4. 35.
[628]

Numeri, ca. 18.
ver. 26.

Rot. parl. 18 E.
3. nu. 44. never
printed.

26 H. 8. ca. 1.
1 Eliz. ca. 1.

CAP. X.

ITEM, quodocunque aliqui confugientes ad ecclesiam abjurant terram (1), secundum regni consuetudinem, et prosequuntur laici eos, vel inimici eorum, et à publica strata abstrahuntur, et suspenduntur, vel statim decapitantur (2), et dum sint in ecclesia custodiuntur per armatos infra cœmeterium, quandoque infra ecclesiam ita arctè, quod non possunt exire locum sacrum causa superflui ponderis deponendi, nec permittitur eis necessaria ad victus ministrari. Responsio: Qui terram abjuraverint, dum sint in strata publica, sint in pace domini regis, nec debent ab aliquo molestari: et dum sint in ecclesia, custodes eorum non debent morari infra cœmeterium, nisi necessitas, vel evasionis periculum hoc requiratur: nec arctentur confugere, dum sint in ecclesia, quin possint habere vitæ necessaria (3): et exire libere pro obscuro pondere deponendo. Placet etiam domino regi, ut latrones vel appellatores (5), quodocunque voluerint, possint sacerdotibus sua facinora confiteri: sed caveant confessores, ne erronee huiusmodi appellatores informant (4).

ALSO where some flying unto the church, abjure the realm, according to the custom of the realm, and lay-men or their enemies do pursue them, and pluck them from the king's highway, and they are hanged or headed; and whilst they be in the church, are kept in the church-yard with armed men, and sometime in the church, so straitly, that they cannot depart from the hallowed ground to empty their belly, and cannot be suffered to have necessaries brought unto them for their living. The answer. They that abjure the realm, so long as they be in the common way, shall be in the king's peace, nor ought to be disturbed of any man; and when they be in the church, their keepers ought not to abide in the church-yard, except necessity or peril of escape do require so. And so long as they be in the church, they shall not be compelled to flee away, but they shall have necessaries for their living, and may go forth to empty their belly. And the king's pleasure is, that thieves or appellors (whensoever they will) may confess their offences unto priests; but let the confessors beware that they do not erroneously inform such appellors.

(1 Jac. 1. c. 25. 21 Jac. 1. c. 28.)

[629]
 Pract. lib. 2. fo.
 135. &c. Brit.
 fol. 24. &c.
 Flet. li. 1. c. 29.
 Stamf. pl. coro.
 fo. 116. f. &c.
 21 Jacobi Regis,
 cap.

(1) *Abjurant regnum.*] Concerning abjuration you may plentifully read in our ancient authors, and other bookes of the lawes, and specially in Stamford pl. coron. fol. 116. &c. wherein we are the more briefe, because it is enacted by the statute of 21 Jac. regis, that no sanctuary, or priviledge of sanctuary, after that statute be admitted or allowed in any case; and if the offender be barred of the priviledge of sanctuary to be allowed to him, then can hee not flee to any church, as to a sanctuary, for the tuition of his life, and consequently abjuration is taken away.

(2) *Decapitantur.*] This was mistaken in the petition: for no man can be beheaded but for treason; and no man could abjure

for treason, because the coroner had no power to take any confession for treason, albeit the coroner had a speciall commission from the king to doe it.

See 1 Jacobi regis, cap. 25.

(3) *Quin possint habere vitæ necessaria.*] This is thus to be understood, that he shall have *necessaria vitæ* so long as he behaves himselfe according to the law, and the priviledge of the place; but if hee had continued 40 dayes, and would not abjure, then *vitæ necessaria* shall be denied unto him, and they should be punished that ministred the same unto him.

Braet. li. 2. fo. 135. &c. Brit. fol. 24, 25. Flet. li. 1. c. 29. 3 E. 3. coron. 313. Stamford. ubi supra.

(4) *Placet etiam domino regi, ut latrones, vel appellatores, quando-cunque voluerint, possint sacerdotibus sua facinora confiteri, sed caveant confessores, ne erronee hujusmodi appellatores informant.*

(5) *Latrones vel appellatores.*] This branch extendeth onely to theeves and approvers indited of felony, but extended not to high treasons: for if high treason be discovered to the confessor, he ought to discover it, for the danger that thereupon dependeth to the king and the whole realme; therefore this branch declareth the common law, that the priviledge of confession extendeth onely to felonies: and albeit, if a man indited of felony becometh an approver, he is sworne to discover all felonies and treasons, yet is hee not in degree of an approver in law, but onely of the offence whereof he is indited; and for the rest, it is for the benefit of the king, to move him to mercy: so as this branch beginneth with theeves, extendeth onely to approvers of theevery or felony, and not to appeales of treason; for by the common law, a man indited of high treason could not have the benefit of clergy (as it was holden in the kings time, when this act was made) nor any clergy-man priviledge of confession to conceale high treason: and so was it resolved in * 7 Hen. 5. whereupon frier John Randolph the queene dowagers confessor, accused her of treason, for compassing of the death of the king: and so was it resolved in the case of Henry Garnet, superiour of the jesuites in England, who would have shadowed his treason under the priviledge of confession, although in deed he was not onely consenting, but abetting the principall conspirators of the powder-treason, as by the record of his attainder appeareth; and albeit this act extendeth to felonies onely, as hath been said, yet the caveat given to the confessors is observable, *ne erronee informant.*

12 E. 4. 10. b. 19 E. 2. cor. 387. 6 H. 6. coro. 231. 19 H. 6. 47. See W. 2. ca. 41. § Si Abbates, &c. li. 2. fo. 46. Levelque de Cant' case, &c. 20 E. 2. coro. pl. 283. 19 H. 6. 47.

* Rot. Parl. anno 7 H. 5. nu. 13. Hill. 3 Jac.

C A P. XI.

ITEM petitur, quod dominus rex, et regni magnates non onerent domos religiosas, vel ecclesiasticas personas pro corodiis, pensionibus (1), vel perhensionibus (2) faciendis in domibus religiosis, et aliis locis ecclesiasticis, carellis et equis sibi mittendis, cum per hoc prædictæ domus depauperentur cultusque

ALSO it is desired that our Lord the king, and the great men of the realm do not charge religious houses, or spiritual persons, for corodies, pensions, or sojourning in religious houses, and other places of the church, or with taking up horse or carts, whereby such houses are impoverished, and God's service diminished,

tusque divinus in hac parte diminuat, et propter hujusmodi onera compelluntur sæpissime presbyteri, et alii ministri ecclesiastici divinis officiis deputati à locis recedere supradiet'. Responsio: Placet domino regi, quod super contentis in petitione, de cætero indubite non onerentur. Et si per magnates, aut alios contra fiat, habeant inde remedium juxta formam statutorum (3) tempore dom' E. regis patris domini regis nunc editorum: et fiat consimile remedium de corodiis, et pensionibus (4) per coercionem exactis, de quibus non fit mentio in statutis.

nished, and, by reason of such charges, priests, and other ministers of the church deputed unto divine service, are oftentimes compelled to depart from the places aforesaid. The answer. The king's pleasure is, that upon the contents in their petition, from henceforth they shall not be unduly charged. And if the contrary be done by great men or other, they shall have remedy after the form of the statutes made in the time of king Edward, father to the king that now is. And like remedy shall be done for corodies and pensions exacted by compulsion, whereof no mention is made in the statutes.

(3 Ed. 1. c. 1.)

(1) *Pro corodiis, et pensionibus.*] See hereafter in the end of this chapter, to whom, and in what cases corodies and pensions be due.

(2) *Perbenditionibus.*] See hereof W. 1. cap. 1.

Raft. pl. fo. 373.

(3) *Juxta formam statutorum.*] That is to say, of W. 1. anno 3 E. 1. cap. 1.

Regist. fol.

F.N.B. 230. b.

14 H. 6. 11.

2 E. 2. cui in vi-

ta 18. 6 E. 2.

ibid. 25.

Braet. li. 3. fo.

221. 14 E. 3. co-

rody 5. 15 E. 3.

ibid. 4. 11 aff.

22. 24 E. 3. f. 33.

38 aff. 22. 44 E.

3. 24. 50 aff. 6.

10 H. 4. 33.

14 H. 6. 11.

39 H. 6. 28.

1 E. 4. 10.

8 H. 7. 12.

F.N.B. 231.

Vid. rot. clauf.

in dorf. 8 H. 4.

m. 13. & 9 H. 4.

(4) *Consimile remedium de corodiis et pensionibus.*] Albeit *corodium* is derived à *con et rodere, i. simul comedere*; yet to a corody belong not onely *victus*, but *vestitus, et alia vitæ necessaria*, which is called *sustentatio congrua*, as much as a monke of the same house hath; and a pension is a yearly annuity to be granted to one of the kings chapleines. The king shall have a corody for his vadelet, and a pension for his chaplein, out of all the religious and ecclesiasticall houses of his foundation (unlesse the tenure be in frankalmoigne) but by reason of dotation, if he be not founder, he shall have none, unlesse it be by speciall grant. A common person shall have no corody, nor pension, &c. though he be founder, unlesse it be by speciall grant. The abbot, &c. shall not be charged with a new pension, though the chaplein dye, during the life of the king; but if the abbot, &c. dye, his successor shall be charged, *ratione creationis* with a pension. If the vadelet dye, another shall have the corody during the kings life; but if the abbot, &c. dye, no new corody during the life of the former vadelet.

m. 13. & 9 H. 4. m. 33, 34. penc' coram rege, Mich. 32. E. 1. Northampton.

C A P. XII.

ITEM, si aliqui de tenura domini regis vocantur coram ordinariis, extra parochiam in qua degunt, si propter suam contumaciam manifestam excommunicentur, ac post quadraginta dies pro eorum captione scribatur, præ-tendunt se privilegiatos, quod extra villam seu parochiam suam non debent vocari, et sic denegatur breve regium pro captione eorundem. * Responsio: Nunquam fuit negatum, nec negabitur in futurum.

* [631]

ALSO if any of the king's tenure be called before their ordinaries out of the parish where they continue, if they be excommunicate for their manifest contumacy, and after forty days a writ goeth out to take them, they pretend their privilege, that they ought not to be cited out of the town and parish where their dwelling is; and so the king's writ that went out for to take them is denied. The answer. It was never yet denied, nor shall be hereafter.

The writ *de excommunicato capiendo*, commonly called a *significavit*, was never denied; for this cause, that hee that held of the king had such a priviledge, that they should not be called out of the towne or parish where they lived; and therefore the answer (which must ever be conforme to the petition) ought of necessitie to be taken, that for that cause the kings writ was never, nor should be denied.

But for the better understanding hereof, at the parliament holden at Clarendon, in the eleventh yeare of Henry the second, *Facta est recognitio, seu recordatio cujusdam partis consuetudinum antecessorum regis, viz. Henrici (primi) avi sui, quæ observari debebant in regno, et ab omnibus teneri propter dissensiones et discordias sæpe emergentes inter clerum et justiciarios Domini regis, et magnatum regni.* Amongst the rest, this was agnized and declared in these words: *Nullus qui de rege tenet in capite, nec aliquis dominorum ministrorum ejus excommunicetur, nec alicujus eorum terræ sub interdicto ponantur, nisi prius dominus rex, si in regno fuerit, conveniatur, vel justiciarius ejus, si fuerit extra regnum, ut rectum de eo faciat, ut quod pertinebat ad regis curiam, ibi terminetur, et de eo quod spectat ad curiam ecclesiasticam ad eandem mittatur, et ibidem terminetur.* And the reason of this law was, for that the tenures by grand serjeantie, and knights service *in capite* were for the honour and defence of the realme; and concerning those that served the king in his household, their continuall service and attendance upon the royall person of the king was necessary.

Of this law the clergy here complained not, and other then this concerning tenure, &c. in the petition mentioned, we remember not any; so as we may conclude this point, that this writ *de excommunicato capiendo* (as hath been said) *procedit de gratia regis.*

8 Kal. Febr. anno 11 H. 2. apud Clarendon, commonly called Assisa de Clarendon, Braçt. li. 3. fol. 136. See cap. 15.

Vid. ca. 7. before Rot. clauf. in dorf. 17 R. 2. m. 10.

C A P. XIII.

ITEM petitur quod personæ ecclesiasticæ, quas dominus rex ad beneficia præsentet ecclesiastica, si episcopus eas non admittat, ut puta propter defectum scientiæ, vel aliam causam rationabilem, non subeant examinationem laicarum personarum in casibus antedictis, prout his temporibus attentatur de facto, contra canonicas sanctiones: sed adeant iudicem ecclesiasticum, ad quem de jure pertinet pro remedio, prout justum fuerit, consequendo. Responsio: De idoneitate personæ (1) præsentatæ ad beneficium ecclesiasticum pertinet examinatio ad iudicem ecclesiasticum: et ita est hætenus usitatum (2), et fiat in futurum.

ALSO it is desired that spiritual persons, whom our lord the king doth present unto benefices of the church (if the bishop will not admit them either for lack of learning, or for other cause reasonable) may not be under the examination of lay persons in the cases aforesaid, as it is now attempted, contrary to the decrees canonical, but that they may sue unto a spiritual judge for remedy, as right shall require. The answer. Of the ability of a parson presented unto a benefice of the church the examination belongeth to a spiritual judge; and so it hath been used heretofore, and shall be hereafter.

(4 Mod. 135. Regist. 53.)

(1) *De idoneitate personæ.*] It is required by law, that the person presented be *idonea personæ*; for so be the words of the kings writ, *præsentare idoneam personam*. And this *idoneitas* consisteth in divers exceptions against persons presented: first, concerning the person, as bastardy, villenage, outlawry, excommunication, a layman, under age, and the like: secondly, concerning his conversation, as if he be *criminosus*, &c. Thirdly, concerning his inability to discharge his pastorall duty, as if hee be unlearned, and not able to feed his flocke with spirituall food, &c. And the examination of the ability and sufficiency of the person presented belongs to the bishop, who is the ecclesiasticall judge; and in this examination he is a judge, and not a minister, and may and ought to refuse the person presented, if he be not *idonea persona*. And if the cause of refusall be for default of learning, or that he is an heretick, schismatick, or the like, belonging to the knowledge of ecclesiasticall law, there he must give notice thereof to the patron; but if the cause be temporall, as a felon, or homicide, or other temporall crime; or if the disability grow by any act of parliament, or other temporall law, there no notice ought to be given, unlesse notice be prescribed to be given thereby. But in a *quare impedit* brought against the bishop, for refusall of the clerke, he must shew the cause of his refusall specially and directly (for whether the cause thereof be spirituall or temporall, the examination of the bishop concludes not the plaintife) to the intent the court, being judges of the principall cause, may consult with learned men in that profession, and resolve whether the cause be just or no; or the party may deny the same, and then the court shall write to the metropolitane to certifie the same; or if the cause

bee

[632]

Regist. 53. b.
38 E. 3. 2.
29 E. 3. 44.
5 R. 2. tryall 54.
11 H. 4.
34 H. 6. 40. per
Pillot, 5 H. 7. 19.
21 H. 7. 7. 37.
15 H. 7. 7.
9 El. Dyer, 154.
13 El. Dyer, 332.
li. 5. fol. 57.
Speccots case.

bee temporall, and sufficient in law (which the court must decide) the same may be traversed, and an issue thereupon joyned, and tried by the country. And yet in some cases, notwithstanding this statute, *idonetitas personæ* shall be tried by the country, or else there should be a failer of justice (which the law will never suffer) as if the inability or insufficiency be alledged in a man that is dead, this case is out of this statute: for the bishop cannot examine him, and the words of this act be, *de idonetate personæ præsentatæ ad beneficium eccles. pertinet examinatio, &c.* And consequently, though the matter be spirituall, yet shall it be tried by a jury, and the court, being assisted by learned men in that profession, may instruct the jury as well of the ecclesiasticall law in that case, as they usually doe of the common law.

39 E. 3. 2.
40 E. 3. 25.

(2) *Et ita est hætenus usitatum.*] So as this act is a declaration of the common law and custome of the realme.

C A P. XIV.

ITEM, si vacet aliqua dignitas, ubi electio est facienda, petitur quod electores libere possint eligere, absque incussione timoris à quacunque potestate seculari: et quod cessent preces, et oppressiones in hac parte. Responsio: Fiant libere, juxta formam statutorum et ordinationum.

ALSO if any dignity be vacant, where election is to be made, it is moved that the electors may freely make their election without fear of any power temporal, and that all prayers and oppressions shall in this behalf cease. The answer. They shall be made free according to the form of statutes and ordinances.

(3 E. 1. c. 5.)

The clergy either remembred not the statute of W. 1. or if they did, they doubted whether it extended to ecclesiasticall elections, although without question it did, and so it is declared by this act, and it is an excellent law, and worthy to be put in execution.

See more hercof before in the exposition upon the statute of W. 1.

C A P. XV.

[633]

ITEM, licet clericus coram seculari iudice judicari non debeat, nec aliquid contra ipsum fieri, per quod ad periculum mortis, vel ad mutilationem membrorum valeat perveniri: seculares tamen iudices clericos ad ecclesiam confugientes, et reatus suos forte

MOREOVER, though a clerk ought not to be judged before a temporal judge, nor any thing may be done against him that concerneth life or member; nevertheless temporal judges cause that clerks fleeing unto the church, and peradventure

3 S 2

confessing

confitentes faciunt abjurare regnum, et eorum abjurationes admittunt ex illa causa, quanquam eorum iudices super hiis non existant: sicque datur laicis indirecte potestas hujusmodi clericos cruciandi, si ipsos post hujusmodi abjurationem in regno contigerit inveniri: super quo petunt prelati, et cler' tale remedium adhiberi, ut immunitas ecclesie, et personarum ecclesiasticarum conservetur illaesa. Responsio: Clericus ad ecclesiam confugiens (1) pro feloniam, pro immunitate ecclesiastica obtinenda, si asserit se esse clericum, regnum non compellatur abjurare, sed legi regni se reddens gaudebit ecclesiastica libertate, juxta laudabilem consuetudinem regni (2) hactenus usitatam.

confessing their offences, do abjure the realm, and for the same cause admit their abjurations, although hereupon they cannot be their judges, and so power is wrongfully given to lay persons to put to death such clerks, if such persons chance to be found within the realm after their abjuration; the prelates and clergy desire such remedy to be provided herein, that the immunity or privilege of the church and spiritual persons may be saved and unbroken. The answer. A clerk fleeing to the church for felony, to obtain the privilege of the church, if he affirm himself to be a clerk, he shall not be compelled to abjure the realm; but yielding himself to the law of the realm, shall enjoy the privilege of the church, according to the laudable custom of the realm heretofore used.

Customier de Norm. c. 83. (28 H. S. c. 1. 1 Jac. 1. c. 25. 21 Jac. 1. c. 28.)

Here the claim of the clergy is generall, that *clericus coram seculari iudice judicari non debeat, nec aliquid contra ipsum fieri, per quod ad periculum mortis, vel mutilationem membrorum valeat perveniri*: let us see what priviledge the clergy had allowed unto them in criminall cases: first, let us observe what our ancient authors have holden in that case: secondly, what records of parliament, and other records have delivered to us: thirdly, what acts of parliament have established in these cases: fourthly, what have the judgements and resolutions been of judges in our bookes and reports. And lastly, from what root this priviledge of clergy sprang, to exempt them from the common justice of the realme.

Customier ubi supra.

See the statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 11. & ca. 1. 1 E. 6. cap. 10, &c. Bract. lib. 3. fol. 123. b.

Bracton saith, *Cum clericus cujuscunque ordinis vel dignitatis captus fuerit pro morte hominis, vel alio crimine, et imprisonatus, et de eo petatur curia christianitatis ab ordinario loci, &c. imprisonatus statim si deliberetur, &c. donec à crimine sibi imposito se purgaverit competenter, vel in purgatione defecerit, propter quod debet degradari, &c. cum autem clericus sic de crimine convictus degradetur, non sequitur alia pena pro uno delicto, vel pluribus, ante degradationem perpetratis.* Here three things are to be noted: first, that he beginneth with the greatest felony, that is, the death of man: secondly, that albeit he were found guilty, and could not purge himselfe before the ordinary, yet all that the ordinary could doe was to degrade him. Thirdly, that he could have no other punishment for that felony, or any other formerly done, but degradation.

Brit. fol. 11. Stamf. pl. cor. 123. c. 8 E. 2. coron. 417. 17 E. 2. ib. 386 3 H. 7. 12.

Britton also speaketh only of felony: *Et si le clerk encoupe de felonie alledge clergie, et soit tiel trove, et per ordinarie demand, si soit enquisse coment il est mesçu, et sil soit nient mesçu, &c. soit arge tout quit,*

quits, et sil soit mescrue, si soient ses chateaux taxes, et ses terres prises in ure. maine, et son cors deli-^v al ordinarie.

According to Britton, when one of the clergy was indited of felony, &c. and the ordinary demanded him, yet to the end (saith the * record) *ut sciatur qualis deliberaretur ordinario*, an enquest was charged by the court to enquire, whether he were guilty, or no. And though hee was found guilty by this enquest of office, yet was he delivered to the ordinary, and his chattels seised, and his lands taken into the kings hands, as Britton saith.

[634]

* Mirr. cap. 3. del exception de clergie accord.

Fleta saith, *Si criminaliter agatur versus clericum, quamvis clericus respondere voluerit in foro seculari, iudex tamen ecclesiasticus cognitionem habere non poterit, nec regiam auferre jurisdictionem: In causa enim sanguinis non poterit ecclesiasticus iudex cognoscere, neque judicare, nisi irregularitatem committat. Et quamvis neminem valeat morti condemnare, degradare tamen poterit criminum convictos, vel perpetua carceris inclusione custodire.*

Flet. lib. 6. ca. 36.

The Mirror hath generall words, *Lesglise et cy enfranchise que nul lay judge ne poet aver conusans de clarke, tout le voiloit le clarke conuistre par son judge, &c.*

Mirr. ubi supra.

Two of these ancient authors have spoken of felony, and so are the other two to be intended; for the priviledge of the church did not extend to high treason, *crimen lesæ majestatis*, as by divers judiciall records and authorities in law shall appeare.

Walter de Berton clerke counterfeited the great seale, which was high treason, *crimen lesæ majestatis*, whereof he was indited and convicted: for so the record saith, *Qui convictus fuit pro falsificatione sigilli domini regis, quod tradatur episcopo Sarum, qui eum petit ut clericum suum, sub pœna et forma qua decet, quia videtur concilio, quod in tali casu non est admittenda purgatio.*

Rot. Parl. anno 21 E. 1. rot. 9.

This delivery to the ordinary was by ordinance of parliament *de gratia, et non de jure*: for it was resolved, that hee could not make his purgation; and therefore hee was delivered to him *sub pœna, &c.* In the reigne of Ed. 3. it was taken for a generall rule, *quod privilegium clericale non competit seditioso equitant' cum armis, platis et cotcarmuris, secundum leges Angliæ.*

Trin. 21 E. 3. coram rege, rot. 173. Hertford.

In 17 E. 2. in the time of the parliament, Adam de Orleton, bishop of Hereford, was indited of high treason, for being party and privie, aiding and abetting of Roger Mortimer earle of March with horse and armes in his open rebellion; and because he could not have any priviledge of clergy by the common law, the archbishop of Canterbury, Yorke, and Dublin, and their suffragan bishops, came to the barre (in that disordered time) and with force tooke him from the barre: all which was done by pretext and colour of the canons of the church, which you may reade in Linwood.

17 E. 2. rot. Rom. m. 6.

Henricus Blandford.

Linwood tit. de foro compet' cap. Contingit.

But, omitting many other things that might be here rehearsed, let us see what acts of parliament have ordained in this case; for the clergy never thought themselves sure of this priviledge, till it was confirmed to them by authority of parliament. By the statute of W. 1. it is provided, *Que quant clerke est prise pur ret de felonie, et soit demand per lordinarie, a luy soit liver solonque le priviledge de saint esglise, in tiel perill come ils appent, solonque le custome avant ces heures use, &c.* where note, this act extendeth but to felony.

W. 1. cap. 2. See Marlbridge, cap. 27. See hereafter, cap. 5.

See the exposition of the statute of W. 1. in this point, and the charge as is given to ordinaries, that none be delivered without

due

4 H. 4. cap. 3.
23 H. 8. cap. 1.

Pl. coram domi-
no rege apud
Sandwicum in
civitate Hilarii, an.
22 E. 1. rot. 15.
Kanc'.

[635]

Genes. cap. 9,
ver. 6.

Numer. cap. 35.
ver. 29, 30, 31,
33.

8 E. 2. coron.
419.

22 E. 3. ib. 248.

Rot. Parl.
25 E. 3. nu. 68,
&c.

25 E. 3. ca. 4.
& 5. 4 H. 4.
cap. 3.

due purgation; but it is worthy our paines to reade the statutes of 4 H. 4. and 23 H. 8.

After this statute, and in this kings time, Guinandus de Briland, parson of Snodiland in the county of Kent (in which towne Solomon de Rolfe, one of the kings justices in eire, and one that punished the extortions and other crimes of the clergy, dwelt) came to dine with Solomon de Rolfe, and brought poyson with him of his malice prepenfed, to murder by poyson the said Solomon; and the record of his inditement saith, *Cum eo comedit, et posuit venenum in cibo et in potu ipsius Solomonis, et ipsum impositavit, per quod, post quindecim dies sequentes inde obiit*: and albeit of all felonies, murder is the worst, and of all murders, murder by poyson is the most unavoidable and detestable, and Guinand being indited and arraigned upon the said inditement, *et quæsitus qualiter se vellet acquietare, dicit, quod clericus est, et non potest hic inde respondere, et super hoc venit frater Thomas episcopus Rossensis, et petit ipsum tanquam clericum, &c. Et ut sciatur qualis deliberare debet, inquiratur rei veritas per patriam; et jurat* &c. *dicunt super sacramentum suum, quod prædictus Guinandus dedit prædicti Solomoni venenum unde impositatus fuit, et inde obiit, ut prædictum est.* But in the end he was delivered to the ordinary, as by the record it appeareth, and thereby, for any thing that wee find in that or any other record, he escaped the sentence of death, which was due for his offence by the law of God, and by the common law of the realme grounded upon the same, *Quicumque effuderit humanum sanguinem, fundetur sanguis illius, ad imaginem quippe Dei factus est homo.* And againe, in the booke of Numbers, *Hæc sempiterna erunt et legitima in cunctis, homicida sub testibus punietur, &c. non accipies pretium ab eo, qui reus est sanguinis, statim et ipse morietur, ne polluatis terram habitationis vestræ quæ insontium cruore maculatur, nec aliter expiari potest, nisi per ejus sanguinem, qui alterius sanguinem fuderit.*

In 8 E. 2. a clerke convict for felony, and delivered to the ordinary, murdered his keeper, and fled, *et non obstante clericonia sua*, hee was hanged. And the like was done in 22 E. 3.

The abuse of delivery of clerkes to the ordinary grew so intolerable, as in the end it was taken away; as hereafter shall be shewed.

See the statute of 18 E. 3. cap. 2. concerning this matter.

At the parliament holden *in anno* 25 E. 3. the clergy did complaine, that one Hasketun Honby a knight, and one of the clergy, had judgement given against him for high treason to be hanged, drawne, and quartered: also for a judgement given against a priest at Nottingham, for killing of his master, sir Thomas Cibethorp, a clerke of the chancery, one of the kings justices.

And lastly, for hanging of divers monkes of Combe for felony. Thereupon at this parliament an act of parliament was made, wherein it is recited, that the prelates had grievously complained, praying thereof remedy, for that secular clerkes, as well chapleines, as other monkes, and other people of religion had been drawne, and hanged, by award of the secular justices, in prejudice of the franchises of holy church, &c. It is accorded and granted by the king, that all manner of clerkes, as well secular as religious, which should be convict before secular justices for any treasons or felonies touching o her persons, then the king himselfe or his royall majestie, should freely have and enjoy the priviledge of holy church, &c.