L. C. J. Argue the matter as long as you will, Mr. Sollicitor, you will never convince me, but that he that has once for fworn himself, ought not to be a Witness after that in any Cause whatsoever. ·If any Man tell me otherwise till Doomsday, I cannot be convinced of it.

Mr. Sol. Gen. I go but to ask him this Question,

whether or no what he swore were true?

L. C. J. Mr. Sollicitor, we are all of another Opinion, that it is not Evidence fit to be given.

Mr. Sol. Gen. My Lord, I must submit it to you. L. C. J. I tell you, Mr. Sollicitor, if you should call him to know what it was he swore, and it does appear by any Evidence, or by his own Confession, that it was false, you ought not to believe what he fays, even in that matter. And I think truly, for Example's fake, it ought not by any means to be

admitted.

Mr. Sol. Gen. My Lord, I must submit it to you; but then I humbly conceive, it will be in very many Cases impossible to detect a Perjury or Subornation, if the Party suborn'd cannot be admitted to be a Witness.

L. C. J. What good will the admitting him to be a Witness do? For either what he swore then, or what he swears now, is false; and if he once fwears false, can you say he is to be believ'd?

Mr. North. My Lord, if a Man-come and ,Iwear——

L. C. J. Look ye, Sir, you have our Opinion; it has been always the Practice heretofore, that when the Court have deliver'd their Opinion, the Counsel should sit down, and not dispute it any further.

Mr. At. Gen. Then we will go about the Business of Clay, and for that we will call Lawrence Davenport.

L. C. J. 'Tis certainly against the Law to admit a Man that has once forfworn himself to he a Witness again in any Cause.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Lawrence Davenport. [Which was done.] Pray give my Lord and the Jury an account how Clay came to be a Witness, and by

whom he was wrought upon to be fo. Davenport. May it please you, my Lords, and Gentlemen of the Jury, Mr. Oates came to the Prison, I being then a Prisoner at that time in the Gate-house at Westminster myself; and having no other Employment, I had the Government and Care of some of the Prisoners for a Livelihood, being in Custody; and at that time Mr. Oates, as I said, when old Clay was in Prison, did come there to visit this Clay at several times. And coming there to visit this Clay, up stairs he went to his Chamber, and defired to speak with him; and I did desire your Worship, Mr. Octes, that you would go in to him, and you did go into his Chamber, and there these Words you did speak to him before the Trial of the five Jesuits; that if he did not swear what you put to him, he should be prosecuted as a Priest, which you did believe he would die for.

L. C. J. What is this Man's Name? Mr. At. Gen. Lawrence Davenport. L. C. J. Did you hear him fay so?

Davenport. Yes, my Lord, upon my Oath I did hear him say so, and Sir William Waller was with him; and then he replied, and said, with a Proviso that you would give him his Gold and Silver that was taken from him, being then a Prifoner under my keeping in the Gate-house, and wanting his Money; if you would do that, he said, of a Subornation.

he had been a Rogue besore, and he could not say what he might do.

L. C. J. Now make it appear that this Clay was

fworn at the Trial.

Davenport. My Lord, afterwards I was Keeper to him under the other Keeper, and he had a Keeper to wait on him to Newgate Sessions at the Old Bailey; wherefore this Gentleman that is standing there, Mr. Oates, comes to us, says he, do not you trouble yourself about this Prisoner; let him alone with me, I'll fee him at home again; and then the Jesuits there did take their Trials; how it was I cannot tell, for we could not come into the Court. Afterwards they went to the Fountain Tavern by Newgate to Dinner, and Mr. Oates and he went to Dinner together; we were below in the House waiting there till it was Night, and still thinking that he should come down again: but away went he home, and left us in the lurch; but indeed he did come home to the Prison very honestly and civilly, because he was civilly guarded.

Mr. At. Gen. Now, my Lord, we'll give you an account, that the next Morning after this Discourse betwixt Oates and Clay at the Gale-boufe, this Witness told it to another that was a Prisoner there then

too.

Ostes. My Lord, I would ask this Man a Question, whether he had the sole keeping of Clay, without any other Keeper with him?

Davenport. There was no Keeper in the House but myself, where he lay, which was in ALirgaret'slane, not in the Prison, but in the House.

Octes. Pray ask this Gentleman, why he did not come in and testify this Discourse he speaks of, when Clay was a Witness.

Devenport. I was not by when he was fworn. Oates. Did he not know he was a Witness?

L. C. J. No, he says he did not go into the Court with you, he was not suffered to go in.

Davenport. My Lord, I am a poor Tradesman, and know nothing what belongs to the Law.

Mr. At. Gen. Come, pray fwear this Man, Mr.— Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray tell what Davenport told you, and when.

Mr.——.He came and told me the next day in the Prison, where I was then, what Mr. Oates and Sir William Waller had been tampering with Clay about, to make him fwear for Mr. Oates.

L. C. J. What were the Words he told you they faid to him?

Mr.——. It was, that he must swear that Mr. Octes dined at Mr. Howard's House such a Day of the Month, and Mr. Clay did say he knew nothing of the matter; but then Sir William Waller and Mr. Oates did reply to him again, here's your Choice; if you will not swear this, we will try you for a Priest, and hang you, and so Clay agreed, if he might have his Money restored that was taken from him; he had been a Rogue before, and did not know what he might do.

Oates. Is this Evidence, my Lord?

L. C. J. Yes, doubtless, very good Evidence. Oates. 'Tis only upon Hear-say that he speaks.

L. C. J. But that establishes the other Man's Testimony, this being told the very next Morning; for he would not conceal it, it feems.

Mr. At. Gen. Now that Clay was sworn at the Trial, you admit, Mr. Oates.

Oates. Yes, I do, he was fo.

Mr. At. Gen. Then I think here's a plain Proof

L. C. J. You must observe how they apply this Evidence: They say you are an ill Man, not only as to swearing salse yourself, but as to suborning others to swear more than they knew; and particularly as to this Clay, that you and Sir William Waller did threaten him, you would hang him up for a Priest, if he would not swear as you would have him; and by your Threatnings he was prevailed with to swear.

Oates. Is Mr. Clay in Court, my Lord? L. C. J. Nay, I cannot tell where he is.

Mr. At. Gen. He was your Witness, Mr. Oates; we expected you would have brought him.

Oates. I cannot tell where to find him, my Lord,

now, truly.

Mr. At. Gen. Call Mr. Howard.

Cryer. Here he is, Sir; he is fworn.

L. C. J. Mr. Attorney, you have not proved what he swore.

Mr. At. Gen. We are now about it, my Lord. Mr. Howard, pray were you at the Trial of the five Jesuits, or at Langborn's, when Clay was sworn as a Witness for Dr. Oates?

Mr. Howard. Yes, I was at Langhorn's Trial,

my Lord.

Mr. At. Gen. What was the effect of his Testi-

mony there?

Mr. Howard. He did swear, that he was with me and Mr. Oates at Dinner at my House, in May in the Year 77.

Mr. At. Gen. 77 did he say, or 78?

Mr. Howard. Stay, I will look upon my Notes I took at that time.

L. C. J. Ay, do so, to refresh your Memory, Mr. Howard.

Mr. Howard. It was May 78, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, Sir, was he then at your House with Oates?

Mr. Howard. No, he was not there in May; Mr. Clay was with me and Mr. Oates both together in July 78, after the 4th Day of July.

Mr. At. Gen. That is, after the time he came to

London from St. Omers.

L. C. J. You say very well; have you any more Witnesses?

Mr. Sol. Gen. My Lord, we must desire that the 28th Article of Mr. Oates's Narrative may be read.

Cl. of Cr. Reads. That in order to this Command on April 24. 78. Father Warren, Rector of Liege; Sir Thomas Preston, Baronet; Father Marsh, Rector of Gaunt; and Father Williams, Rector of Watton, and Master of the Novices; Sir John Warner, Baronet: Richard Ashby, Rector of the English Seminary at St. Omers, being sick of the Gout could not go. But out of the said Seminary went Sir Robert Bret, Baronet; Father Pool, Edward Nevile. There were in all with the Deponent nine or ten, who met in London in consult with Thomas Whitebread, Father Harcourt Senior, and Father Harcourt Junior, John Fenwick, Basil Langworth, William Morgan, John Keimes, Father Lovel, Father Ireland, Father Blundel, Richard Strange, Father Mico, Father Grey, and others, to the Number of fifty Jesuits, met at the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand, where they plotted their Designs. for the Society, and ordered Father John Carey, who was also there, to go Procurator for Rome; at which Confult thus held in the Month of May, the Deponent was present to attend the Consultors, and deliver their Concerns from Company to Company; and then a little after they left the White-Horse Tavern, and divided Vol. IV.

themselves into several Clubs and Companies; some met at Mr. Saunders's House in Wild-street; others at Mr. Fenwick's, at Aires's House in Drury-Lane; others at Mr. Ireland's in Russel-street, near Covent-Garden; and in other Places. All which, tho' in several Companies, sive or six in a Company, did contrive the Death of the King; and in order to which, there were Papers sent from Company to Company; which the Deponent did carry, containing their Opinions of the timing their Business, and the manner how it was to be done; and within three or four Days after the Deponent went to St. Omers, with the Fathers that came from the other side of the Water.

Mr. At. Gen. My Lord, we produce this to overthrow their Witnesses, that speak of his being here a Week in May. Now Mr. Oates in his Narrative says, that the Consult ended the 24th of April; and that three or four Days after, he returned back to St. Omers.

L. C. J. Pray read that over again, where the Names are; for the Witnesses for the King swear, that Mr. Williams came over from St. Omers, but he there says he did not, I think.

## It was read again.

L. C. J. I thought it had been otherwise, 'cis' darkly penn'd.

Mr. At. Gen. My Lord, I myself heard him declare in many Trials here, and at the Old-Bailey, that all was finish'd in that Day, and he went in a few Days.

Oates. Mr. Attorney, you are mistaken: For if you please, my Lord, the Consult was not dissolv'd in six or eight Days, tho' they were not sitting or

acting every Day.

Mr. At. Gen. 'Tis not a difference of fix or eight Days that is contended for, but it is, either Mr. Oates, or his Witnesses, do not swear true. If it were as Mr. Oates says, that six or eight Days were allow'd after the 24th of April, then it must be the 5th or 6th of May that he went to St. Omers; and then his Witnesses, that say he was here the latter end of May, cannot swear true. And our Witnesses say he was all April and May, till the 23d of June, at St. Omers.

Oates. My Lord, Mr. Attorney does not appre-

hend the Evidence aright.

L. C. J. Well, you may make your Remarks by and by, and fet him right if you can.

Mr. At. Gen. We have done our Evidence, my

Lord.

L. C. J. Have you any more Witnesses, Mr. Oates?

Oates. No, my Lord, I have not.

L. C. J. Then you must conclude, and say what

you have to fay to the Jury.

Oates. My Lord, I have one thing more, and that is, a Copy of the Record out of the House of Lords. It is in the Journal the twenty-fifth of March, 79.

Mr. Walker. My Lord, I have not the Book

here, it was not spoke for.

Oates. But do you know this Hand?

[Shewing him a Copy.

Gł,

Mr. Walker. Yes, and I believe it is a true Copy. L. C. J. Read it.

Cl. of Cr. Reads. Tuesday the 25th of March, 1679.

Oates. It is the last Clause in the Journal of that Day.

134. The Trial of Titus Oates, D. D. Paschæ 1 Jac II.

Cl. of Cr. Reads. Resolved, Nemine Contradicente, by the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons in Parliament assembled, that they do declare that they are fully satisfied by the Proofs they have peard, that there now is, and for divers Years last past bath been a borrid and treasonable Plot and Conspiracy contrived and carried on by those of the Popish Religion, for the murthering of his Majesty's Sacred Person, and for subverting the Protestant Religion, and the ancient and established Government of this Kingdom.

Oates. There is an Order to have that Vote printed, and inserted before the Form of Prayer for the Fast. Pray, Sir, read the next Page.

Cl. of Cr. Reads. Die Lune 25 Oct. 1680.

Upon Report from the Lords Committees for examining Matters relating to the Discovery of the late borrid Plot and Conspiracy, that Captain Thomas Bickley hath lately vilified Dr. Titus Oates at a publick Meeting at Chichester, to the prejudice of his Majesty's Evidence, for the further Discovery of the said Plot: It is thereupon ordered by the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament affembled, that the said Thomas Bickley be, and is hereby required to appear before their Lordships, in the Lord Privy-Seal's Lodgings, near the House of Peers, on Wednesday the third Day of November next, at eight of the Clock in the Forenoon. And it is further ordered, that Alderman Exon, and Alderman William Bury of Chichester asoresaid, who were then present at the said meeting, do likewise attend their Lordships at the time aforesaid, to give Evidence of what they know concerning this matter.

L. C. J. What of all this? We know hereupon Bickley was turn'd out of Commission, and now Bickley is in again. But it seems, as you had credit with some then, so you had not with others. Well, have you now done all?

Mr. At. Gen. Yes, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. If Mr. Oates will fum up his Evidence, then I will do the fame for the King, when he has done.

L. C. J. Well, what say you, Mr. Oates?

Oates. Now, my Lord, here is an Indictment preferr'd against me for Perjury, and the Evidence they have given for the King, is this. 1. They have called Mr. Foster, and he tells you, that I was produced at the Sessions-House in the Old Bailey, where he was summoned as a Juryman, upon the Trial of Ireland; and he says, that when I was produced at the Sessions in the Old Bailey as a Witness, and sworn (if I do remember Mr. Foster aright in what he fays) he did hear me swear, that there was a treasonable Consult of Jesuits upon the twenty-fourth of April 78, at the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand; and he does further fay, that I said those Jesuits afterwards came to a Resolution to kill the King; and that they separated themselves into lesser Clubs, the Resolution being drawn up by one Mico; and that I carried it from Chamber to Chamber to be figned, and did see it signed. My Lord, I did ask Mr. Foster, whether I in my Evidence call'd it a Consult, or a traiterous Consult. Now, my Lord, 'tis true I did call in a traiterous Consult; it is as true that I did swear there was such a Consult; and it is as true, that I did swear this Consult did divide itself into lesser Companies; it is as true as I did say I carried that Resolution about from Chamber to Chamber, and faw them fign that Resolution for murdering the King, I do not mean of this King, but of the late King. My

Lord, the Evidence I think I, nor no honest Man shall need to be ashamed of: I am not ashamed to own, that I repeated this Evidence several times, nor that I gave that Evidence upon Oath; for it is Truth, my Lord, and nothing but Truth, and I resolve by the Grace of God to stand by it, and confirm it with my Blood, if there be occasion. My Lord, to convict me of Perjury, they have brought a parcel of St. Omers Witnesses, and these do swear that which they would have sworn fix Years ago; but then the Court thought them not fit to be fworn, not only because the Law will not allow them, but because of their Religion, that can dispense with false Oaths, if it were for a good Cause, and that was the Remark my Lord Chief Justice Scroggs then made on it. I shall not insist much upon what they have fworn, for that I fuppose your Lordship and the Jury do very well remember: But I have five things to object to their Evidence, and I hope the Jury will take notice of my Objections, and make their Remarks upon them; for fince you have heard the Evidence that is brought against me, it will be necessary for your Lordship to weigh the nature of these Witnesses, and the value that the several Juries of London and Middlesex had for them. 'Tis true, there are several brought here now, that never were Witnesses before; there are indeed other Men, but of the fame Religion and the same Interest, and therefore their Testimony must be of the same value; I think your Lordship will allow me that. Therefore I begin with their Religion and that I take notice to be a great Objection to their Evidence, as I am advis'd by those that are learned in the Law. And I must appeal to the Court, whether a Papist, in case of Religion, may be believed and received as a good Witness.

L. C. J. We must not hear any of these idle Expreffions.

Mr. Just. Withins. Do you think you are come here to preach Mr. Oates?

L. C. J. I'll tell you, a Papist, except you'll prove any legal Objection against him, is as good a Witness in a Court of Record, as any other Perfon whatsoever.

Oates. But if 'tis your Lordship's, I'll tell you my Lord Coke's Practice was not to admit them as good Witnesses.

L. C. J. Do not tell me of my Lord Coke's Practice; the Law is otherwise: keep to the Business that you have here in hand, the Question before us, whether you were forsworn in Ireland's Trial or not. Answer that if you can; but you must not run out into Clamours and idle Extravagancies.

Oates. My Lord, I demand it as my Right to be heard what I have to except against the Witnesses.

L. C. J. I tell you, you shall be heard when you speak properly; but are you to determine what is right, or what is wrong?

Oates. I am to determine my own Right now in this Point, and I insist upon it, and demand it.

L. C. J. It may be, presently you'll tell us we have no right to judge of it.

Oates. Yes, my Lord, you have; but I hope I have right to urge it.

L. C. J. Urge what you will that is to the purpose, but then you must keep to the matter in hand, and not make fuch idle Excursions as these are.

Oates. I will keep to the matter, my Lord, if you will hear me.

L. C. J. So you shall; we'll make you keep to the Matter, or we will not hear you at all: Do not think your Impudence shall storm us out of our Senses.

Oates. My Lord, I do insist upon it, that these Mens Religion is an exception to their Testimony, and a Papist is not a good Witness in a Cause of Religion; and I desire I may have leave to argue that as a Point of Law in my own Defence.

L. C. J. No, Sir, it is no Point of Law at all. Oates. Then I appeal to all the Hearers, whether

I have Justice done me.

L. C. J. What's that? Why you impudent Fellow, do you know where you are? You are in a Court of Justice, and must appeal to none but the Court and the Jury.

Oates. I do appeal to the Hearers.

L. C. J. Take him away there; if you will not behave your felf as you ought, I can assure you, the Court will do what they ought to do, and stop your Mouth.

Oates. What you please, my Lord, I must make

my own Defence as well as I can.

L. C. J. You are here in Judgment before us, and are to apppeal to us; we'll fuffer none of your Common-wealth Appeals to your Mobile; keep within the Bounds of Decency, and say what you can for your self.

Oales. My Lord, this I move to the Court as one of my Objections to this Evidence given against me, That their Religion makes them no good

Witnesses; especially in this Cause.

L. C. J. I tell you that is nothing to the purpose,

what their Religion is.

Mr Just. Holloway. Mr. Oates, we come not here to dispute Points of Religion, we come to try a bare Matter of Fact, whether you are perjured, or no.

L C. J. I tell you a Papist is a good Witness

without a legal Exception.

Mr. Just. Withins. Pray, Mr. Oates, is not a Pa-

pist as good a Witness as a Dissenter?

Oates. My Lord Coke would not admit a Papist to be a good Witness in any Cause.

Mr. Just. Withins. How, not in a Cause of Meum and Tuum?

Oa'es. No, my Lord, not in any Cause between Party and Party.

L. C J. Where is that Opinion?

Oates. I'll cite you the Case, if you please, my Lord.

 $L. C. \mathcal{J}$ . Pray let us hear it.

Oates. It is in Bulftrode's Reports, the Second Part, 155. A Popish Recusant is not to be admitted a Witness between Party and Party.———

Mr. Just. Withins. May a Presbyterian be a good

Witness, Mr. Oates?

Mr. Just. Holloway. Or would Mr. Colledge have been a good Witness, Mr. Oates?

Octes. I tell you this was my Lord Coke's Practice. L. C. J. You have our Opinion, and be satisfied with it; that Book says it was my Lord Coke's Practice, and we think if that was his Practice, his Practice was against Law.

Oates. Then another thing I object to their Testi-

mony, is their Education.

L. C. J. That's no Objection at all neither.

Oates. My Lord, they are bred up in a Seminary against Law, and for which their Friends are to be punished.

L. C. J. So is every Man living that's bred a Differer, bred up against Law.

Vol. IV.

Oates. My Lord, I have not offered any Dissenter as Evidence for me.

L. C. J. No, they are all no doubt of it very good People. Good-wife Mayo, and her Companions, excellent Protestants without all question!

Oates. My Lord, I humbly offer a Statute to

your Lordship: And that is Law sure.

L. C. J. Yes, a Statute is Law. What Statute is it?

Oates. It is 27° of Eliz. Cap. 2. The Law says there——

L. C. J. Come we will see what the Law says: Read the Statute he speaks of.

Cl. of Cr. It is intituled, an Act against Jesuits, Priests, and other such like disobedient Persons.

Oates. My Lord, I desire that the Preamble of

the Act may be read.

L. C. J. This is nothing to this Business before

us at all.

Mr. Just. Withins. Does this Statute say they are no good Witnesses?

Oates. They own themselves to be educated at St. Omers, and that is against this Law expressly.

L. C. J. What then! Do they own themselves to be in Orders, Jesuits and Priests, then you might say somewhat to them upon this Law; but do not spend our Time in such Trisses.

Oates. I do not spend your Time in Trisses, my

Lord; it is my Defence.

L. C. J. Mr. Attorney, do you go on; for we will not fit here to spend our Time for nothing.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, let me but shew this, I only propose one Statute more to your Lordship's Consideration.

L. C. J. You may propose to read the whole Statute-Book.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, hear me out.

L. C. J. Speak then to the Business in hand.

Oates. It is the Statute made in the 3d of King Charles I. Chap. 2.

L. C. J. It is nothing to the purpose.

Oates. I am advised 'tis very material for me.

L. C. J. I tell you it is not, and we'll not let your Importunity prevail upon us to spend our time for nothing: There has been a great deal of Time spent to no purpose already.

Oates. Then if you will over-rule it, my

Lord——

L. C. J. We do over-rule it: For it signifies no-

thing to this purpose.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, be pleased to give me leave to offer their Judgments in Cases of Conscience, whereby they own they have Dispensations to swear Lyes for the promoting of the Cause.

L. C. J. That is no Evidence neither.

Oates. This is very hard in such a Case as this.

L. C. J. No, it is not hard, that what is no Evidence in Law, should not be suffered to be given in Evidence.

Oates. It is Evidence against the poor Dissenters,

my Lord.

L. C. J. Indeed, Sir, it is not Evidence against any one body in the World; if you will take my Word.

Word for it; if you will not, I cannot tell how to

help it.

Oates. Then I offer you one thing more, my Lord, and that is what was faid and done in the Case of the Earl of Shaftesbury, when he was charged with High-Treason, and committed to the Tower of London; at several Sessions in the Old-Bailey and at Hickes's-Hall, they did move that they might have liberty to bring in an Indictment of Perjury against the Witnesses which did accuse him of Treason, but now the Court there over-rul'd those Motions, and would not suffer my Lord of Shaftesbury's Friends to bring Indictments of Perjury against them, because they would not have the King's Evidence indicted of Perjury, nor the Popish Plot called in question: this is a Matter of Fact in the Old-Bailey.

L. C. J. And this is all idle too.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, will you hear me?

L. C. J. But pray, Sir, will you hear me too? I tell you this is nothing to the purpose neither.

Oates. My Lord, I defire to speak but these few Words then, as to my own Witnesses I have produced. And the first is Cicely Mayo; and, my Lord, though it be your Lordship's pleasure, not to have that good Opinion of her, as I think the poor Woman does deserve, yet I hope her Evidence will have its due Weight and Confideration with your Lordship and the Jury; she says, she saw me in Town in the Month of May: Now indeed the could not be positive, what May it was, but she faid it was the May before the Popish Plot broke out, that is, before the Rumour of that Plot was spread abroad, and she believes the Plot broke out in 78. But this she says positively, she saw me in the Month of May here; my Lord, she comes to give this Evidence freely and voluntarily: a Man that is a Knave, is a Knave for something, either out of Malice, or for Gain or Interest; but she has no Reward given her, nor can hope for any; but in Love to Justice, without any By-end or particular Interest, she came here to testify the Truth, about her seeing me in London, in May 78. The next Witness is Mr. Butler, he was then Sir Richard Barker's Coachman. He lived some Years with him, as his Servant; but he is now fet up for himself, and he says, that when he was about his Master's Business in the Coach-yard, and sitting the Coach for to fetch his Master home from Putney, I came in, and this was in the beginning of May, in a Disguise; and he says, he saw me a second time in another Disguise. Then comes a third Witness that I called, and that is Page, and he came into the Hall, where the Patients use to wait for his Master, and there he met me, and spoke with me. Then I called Walker, the Minister, but it happens his Memory is not fo good now, as it was heretofore; and indeed I cannot tell how to blame him, for 'tis fo long fince, that it is impossible for any body to remember the Circumstances of Times and · Places, that in some short time after the Fact might have been remembred with far greater Ease. I expreted Sir Richard Barker might have been here, being subpæna'd to justify the Evidence of these People; and I did expect that Mr. Smith would have been examined: but I perceived the Court tender of that Point, as knowing it would then eafily have been seen upon which Side it was, that he was suborned, that is, to make such a Confession as Mr. Attorney would have hinted at.

shall be examined.

Mr. Just. Withins. If you will, he shall be examined, it was for your fake he was not.

Mr. Just. Holloway. It was to do you Right, that he was refused to be sworn before.

Octes. Good Mr. Justice Hollowey, you are very

tharp upon me. Mr. Just. Holloway. No, I am not sharp upon

you; I think the Court did you a great deal of Ju-

stice, you have no reason to complain.

Oates. But, my Lord, this I say, the Evidence upon which I am now indicted of Perjury is the same which was delivered six Years ago at the Old-Bailey, at Whitebread's Trial first, and then at Langhorn's Trial, where were fixteen Witnesses then produced and heard against me: But then, my Lord, what Credit did they receive at Whitebread and Langborn's Trials? Now if this Evidence that I gave was then to be believed, though opposed by so many Witnesses, what new Objection does rife against it, which was not then hinted, and received an Answer? For as to all the Records that are brought out of the House of Lords, they have not amounted to a Charge sufficient to diminish any Man's Evidence in the World: for as to the Narrative that is entered there in the Journal, I think I and any Man else, that were concerned as I was, may very well defend the Truth of it; and I do avow the Truth of the Popish Plot, and will stand by it as long as I have a Day to live, and I do not question but the Jury, upon Consideration of those Protestant Witnesses that I have here brought against these Popish Seminaries, will acquit me of this Perjury. I leave it to your Lordship and the Jury to judge; and I hope those Passages of Heat, that have fallen from me in Court, shall not make me fare at all the worse in your Judgment. I have called some noble Lords to testify for me; but I find, cither the distance of time has wrought upon their Memories, or the difference of the Season has chang'd their Opinion, so that now they disbelieve that which they did believe before, and perhaps for as little Reason as-

L. C. J. As they believed you at first.

Oates. Yes, truly, my Lord, for as little reason as they believed me at first; for I cannot expect that a Man, who believes without a Principle, should not recant that Belief without a Reason.

L. C. J. What do you mean by that?

Oates. I name no body.

L. C. J. But the Nobility that are here, and that have been Witnesses in this Cause, are all Persons of that Honour, that the Court is bound in Justice to take notice of, and vindicate them from your scandalous Reslections; but only I think that a Slander from your Mouth is very little Scandal.

Oates. Nor from some body's else neither.

L. C. J. But, Sir, you must be taught better Manners.

Oates. I find, my Lord, I am not to be heard in this Cause with Patience.

L. C. J. I think you do not deserve to be heard at all.

Oates. I cannot tell how to help it, if you will not hear me.

L. C. J. Can't you say what you have to say for your self without Restections, and running out into fuch Extravagancies?

Oetes. My Lord, you will suffer me to osser no-

that is material for my Defence.

Mr. Just. Walcot. Do you think it decent for this L. C. J. Have you a mind to examine him, Mr. Court to fusser Persons of Honour, that by your Oates? with all my heart. If you consent to it, he own Desire were sworn to give Testimony in this Caufe,

Cause, should lie under the Reproach of your

Tongue,? Octes. Good Mr. Justice Walcot, was there ever any Man dealt with as I am, or had fuch Evidence offei'd to be given aginit him? Here they offer to blacken me with the imputation of that foul, insamous Crime of Perjury; and who are the Witnesses to prove it, but Youths out of a Seminary? Sir George Wakeman, and my Lord Costlemain, known Papists, and perhaps Popish Recusants Convict too. As for My Lord Costlemain, the Record of his Acquittal is brought as a Charge against me, to prove that I was forfworn, and not believed at his Trial; when all the World knows, it was because there was but one Witness against him, that he came off, and that was the Reason that was urg'd by my Lord Chief Justice Scroggs at that very time, who would not admit Dangerfield to be a Witness, and restected not at all upon my Testimony, but directed the Jury, for want of another Witness, to acquit him. Then, my Lord, here is Wakeman brought, and his Acquittal too; he swears all I faid against him was false: whereas, had it not been for two dishonest Persons, one I have now in my fight, I shall not name any Names, we could at that time have proved five thousand Pounds of the Money paid to him, and that he gave a Receipt for it. But, my Lord, this I am sure of, if I had been brought in as a Witness in the Case of those that fusfered lately for the Presbyterian Fanatick Plot (as they call it) I had never been call'd in question, if my Evidence had been falle; but it is apparent the Papists have now a Turn to serve, and these. Papists are brought in, the St. Omers Youths, to bear this Testimony on purpose to falsify my Evidence, and to bring off the Popish Lords that now stand impeach'd of High-Treason for the Popish Conspiracy: but, my Lord, I hope as the Court would never admit Indictments of Perjury against the Witnesses in that Case of my Lord Shaftesbury, to you will not admit it here; and if my Lord Chief Justice Jones were in the right, who did the same thing in his Circuit, I hope I shall have that Right done me here. My Lord, it is not me they indict, but the whole Protestant Interest is aim'd at in this Profecution; and hereby they arraign the whole Proceedings of fo many Parliaments, all the Courts of Justice, and the Verdicts of those Juries that convicted the Traitors that were executed; for my own part, I care not what becomes of me, the Truth will one time or another appear.

L, C,  $\mathcal{I}$ . I hope in God it will.

Oales. I do not question it, my Lord.

 $L. C. \mathcal{I}$ . And I hope we are finding it out to-day. Oates. But, my Lord, fince I have not the liberty to argue those things that were most material for my Defence against this Indictment, I appeal to the great God of Heaven and Earth, the Judge of all; and once more in his Presence, and before all this Auditory, I avow my Evidence of the Popish Plot, all and every part of it, to be nothing but true, and will expect from the Almighty God, the Vindication of my Integrity and Innocence.

Mir. Sol. Gen. May it please your Lordship, and

you Gentlemen of the Jury-

Oales. My Lord, I have one thing to move to your Lordship; I desire I may be brought up tomorrow by Rule of Court to hear my Trial that is then to be.

L. G.  $\mathcal{J}$ . Ay, let him be brought up by Rule to his Trial.

Oates. My Lord; I lie under very great Affliction with the Stone and the Gour, and besides that, I have lain in Irons these twenty-one Weeks; I befeech your Lordship, that this Cruelty may not be inflicted upon me. My Lord, I am but hitherto a Debtor to the King upon a Civil Account; and if I should be convicted upon these Indictments, I could then be but in Execution for a Trespass: and I humbly conceive and hope the Court will shew me that Favour, as to acquaint my Keeper, that I ought not to be so handled.

L. C. J. Look you, for that, I tell you again what I have often faid, I expect from the Marshal that he keep you as he ought to keep you; I have heard that there have been abundance of Attempts made for your Escape, and therefore the Marshal

must have the greater Care of you.

Oates. Let any of those Complaints be made out, my Lord, and I'll be contented to be used how they will.

L. C. J. I repeat it again, Let the Marshal have a care to keep you in salva & artta Custodia, according to Law.

Mr. Just. Holloway. If the Marshal does otherwife than his Duty, inform against him, and take the Remedy the Law allows.

Marshal. But the last Night, my Lord, Ropes were brought into his Chamber, on purpose to give him means to escape, and here are the Bundle of Ropes.

Oates. I know nothing at all of them, I affure

you, my Lord.

L. C. J. Well, you have our Rule. Go on, Mr. Sollicitor.

Mr. Sol. Gen. May it please your Lordship, and you Gentlemen of the Jury, I am of Counsel for the King in this Cause; which is upon an Indictment of Perjury against Titus Oates, the Defendant; and the Perjury charg'd upon the Defendant, is laid to be in his Oath at the Trial of Ireland, at the Old-Bailey; and it is thus, That he in his Evidence at that Trial did swear, that there was a treasonable Consult of the Jesuits, held at the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand, the 24th of April 78, and that he was there prefent———

Oates. Ay, I was there.

 $L.\ C.\ \mathcal{F}.$  You must be quiet, and let the Coun-

fel go on without Interruption.

Mr. Sol. Gen. And that they separated themfelves into leffer Companies and Clubs, and that the Jesuits came to a Resolution to murder the late King, and that he the Defendant Oates, carried this Resolution from Chamber to Chamber, and faw it signed by them: Now all this is laid in his Indictment to be false; for that, in truth, he was not present at any such Consult of the Jesuits at the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand upon the 24th of April 78, nor did carry any fuch Resolution from Chamber to Chamber to be fign'd, as he had fworm in the Old-Bailey at that Trial; and so has committed wilful and corrupt Perjury.

Gentlemen, The Evidence that has been given to prove, that he was not present at any such Consult, nor did carry any such Resolution, has been by proving where he was at that very time that he fwears this Confult was held, that is, that he was really at St. Omers, in parts beyond the Seas, and not in London; and to make out this, we have produced no less than twenty Witnesses, that swear politively, and give you very many Circumstances to induce you to believe, that what they have taken upon them to remember, is really and effectually true.

First, You have Mr. Hilley, and he swears that he himself came away from St. Omers the 24th of April, New Stile, which is the 14th of April, Old Stile, which was a Sunday; that Night he came to Calais, the next day he arriv'd at Dover, and coming up to town towards London, the next Day he met with Mr. Burneby, who was then going to St. Omers. And he further swears, that at that very time, when he came from St. Omers, he left Oates, the Defendant upon the Place: this, I say, was the 14th of April. And for a Confirmation of this to be true, he lest him there at that time, I would mention what two other Witnesses do testify, and therein do verify what he swears; for they tell you, that at this very time when he came over, or within a Day or two, but long before fuch time as there was any occasion to bring this to be a Question, he told one of them, in a Discourse that was between them, that he had left upon the Place from whence he came, which was the College at St. Omers, an English Minister, whose Name was Sampfon Lucy--

Oates. My Lord, I beg I may have leave to withdraw, for I am very weak and ill.

L. C. J. Then make room for him to go away, if he will.

Mr. Sol. Gen. And they give you an account, that the Defendant, Oates, went by that Name there, and it is not denied by him that he did so: And this is a Circumstance greatly verifying Mr. Hilfley's Evidence. Then comes Mr. Burnaby, who fwears, That he met Mr. Hilfley when he came from St. Omers, about the 16th of April, Old Stile, and the 21st of April, Old Stile, he came to St Omers; and that when he came there, he found Oates there upon the Place; this was the 1st of May, New Stile: and he gives you this Circumstance to strengthen his Testimony, That he very well remembers, that upon the 2d of May, New Stile, the Day after he came to St. Omers, Oxtes intruded into his Company, and got himself acquainted with him. He fays further, that upon the 3d of May, New Stile, he particularly remembers Oates was in his Company there, and they went into the Garden and walk'd together; he also says, he saw him the 5th of May New Stile, which is the 25th of April, Old Stile, the Day after this Day affign'd for the Confult, with this Circumstance, That he remembers to have feen him in the Rhetorick School, and fo fuccessively afterwards he gives you a particular Account down to Midsummer: after that, Oates continued in the College at St. Omers, and then went away.

Then the next Witness we produce is Mr. Pool, and he gives you an account, that upon the 25th of April 1678, New Stile, which was the 15th of April our Stile, he came over here, and he left behind him at St. Omers, the Defendant Oates; for he particularly remembers, that he saw him there when he came away; so Hilley left him the 14th of April. Mr. Pool came away the 15th of April, which is their 25th and left him at St. Omers, and does not remember, that he used to be absent out of the College; and Mr. Bruneby finds him there the 21st of April; so that particularly at the times the other two came away, and Burneby came thither, there he was, and there they saw him.

The next witness is Mr. Thornton, and he gives you an account when Oetes came thither first, and how long he staid there; he says he came about

Christmas 77, and there he staid till Midsummer Eve 78; and he tells you some particular Days he saw him on; he says he saw him there on the 1st of May New Stile, and he saw him the 2d of May New Stile, in company with Mr. Burnaby, the next Day after his Arrival there, wondering at their sudden Acquaintance. And he adds a particular Circumstance, why he remembers it was the 2d of May; because that Day the Scholars at St. Omers acted a Play, at which Oates had a Scussile for a Seat: and he says he does likewise remember him to have been there, when Mr. Pool came away, which was the 25th of April, New Stile.

Then Mr. Conway, that was our next Witness, gives you an account likewise of Oates's coming to St. Omers about December 77, and how long he staid there, viz. till the latter end of June 78. And he does not remember, that he lay out of the College above one Night, and that was in January. And he remembers particularly, that he saw him with Mr. Burnaby such a Day in May.

Then we brought another Witness, and that was Mr. Haggerstone, and he tells you, he had good reason to remember Mr. Oates, for he was in the same Classis with him; and this Gentleman gives an account particularly, that he remembers him to be there the next Day after Mr. Burnaby came, and agrees in testimony with all the rest, that from Christmas till Midsammer, he was not absent out of the College but one Night.

We have another Witness, Mr. Beeston, and he remembers, that Oates was playing at Nine-pins the 1st of May; he remembers the 2d of May to have feen him with Mr. Burneby after the Play was over; and that agrees with the other Witnesses about his being in Burnaby's Company the 2d of May: and he remembers likewise, that he himself was chosen Reader to the Sodality, but upon Mr. Oates's Importunity, he supplied the Place in Mr. Beefton's room, only with this Referve and Condition, That Mr. Beefton was to be Reader at any time, if Oates failed. And he gives you this particular account, that Oates did read, as he believes, every Sunday and Holiday, from the latter end of March, when he was chosen Reader, to within a Week of his going away; for that he did never read himself, as he should have done if Oates had been absent or failed to read.

We have another Witness, and that is Mr. Smith, and he swears his being there from Christmas 77 to Midsummer 78, and he remembers particularly as to April and May, because the 21st of April he himself fell sick in the College, and went into the Infirmary, and remained there till the 7th of May; and he does remember that Oates visited him very oft in that time in the Infirmary, and told him Mr. Hilsley was gone away, and Mr. Pool was gone away, and two or three other Particulars, which happened in the College at that time; so that there is another Evidence, that is very strong with Circumstances to confirm the Testimony of the rest; and he gives you a very satisfactory Account of it, by shewing the Reasons how he comes to remember thefe things.

Mr. Price, who was our next Witness, has given you a Relation all to the same purpose of Oates's coming there at Christmas, and of his going away, at Midsummer. And he remembers particularly, that Oates was at St. Omers when the Jesuits went to the Consult at London; for that there was a Consult, and at that time, is acknowledged to be true; but not such as he says, nor was he there: but he

says some of the Fathers did call there in the way to England. And at that very time, and after, he saw him there. And Mr. Doddington gives you the same Account.

Story to the same purpose; how long Oates was there, and when he came. And that the 2d of May he remembers Oates was at the Play; and he remembers the 26th of May, Oates was there, which was the 16th of May Old Stile. And he is sure Oates was there at that time, for this reason, that heing the Day whereon he himself was consirmed, St. Augustine's Day; and Oates was consirmed, him: and therefore he has reason to remember Oates was at St. Omers.

My Lord Gerrard of Bromley was our next Witness, and he gives you an account to the same purpose; and to the general Evidence, in which he confirms the others, he adds this Particular, That Oates used to read with a singular canting Tone; so that he must needs remember him, and was so remarkable for other things, that he could not be absent without being miss'd, which he never was.

Then comes Mr. Morgan, who was there at that time, a Scholar; but he's now a Minister of the Church of England; and therefore not liable to Mr. Oates's great Exception of Religion. He confirms what all the Witnesses said of the Time of Oates's coming, and of the Time of his going away: and particularly he swears, That when Mr. Hilley went away, he was there; when Mr. Pool went away, he was there; and when Mr. Burnaby came thither, he was there. And this is not all, for there is something more remarkable in his Testimony; for he tells you particularly he does remember, That upon the 24th of April, Old Stile, the Day, Gentlemen, when Oates fays he was at the Consult in London, Oates was then at St. Omers. And the Occasion of his remembring this, he gives you an account of; for, he tells you, upon reading the Trial of *Ireland*, where *Oates* fwears, That he was here at the Confult the 24th of April 1678, Old Stile, all the College reflected upon it, and wondered at the Impudence of the Man, that he should dare to swear such a thing, when all the College remember'd him to be there all the while. And upon recollection of Circumstances, he himself did particularly recollect his own playing at Ball that very Day, and having tos'd his Ball over the Wall, he borrow'd Oates's Key to go into the Garden to fetch it: And this was thought of whilst things were fresh, and every Man remember'd this, or that, or the other thing to be done at such a particular time.

Mr. Arundel, who was another Witness, proves the general Testimony of the rest, and agrees in some particular things; and all he says, is to the

fame purpose.

Mr. Christopher Turbervile, he comes and swears Oates's being at St Omers the 4th or 5th of May, which is the 24th and 25th of April Old Stile. For that upon Mr. Pool's going away, he changed his Chamber in the College, and saw Oates divers Days afterwards in his Chamber, and at the Door; and he knew that he constantly read in the Sodality, and that he lest particularly the Sunday before he went away, which was about Midsummer 1678.

There was another Gentleman, and that was Mr. Anthony Turbervile, who gives evidence all to the same purpose; and this Circumstance goes thorough all the Testimony of these Persons, that there's scarce any body can come to, or go out of

the College, but it is known to all that are there; every Man takes notice of it, 'tis the common Difcourse amongst them; and therefore they give this as the Reason of their Belief, That he was there all this while, because they did not remember any thing that should give them occasion to believe Mr. Oates was away from the College from Christmas till Midsummer, but only one time; and the Remembrance of that does shew, that they do really observe these things, for they could all tell that in January he went to Watton, and staid out one Night.

There is one Witness more that is positive and particular, and that is Mr. Clavering, and he remembers Oates, by a very remarkable Circumstance, was at St. Omers at the very time of the Congregation is faid to be held in London; for he tells you there came a Person to beg Money of him, and there was a Collection made in the House; and this Person did desire to speak with Oates, who was then in the College, and whom it feems this Person had known in Spain; but Oates did refuse to speak with him, and did not come down to him. Thus he remembers fuch an one came there, and when he was there, he was fent to Oates to desire him to come down to this Man, and he would not. Ay, but now how is this remember'd to be at the time when the Congregation was held here in England? As to that, he gives this plain and full account, That it must be at that very time; for the Scholars did discourse among themselves, and were reckoning up what he had gotten among them, and what he had gotten at Watton; and finding that it was very inconsiderable that he had gotten at Watton, they talk'd among themselves what should be the Reafon, and concluded it was, because the Fathers were gone over to England to the Congregation.

These particular Circumstances our Witnesses give you as the Reasons of their particular Remembrances of the particular Times: But, Gentlemen, you must take along with you those general Confiderations too that are offered, which do affect the whole Time, from Christmas till Midsummer. First. That none can come or go, without being discourfed of in the College: Next, that much more Mr. Oates must needs be missed, than any other, being so remarkable a Person, as they tell you, that scarce any Week pass'd without his making it remarkable by some ridiculous Action or another. They say he fat fingly by himself, at a particular Table, that no Man could come into the Hall, but they must see him; and therefore, if absent, must needs miss. him. And yet they swear they do not ever remember him absent, but that one Night; and if he had been absent, they must needs have observed it, because of all those Circumstances that have

been reckoned up.

These are the Witnesses, Gentlemen, that we have produced to prove this Charge upon the Defendant, two of whom are brought only to verify Mr. Hilsey's Testimony; having heard it from him at that time that he had lest Oates at St. Omers, when there was no Thoughts of this Question.

Now among these twenty Witnesses, there are not above two or three at most that were at either of the Trials before. They were never produced as Evidence, tho' indeed they proved the same thing that was testified by others then, but with many other Circumstances and Particularities that were not before spoken of; some are now produced that were produced before, and the Reason is, because they are themselves concerned in those

Parti-

Particularities which gave occasion to the others to remember them.

Gentlemen, after the producing of so many Witnesses, and so strong a Proof, what is it that Mr. Oates has produced against it? His main Objection to their Testimony, is, There were several that came from St. Omers to testify at those former Trials what these now swear, who were not believed, but I was believed, and the Men were convicted, condemned, and executed upon my Testimony: But is that all he has to fay? No, fays he, I have likewise Witnesses to offer as a Counterproof to them, a positive Proof that I was here in England at the time they swear me to be at St. Omers; and he says well; if that be proved, there is an end of the Case. Well, what is the Proof? The Witnesses that he has, have been these four, Cicely Mayo, Servant to Sir Richard Barker; Butler his Coachman; Page, another of Sir Richard's Servants; and the Parson Walker.

The Evidence of Page and Walker, tho' produced last by Mr. Oates, yet I crave leave to mention first, and set them out of the way. Page, he remembers to have seen Mr. Oates in a Disguise at Sir Richard Barker's; that is, in grey Cloaths; but he is not certain as to the Time; and he cannot take upon him to say what time of the Year, or what Year it was, only he believes it was in May: and therefore that can be no sufficient Evidence to contradict Witnesses, that with great Particularity speak to certain times. As for Walker, the Parson, he said he saw him between St. Martin's-Lane and Leicester-Fields; but he cannot remember the time when neither: nay, the Remembrance he has of it goes rather to another time, than the time in question; for being asked what Circumstance he knew the Time by, he said, it was about a Year and a Quarter before the Plot was discovered, which must be in April or May 1677, and that will do the Doctor no service at all upon this Question.

Now let us consider Mrs. Mayo's Testimony; and the Oath that she made was this, That when Oates came over into England, she saw him at Sir Richard Barker's House the latter end of April, or the beginning of May, and the Week before Whitfuntide. The latter end of April, or the beginning of May, was the first time that he came, that she faw him; but that he came before, as she heard, but the Coachman only saw him, and told her that Oates had been there: but the second time she did fee him, and he went in and dined there in the House. Sir Richard Barker was not there, but my Lady's Sister, her Sons and Daughters were there. She says, that she saw him again a Week before Whitsuntide; that when he came the first time he was in grey Cloathes, a white Hat, and a short Perriwig. Afterwards he was in black Clothes, a pretty long Perriwig, not very long, but the Perriwig was brown: and these are the Circumstances fhe remembers to have feen him by.

Now let us fee what *Butler*, the Coachman, fays: He remembers about the beginning of May he saw Oates at his Master's House in a Disguise; the other faid it was the latter end of April, or the beginning of May; but I stand not upon that: but this he does fwear. That the first time he saw him, Cicely Mayo saw him too, that he was then in grey Clothes, a white Hat, but his Hair was cut fhort, and he had no Perriwig on; afterwards he came in a cinnamon colour'd Coat, and green Ribbons, and a long black Perriwig. This is Butler's Evidence.

Now these two People's Evidence are utterly impossible to be reconciled, they contradict one another so much: First, they contradict one another in this; she says, the first time he came she did not fee him, but the Coachman told her he had been there; but he swears, That she did at that time look out of the Window into the Yard, and did fee him as well as he. Another Contradiction is this, she remembers the first time he came in grey Clothes, a white Hat, and a short Perriwig; the Coachman fwears he had no Perriwig on; but his Hair was cut short to his Ears. Then again she fwears the fecond time, he was in black Clothes, and an indifferent long Perriwig, and the Perriwig was brown; and the other swears he was in cinnamon-colour'd Clothes, and a long Perriwig, and it was a black one. Now thus you see the Witnesses contradict themselves, and cannot be reconciled to one another.

But take one thing more, which is very confiderable, wherein the contradicts Mr. Gerrard; for she swears he was here a Week before Whitsuntide; that she is sure of: Now that falls upon the nineteenth Day of May; then upon the fixteenth Day of May, was the Week before Whitsuntide; now, that is a most eminent Day, by the Testimony of Mr. Gerrard; for that happens to be the twenty fixth of May, New Stile, and that was the Day he was confirmed with Mr. Gerrard at St. Omers: And no Man fure can doubt which of the two Witnesses is to be believed; and it cannot but be true, that he was there at that time, because so remarkable a Circumstance cannot be forgotten: and yet this Woman swears that he was there a Week before Whitsuntide, and that by computation must be the Time of his Confirmation. But, Gentlemen, another thing that I offer upon the Testimony of these Witnesses, is this: If you will believe it, he himself contradicts his own Witnesses, or they him, and one of them is forfworn: For he has fworn the Confult was the twenty fourth of April, and that three or four Days after that was over, he went back with the Fathers to St. Omers: Now this contradicts all that his Witnesses have faid. But he has given himself, as he thought, some little Latitude; says he, the Consult began the twenty fourth, but it held six or seven Days longer. We will for this time admit it to be so, and give him the six Days he requires; and yet after all, it will not come up to the time that his Witnesses speak to: for to the 24th of April, add fix days, and that brings us just to the last Day of April; and then take four Days in May, to make up the three or four Days after the Confult was over, and we are yet at a great distance from the Whitsun Week; for that was the 19th of May, and the Week before must be the 12th or 13th, and so it is impossible to be reconcil'd to Truth, what he and his Witnesses swear; either the Evidence that the Doctor now brings, must be a Contradiction to his own former Oath, or his Oath proves they are mistaken: besides the Contradiction that is between the Witnesses themselves; for they vary in a great many material Circumstances, which I have before reckon'd up to you.

But, Gentlemen, false Witnesses are very often detected by little Circumstances, though I must needs fay, these are not small ones; for these are very remarkable things in themselves, and great Contradictions to one another, and therefore 'tis impossible they both can swear true,

There

There were some other Witnesses that were produced by Mr. Oates formerly upon this Point; one of which was Clay, the Priest, and he you hear is contradicted by Mr. Howard's Testimony, who not only tells you he was not at his House, when he says he was, but shews that Clay was mistaken in point of Time: It was not in May, but in July. But that's not all, we have another Evidence, Gentlemen, to show how this Mistake comes to pass, and which will give a great light into this fort of Practice that has been used in this matter; for we have two Witnesses that give you an account how Oates and Sir William Waller were at the Gate-House with Clay, and there did threaten him, that unless he swore he dined with Oates, at Mr. Howard's, in May, they knew he was a Priest, and he should be hang'd. The Man was somewhat cautious at first, for truly he knew nothing of the matter; but at last, upon condition he might have his Money again that was taken from him, he had been a Rogue formerly, and he did not know what he might do. Now, we find presently after, that he was in fact brought as a Witness; what he swore, Mr. Howard tells you, and you have heard him contradicted in that point of Time. For Mr. Howard says, it was in July; and then it was agreed that Oates was in England; for our Witnesses tell you that at Midsummer he came away fram St. Omers.

So that, Gentlemen, the Evidence that was formerly produced for him, is not only taken away by positive Counter-proof, but there is fixed upon him, with great Infamy, the additional Crime of Subornation, by forcing People to swear for him under the Threats of being langed; and we all know how such People lay under great Fears and Terrors at that time.

There was another Witness that was produced formerly for him; but I shall not take upon me to repeat all the particular Evidence that has been now given about that Matter. In short, our Objection to that Testimony lies thus: That Oates did Iwear in his Narrative, that Smith was a dangerous Man, and employed by the Jesuits to stir up the People against the Government; that appears by Oates's own Oath. But it likewise appears, that afterwards they were reconciled, and that he gave Smith a Certificate under his Hand, that he was a good Protestant, and a good Subject, and about eminent Service for the King. Now we see no reafon hitherto, why Mr. Oates should change his Mind, after having fworn him fuch an ill Man, all of a sudden to be so kind to give him his Protection, and so advantageous a Character, as that was in that Season. But, Gentlemen, the Mystery is easily unfolded, if we go three or four Days further in time, which brings us to the Trials of Whitebread and Langborn; it seems Mr. Oates was then aware of that, which is now an Objection to his Testimony; for it was made an Objection at the first Trial of Ireland, That he was not at this Confult, for he was then actually at St. Omers; but no Witnesses were there to prove it; but now he was aware that some were a coming over, and it concerned him to make some good Proof if he could, that really and in truth he was here in London at that time.

And I cannot omit to take notice of what my Lord Chief Justice was pleased to observe and object to him now: It is a wonder a Man should be here in Town so long; a Man that had much Acquaintance, as it seems he had; a Man that went about Vol. IV.

freely and publickly, as his own Witnesses say he did, should be seen by no body, but these sew, should not be able to give an Account, by any body, where he lodged, at any one Time in this Interval. Nay, by no Circumstances that must occur to his own knowledge, to be able to shew where he lodged, is very strange; though it should be that he did lodge in the Houses of those that would not come to give Evidence, as he alledges, yet it is almost impossible, but there must be some other Circumstances to prove his being here, besides the Evidence of the Landlord of the House where he lodged: but he gives no Account where he was lodged, and whom he conversed withal, but these People only; and that they should see him, who were not his Intimates, and none else in the World, is to me one of the most strange Things that can be conceived.

Now, Gentlemen, when he has given no manner of Satisfaction, that he was here, and is by twenty Witnesses sworn not to be here; what is it that he fays to all this? Why he doth infift upon it, that his Credit must not be now impeached, because that once he had the fortune to be believed, and some Men have died and suffered for it; as if no Perjury were to be punished, but that which is unfuccessful; whereas the reason why Perjury is taken notice of in the Temporal Courts, is only because of the Mischief that it may do. Shall it then be no Crime when a Man has done the Mifchief, when the fear of that Mischief was the ground of making it a Crime? That is a most monstrous way of arguing. And yet this would Mr. Oates have look like an Argument, that because he has been believed in his false Oaths, and Men have suffered by his Perjury, and he has been successful in his Crime, that Success has protected his Wickedness from Punishment. This I confess is a new way of arguing; and fuch as none but Mr. Oates could certainly have invented.

But, Gentlemen, to contradict this, we have shown you, that as he has had the fortune to be believed; so he has likewise had the fortune to be disbelieved. For there have been produced as many Records of Acquittals, where he was an Evidence, as there have been produced Convictions upon his Testimony; so that he has been as often disbelieved as believed.

Whither then does he betake himself next? He has called a great many Witnesses to give you, as Evidence for him, an Account what Opinions at that time the Houses of Parliament, the Courts of Justice, and the Juries had of his Evidence; and then insists upon it, that now to call his Credit in question, is to arraign the Justice of the Nation. the Wildom of both Houses of Parliament that believed him, the Honesty of the Juries that convicted those whom he accused, and the Integrity and Understanding of the Judges who were learned, wise, and just Men; and with great Earnestness he asks the Question, why now at this time of day should this be called in question, which received so much Credit and Approbation so long ago, by the good Opinion that all forts of Men had of it at that time? And now to question this, he would have thought to be a casting a Blot upon the Wisdom of the Nation, and an arraigning the Justice of it; when, if the thing be duly confidered, the not punishing this heinous Offence with the utmost Severity that the Law will allow of, now, that these Circumstances appear to make it plain and evident, would be a greater piece of Injustice and

R¢-

Reflection on the Justice of it.

Gentlemen, when we consider the Circumstances of this Case now, I do verily think it will appear to be a very strange and wonderful thing to us, that ever any Man should have believed him. And it is a strange Consideration to restect upon, to think what Credit he had at that time. But withal, consider, Gentlemen, could any one imagine, that it were possible for any Man on Earth to become so impudent, as to dare to expose himself before the High Court of Parliament, the great Courts of Justice, and there tell a most infamous Lye for the taking away the Lives of Men? The greatness of the Attempt, was a great Inducement to the Belief of it, because no Man could be presumed to dare the doing of such a thing, if he had not a Foundation of Truth to build upon. And when he had thus made his Discovery, and that of such a nature too, a most horrid, bloody, and traiterous Conspiracy to murder the King, to overturn the Government, to massacre all the Protestants in the Kingdom, and to deface the very Name of the Protestant Religion; what was more natural than that the Parliament should take all imaginable Care to prevent the accomplishment of so great a Mischief? And confider too how much easier it was for him to relate his Evidence in a continued Story, than afterwards it was to maintain it, when it came to be examin'd and opposed by them that were accused, who best knew wherein, and by what means to prove him false.

Gentlemen, the Care the Parliament took to difappoint any Designs of this Nature, and the means they used for the Security of the King and Kingdom, and the Preservation of our Religion and Lives, (which I cannot but observe, was previous to the Trial of any one Offender, that was tried and convicted upon this Evidence) must needs put the whole Nation under great Apprehensions of Danger and make the way much easier for him to be believed, as by sad Experience we found it did. Under these Circumstances came those Men to be tried, with this further, (as we cannot now but think) that there were ill Men at work, that laboured to improve those Fears and Jealousies, that had already posses'd Men's Minds; the Wonder then will be the lefs, if Men under fuch Disadvantages, tried by Men under those Fears and Apprehensions, had the ill fortune to be convicted. But when Men had a little overcome their Fears, and began to consider his Evidence more calmiy, the Scene was changed, Oates lost his Credit, and the Men were all acquitted. Nor is this Profecution any Reflection on the Protestant Religion, whose Cause he falsly asfumes to himself. No, Gentlemen, the Protestant Religion had no share in that Invention. It needs not the support of a Lye, no, not the most plausible Lye, much less of one so infamous as this does now appear to be. It is rather a Vindication of our Religion, to punish such Offenders as they deserve, and the proper way to maintain the Justice of the Nation, and wipe off that Reproach this Man's Perjury has brought upon it.

L. C. J. Then, Gentlemen of the Jury, the E-vidence has been very long, and it cannot be expected after so much time has been spent in this Cause, and such a difference of Testimony, and especially considering that the Testimony has met with srequent interruptions, and I may say, some Part of it, delivered in great Consusion, it cannot be thought

or imagin'd, I say, that I should be able to remind you of the feveral Particulars that concern this Cafe, and may be necessary to be observed about it. But, Gentlemen, I am fure, by the Knowledge I have of most of you, you are Persons of great Understanding; so that what may be omitted by me, I question not, but your own Abilities will supply. I confess I am much shortned in my Labour, by the pains that Mr. Sollicitor has taken to fum up the Evidence to you, and without all doubt, has done it with all Faithfulness to his Master, and with great Right to himself. I could not hear much of what he did fay; but you who were nearer and did hear all, which I could not do, your Judgments will direct you to lay that Weight upon it, which it deferves, and which the Law will allow; for, Gentlemen, I am bound to tell you, that you are to lay no weight upon any Allegations on the one fide, or on the other, or what is observed to you by the Court, surther than is supported by the Testimony that has been offered.

Now, Gentlemen, I think it not amiss for me (before fuch time as I enter upon the Confideration of the Particulars, and reminding you what I take to be Evidence in this Case, and what not) to clear one Point, that this Person, Oates, the Defendant, against whom this Indictment of Perjury is brought, . has endeavoured to infinuate, on purpole to gain himself a Reputation; which is he could acquire this way, would add a greater Reproach to the Justice of the Nation, than it has contracted already, by giving too much Credit to such profligate Wretches, as have too lately appeared among us. The Objection he makes and insists so much upon; says he, I was believed very much before, I can produce you the Opinions of the Judges, that declared themselves very well satisfied with my Evidence, and the Verdicts of Juries, two or three, that convicted Men upon my Testimony; and not only that, but here were likewise three or four Parliaments that did not only believe that Testimony (or rather Narrative, for I cannot call that Testimony, which was given before the House of Commons) that I gave, but did declare their Satisfaction of the Truth of what I faid; which Satisfaction and Belief of theirs did produce Thanks from both Houses to me for giving this Testimony.

But all this while, what Mr. Sollicitor said upon this Point, is a plain and a full Answer to it: if in case upon a sudden Information, the King, the Parliament, the Courts of Law, and Juries, were surprized into this Belief, as not imagining there could be a pack of such Villains, that could be wound up to that height, as these Fellows have been; that there could be such an horrid Impostor, as this Fellow, that should make such Attempts, unless there had been some Truth in it.

Therefore the furprize of the thing, at that time might obtain a Belief; but God forbid, that that Belief, which was so obtained, should protect the Party believed, from being call'd in question for the falshood of that Testimony, which was the ground of that Belief. It was hardly credible that any Person could be so wicked as to declare such impudent Falsities as these; but, God forbid, that we should continue longer under the same blindness and delusion, the whole Matter is now laid open and detected. Therefore, Gentlemen, if you are satisfied in your Consciences, and do believe upon the Testimony and Evidence that has been given here this day, that those very things that were so much believed before, were credited upon the Sur-

prize of a sudden Discovery, or the Boldness of the Undertaking, yet now do plainly appear to be false; then be it, I say, upon your Consciences, if you let this Falshood go unpunished. It is a Charge upon us who are upon our Oaths as Judges, and who must answer to the great Judge of all the World for our Judgments; and 'tis likewise a Charge upon you that are sworn to try this Cause, and must answer, as well as we, for what you do in it, not to have regard to any thing that was done before upon that hurry and surprize, but seriously to weigh and consider what is sworn now, and from thence make a Conclusion, whether you are not satisfied that innocent Blood has been spilt by the means of this Fellow. Nay, in this Case, 'tis a contracting of much more Guilt than ordinary, as it is Murder done under the Forms of Law, and common Methods of Justice. That Men should take away the Lives of their Fellow-Creatures, by Perjury and false Accusations, is of such dreadful consequence, that if the Justice of the Nation shall be afraid to have such matters detected, there would be an end of all the Security we have of our Lives, Liberties, and whatsoever is dear to us.

Gentlemen, the Justice of the Nation lies under a very great Reproach abroad, for this particular thing; and we must be, all of us, that have any Concern for the Honour and Good of our Country, uneasy, till this matter be thoroughly searched into, and impartially determined; and I take it to be a Case of the greatest Importance to the Settlement of the Kingdom, for the Credit of our Laws, for the Honour and Justice of our Kingdom, that ever came in Judgment in any of our Courts of Justice. And therefore as you respect your own Consciences, and the Obligation of that Oath you are now under, and as you would be thought to bear any regard to the Peace, Honour, and Good of your Country, take care to examine strictly and impartially into the Merits of this Cause, and weigh the Evidence which has been given on all sides: be not at all dismayed with the Apprehension of Clamour or Calumny, from any fort of People whatfoever, for doing your Duty; neither be led away by the Insinuations of what was believed formerly; for you hear the Reason which might make Oates be believ'd then; but it is incumbent upon you to enquire, whether you have not sufficient reason to be satisfied what the Truth now is.

And, Gentlemen, I take my felf to be the more obliged to take some pains in the pressing a serious and impartial Consideration of these things upon you, because I cannot but say, my Blood does curdle, and my Spirits are raised, that after the Discoveries made, I think, to the satisfaction of all that have attended this Day, to see a Fellow continue so impudent, as to brazen it out, as he has done this Day; and that there should appear no more shame and confusion than what was seen in the Face of that monstrous Villain that stood but now at the Bar. The pretended Infirmity of his Body made him remove out of Court, but the Infirmity of his depraved Mind, the Blackness of his Soul, the Baseness of his Actions ought to be look'd upon with such Horror and Detestation, as to think him unworthy any longer to tread upon the Face of God's Earth. You'll pardon my warmth, I hope; for it is imposfible that such things should come before any honest Man, and not have some extraordinary Influence upon him.

Gentlemen, As to the Merits of the Cause, you have the positive Proof of many Witnesses, whose Vol. IV.

Testimony I shall by and by, as well as I-can, repeat to you; but, pray you, first give me leave to observe somewhat, as to some Points that have been started at the Bar, as, Whether a Papist can be a Witness? Now, suppose all these Persons that come here to testify this Matter against the Desendant, were Papists, as they are not, except you can take it upon your Oaths and Consciences, that all these Men are guilty of voluntary and wilful Perjury, you must find the Desendant guilty.

As to their Difference in Religion, which Oates fo much hung upon, I must tell you, every Papist or Roman Catholick, call them how you will, except the contrary be made appear by a legal Exception, I mean fuch as would take off the Testimony of one that were not a Papist, is as good a Witness in a Court of Justice, as any Protestant whatsoever: we are not come here to controvert Points of Religion, but to try a bare Matter of Fact; so that all that stuff that you have heard here this day from the Defendant, and those Insinuations that he made about their Religion, on purpose to cast dirt and filth upon all the Testimony that they have brought against him, must signify nothing with you at all. If in case such Doctrine happen to prevail as he has this day preached, then it is in the power of any Villain to swear any mischief whatsoever against a Roman Catholick, and that Roman Catholick has no way to vindicate himself, nor to make the Truth appear. We have no fuch Exceptions to Witnesses in our Law; every Man, till it is made to appear, that his Credit is forfeited, may and ought to be received as a Witness to give Testimony in any Cause: so that all that matter is of no import at all.

And, Gentlemen, I am the rather minded to hint this unto you, because he has infinuated something out of the Trials of Grove and Pickering, and Ireland, which was in December, in the Year 1677, at which Trial Whitebread and Fenwick, who had pleaded to the fame Indictment, were brought on to Trial; but because there was but one Witness against them, the Court discharged them for that time, and they came not again to Trial till the Month of June or July thereafter, when they were convicted and executed. Now between that time of Ireland's Trial, and the time when Whitebread and the rest came on to Trial again, says Oates, they had fufficient time to have brought all their Witnesses from St. Omers, to testify this business of my being there, having notice by the former Trial, what Evidence was against them: but all the Witnesses they did bring, which were about fifteen or fixteen, were not sufficient to countervail the Testimony that Oates gave of his being here in Town; and thereupon they were convicted, condemned, and afterwards (I am forry to fay it) executed.

This is the Objection he makes, but at the same time I must repeat what I said before, when such a dismal Story as this was told, when he had the confidence to relate it before, in the Houses of Parliament, and there obtained Credit; no wonder if, in that hurry, all of that Persuasion were look'd upon with an evil Eye; and the Conviction of those that were at that time accused were too easy.

We must remember the Apprehensions some were under of our Religion being to be subverted, our Government to be destroyed, our King to be murdered, our Throats to be cut by the Papists, to that height, that this very Fellow, Oates, was so much credited, that all other People almost were below him, and greater Respect shewn to him,

I 2

than to the Branches of the Royal Family. Nay, it was come to that degree of Folly, to give it no worse Name, that in publick Societies, to the Reproach and Infamy of them be it spoken, this profligate Villain was careffed, was drunk to, and faluted, by the Name of the Saviour of the Nation. O prodigious Madness! That such a Title as that was, should ever be given to such a prostitute Mon-

ster of Impiety, as this is!

Good God, whether were we running, when many easy People were so strangely wrought upon by this Impostor, and when the villanous and black Designs of some evil Instruments amongst us, could prevail so far, as to deceive almost a whole Nation into the Belief of so horrid a Falshood; even at the same time that a hidden Treason too deeply contriv'd was carried on amongst us? But, God be thanked, was not too lately discovered. The Conspirators had a fair Game of it, whilst this Fellow was believed, and they needed no other means to accomplish their Design: But when he was found false, and the pretended Plot had lost its Credit in the World, what is their next Step? Why, then they enter into that black and bloody Conspiracy, from which it hath pleased God lately to deliver us.

I speak this the rather, because I know there are in my Eye several Persons, whose Fears of Popery made them give credit to such Villains as these besore: but when it pleased God to open their Eyes, and the falle Mist vanish'd, they found a real Conspiracy against the Persons of that Blessed King, lately dead, and of our Gracious Sovereign, now living, carried on under the pretence of that false, but so much credited Discovery; and now God he thanked, all our Eyes are open. And I hope, as we are secured from what we so vainly dreaded, so we shall not be asraid to have Villany detected, and the greatest Mark of Infamy that can be put upon

Yes, Gentlemen, there was a Confult, and there was a Conspiracy against the Life of our King, our Government, and our Religion: Not a Confult at the White-Horse in the Strand, but a Cabal and Association of perfidious Rebels and Traitors, who had a mind to embroil us in Blood and Confusion; but, God be thanked, it had not its desired Effect. The same fort of Villains were Parties in this Conspiracy, that had too great a hand in the late great Rebellion, which we to this day feel the smart of, and they had a mind to make use of the like Instruments, as they did before, to bring us into the like Misery, as we were before involv'd in.

And is it not a prodigious thing, to have such Actions as these to-day defended in a Court of Justice, with that Impudence and Unconcernedness, as tho' he would challenge even God Almighty to punish his Wickedness, and blasphemously blesses God, that he has liv'd to do such wonderful Service to the Protestant Religion; and is so obstinate, in his Villany, as to declare he would venture his Blood for the Confirmation of so impious a Falshood: and indeed, to speak the truth, he makes no great Venture in it; for when he had pawn'd his immortal Soul, by so perjur'd a Testimony, he may very easily proffer the venturing of his vile Carcass to maintain it?

Gentlemen, Having thus said, (and I could not forbar faying of it) give me leave to put you in mind of what lies before you now to be tried. First, this Indictment takes notice, that there was an Indictment taken before the Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer, and Goal-delivery at Hicks's-Hall, nesses, that swear directly he was not here in London

of High-Treason against Whitebread, Fenwick, Ireland, Pickering, and Grove, and that Indictment and the whole Record is proved to you by Swift. For I must tell you as I go along, what Proofs there are all of the Particulars, and you, upon confideration of all that is alledged, are to he Judges what is sufficient Proof to convict the Defendant of the Crime that is laid to him; which is wilful and corrupt Perjury. The Indictment, Gentlemen, sets forth the Oath that Oates did make at Ireland's Trial, and then avers it to be false: For the Oath that he did take, that stands thus, That he did fwear he was present at a Consult, held at the White-Horse Tavern in the Strand, the 24th of April 1678. That he did swear, that it was there resolved to murder the late King: How that that Resolution was carried by him from Chamber to Chamber, that is, to Whitebread's and Fenwick's, and Ireland's Chambers, and faw them fign this Resolution there: And the Assignment of the Perjury, is, that he was not present at any Consult, 'tis not that there was not any such Consult, tho' it appears by the Evidence that there was none such, but that he was not present at any Consult at all held there at that time.

· Now, that he did make such an Oath, is proved by a worthy Gentleman, Mr. Foster; a Gentleman known to you all that live in the City of London; and he did truly make that Remark in the beginning of his Testimony, that any honest Man in his place would have done, that he was one of those unfortunate Men, that tried Mr. Ireland; for tho? a Man do go according to his Conscience, as to be fure there is no question to be made of it, but all these Jury-men did in finding that Verdict; yet when I come to find, that the Evidence upon which I convicted those Men, is detected to be false, and that upon my Verdict the Persons were executed; tho' no Guilt of their Blood is really contracted by me, yet I cannot but think my self unfortunate, that I was, tho' innocently, an Instrument of their Death; and there is never an one of you, but would have thought your felves unfortunate, if you had convicted Men upon such a Testimony, which tho' you believed then, yet afterwards you should have reason to conclude was salse. And yet Oates would have you think, that because they believed him when he was a Villain, and not known to be so, you must believe him, notwithstanding his Villany is now discovered; for that is all the Argument this learned Doctor has a mind to impose upon you by.

Gentlemen, Mr. Sollicitor has been very particular, in giving an Account of the whole Evidence, and then it comes to this, which is the natural Question in the Case; Whether upon the Testimonies that have been given to you, there does remain so much as a Doubt, what Verdict you ought to give; for I confess, were it a thing in the least doubtful, Matters of Perjury are so nice and tender, that we and you ought to be cautious how you convict People for Perjury in doubtful Matters; but if it be a thing without doubt, and plainly evident, that there was a Verdict thereupon, it adds to the Guilt, because the Justice of the Nation is imposed upon; the God of Heaven deliver every honest Man's Soul and Conscience from such Guilt. For my part, I would not for the Universe have the least Guilt of innocent Blood lie upon me.

Then, Gentlemen, to prove what Oetes swore is not true, you have no less than twenty-two Wit-

the

the 24th of April 1678. But it may be that it is not such a positive Testimony as the Law requires to prove a Perjury: but then to swear directly that he was in another place at that time, is a positive Contradiction to his Evidence; and this has been testified by the Oaths of twenty-two Persons, against the Credit of whose Testimony there is no Objection at all really made, but only Impudence; and that Shadow of an Objection, they are all Papists, and I am a Protestant: and truly a wonderful Credit it is, I must needs say, for the Protestant Religion to have such a learned and pious Supporter, as Mr. Oates, to be of that Persuasion; but it is certain there was a Judas amongst the twelve Apostles, and there are Rascals of all Persuasions. And truly I take it makes never the more for his Advantage, nor the Honour of our Church, that he is pleased to call himself by that Name, which he, and such as he, have brought into some Scandal and Reproach, by fetting themselves up, as the great Pillars of it; but we know Dr. Oates has been very liberal to himself, he has given himself Baptism, and given himself the Doctor's Degree, and now he gives himself the Title of the Reforing Protestant, Mr. Oates. We have Protestant Shoe-makers, and Protestant Joiners, and Protestant Atheists, and all sorts of true Protestant Rascals; but it becomes us and you to assert the Honour of our Religion, by disowning any Fellowship with such Villains, or their Actions.

Gentlemen, the Method that was taken, gives you an exact account in point of time, as to this whole Business. First, says Mr. Hilfley, I lest him, in time the 23d of April New Stile, at St. Omers, and I am fure I saw him there then, which is the 13th Old Stile; the 24th of April New Stile, which is the 14th Old Stile, I came from St. Omers, I did not see him there that Morning, but I my felf came to Calais, it being Sunday, and I staid there till Sunday in the Afternoon, and all Night. I came on Monday in the Afternoon to take water at Calais, and from thence went to Dover. And from thence the next Day I went towards London; but I staid four or five Days by the way before I came to London; but then there is this Circumstance that you must take along with you, Gentlemen, that at this time, one Mr. Burnaby was coming from London, and going to St. Omers, and met with Mr. Hilfley in his way towards London: fays Hilfley, I came afterwards to Town, and that was upon the Monday following, which happens to be the 21st or 22d of April, our Stile; but this I do remember very particularly, which makes his Testimony to be true, and not dressed up for this occasion; says he, I told one Osbourn at that time, that we had a pretty Fellow at St. Omers, that went sometimes by the Name of Lucy, and fometimes by the Name of Oates, a Minister of the Church of *England*; and there he comes and gives an account of his ridiculous childish Actions, and what a remarkable Fellow he was; and this, fays he, I told to Osbourn.

Now, to make this good, you have one Mr. Dorrel, who tells you there happened a Discourse, where he was present, between his Mother and Mr. Osbourn, about Religion; and amongst other things Osbourn did say, Mr. Hilsey had told him he lest Oates at St. Omers when he came away from thence; and Mr. Osbourn, he comes and says, I remember I did tell Mr. Dorrel and his Mother, at that time that Mr. Hilsey did tell me this Story: so that here are these two Witnesses which support Mr. Hilsey

in that Circumstance of the time of his coming away, and his Relation of Oates being left behind him, and that this was related about the 21st or 22d of April.

Burnaby is the next Person that was produced, and he swears he met Hilsley by Sittingburn; and he happens to set out for St. Omers the 18th of April, our Stile, and to come thither to St. Omers the 21st; and he swears positively, that he was there the 21st, 22d, 23d, and was there the 25th Day of April, our Stile; and he does positively affirm, that all those Days he saw Oates there at St. Omers; that Oates intruded into his Company at his first coming; and that he and all the other Scholars wondered at the Confidence of the Man; and he particularly remembers that he was with him at a publick Entertainment that was there the 25th: and if so, then it is impossible that what Oates has sworn of his being at the Consult the 24th of April, our Stile, can be true. And except you can imagine Mr. Oates to fly from St. Omers the 24th Day in the Morning, and be at the Confult and back again at Night, which is more than he would have believed, because he swears the contrary, you must conclude that what he fwore was false.

The next Witness is Mr. Pool, and he comes and says, he was there at St. Omers, and he came over from thence the 25th of April, and that was the Day after Oates swears the Consult of the Plot to be here; and all the rest of the Witnesses do directly swear, That at the time that Pool went from thence, Oates was there: so that the Testimony of Pool is fully and positively confirmed; and Pool gives you a reason why he came over, which was the Death of a Brother of his, and upon a Prospect of an Estate he came into England, which likewise is a reasonable Circumstance why he should remember the time, because he had such Inducement to come over hither.

The next, Gentlemen, is one Thornton, and he comes to the Month of May, to the 1st and 2d of May their Stile, which was the 21st and 22d of April, our Stile; and particularly he is sure Oates was there upon the 22d of April, Our Stile, that is, the 2d of May, their Stile. For, says he, there was an Action or Play of the Scholars; and I can tell you how I remember Oates was there, Oates had a mind to have a place to see the Play, which he had no Pretence or Title to; and he had a Scussile with somebody about it; and therefore I remember by that Token, that he was there at that time.

Now, Gentlemen, it is not easy to be imagined, that fix or seven Men should agree in their Testimony in all those Circumstances, which in themselves are but minute; yet when offered as Reasons to induce Men's Remembrance, must be allowed as good Reasons; and yet this is to be thought but a made Story. If Sir Richard Barker's Coach-man, and Mrs. Mayo had had any such Circumstances to support their Testimony, they would have had much more Credit.

Then there is one Conway; and it is very observable what he speaks of; for he was there all the while that they say Oates was there, and he gives you an account that he saw him with Burnaby the first time he came over, and agrees with Burnaby in this, that he wonder'd much at his Considence and did think that he was very well known to him before: He swears Oates came in thither about the beginning of December 77, and he was not absent, says he and several more, any one Night, except in January once at Watton, till he went away in

June 78. Nay, they are so precise in their Memory for very good Reasons, because they are so regular in their Societies, and keep a strict Order, each has his fixed Place in the Refectory, where all the Socii or the Members of the College do meet; and because this Fellow was an old Fellow, older than the rest, and likewise was a Dunce, therefore he was set at the Dunce-Table in every body's View, because he was a Blockhead, and too old to keep company with the Boys: fo that though perhaps one of those young Fellows in a mixt Society may escape the View, and be absent without being missed, yet remarkable Mr. Oates is not so easily forgotten, that us'd to sit by himself. And as that noble Lord, my Lord Gerrard of Bromley fays, he has a particular Face, and a particular Tone; and there was more reason to remark him than any other, both upon the account of his Person and of his Actions: so that I must needs say indeed, it is not a downright and positive Swearing, but their Testimony is given with deliberation and recollection of fuch particular Circumstances, as may reasonably induce any unprejudic'd Person to give credit to it.

The next Witness, Gentlemen, is Haggerstone, whose Evidence is wonderful particular, and very material as to the Circumstances that accompany it. For you are to observe, that in these Colleges some are of the Sodality, and some are not; and some are of such and such Classes or Forms, and others of other. Now this Gentleman and Mr. Oates were of the fame Form, and he does particularly remember that he was fetting up for a Preacher, as he has an excellent knack that way; and he tells you, how ridiculous he made the late King in a Sermon; that he halted between two Opinions, and there ran a Stream of Popery between his Legs; and such like precious Suff he vented. And to fix it to be about the same time that is now in question, he says, he particularly remembers it was when he was Reader, when Mr. Oates was, it seems, the Bustoon to the Society, or, as I may call him, the Jack-pudding to the College, that used to make them Sport, and was guilty of so many ridiculous Things, that they could not but put particular Remarks upon him.

Next, I take notice, that this Person says, there were two Persons, Williams and Marsh, that were qualified to give Suffrages in the Congregation, that is, they were past eighteen Years standing, and did go over: For that there was a Confult, is not denied, nor that it was in London, nor that it was upon the 24th of April; but they fay, it was a Triennial Meeting, which they us'd to have once in three Years for the choice of some Officers to manage the Affairs of the Society; as for the choice of a Provincial, and other Persons that they were to fend upon their Errands, in order to the support of their Society: so that under the Colour and Countenance of what was in itself ordinary and usual, and that happened to be at that time, Oates, who had heard somewhat of it, and that it was the 24th of April, and that fuch and fuch were to be there, he upon that Hearfay, as should seem at St. Omers, does feigh and contrive this pretended Conspiracy.

The next is one Beefton; and it is very material too that he fwears: For besides what he testifies, That from the time of his coming, which was in December 77, he was not absent till the 23d

there, by this Circumstance: Says he, I was chosen to be Reader of the Sodality in the Month of March, but then Oates comes and gets the Office out of my I-lands; but still with me there was that Benefit referved, that if he should at any time fail of reading there upon a Sunday or a Holy-day, I was then to have read, and to have supplied his Place. Says he, I was there from March, till the time Oates went away in June; and Oates read there that very Sunday before the time in June that he went away. And I am fure I heard him read every time; and in case he had not read every time; I must have read in his absence: But I did not read at all during that time.

Now, Gentlemen, when a Man is to fucceed or to supply the Absence of another in an Office that is peculiar as to the Charge incumbent upon the Officer, and confiderable as to the Profit of it, that must needs make an impression upon the Mind, and give a Man a more exact remembrance of the Thing; and therefore I recommend it particularly to your Observation.

The next is one Mr. Smith, and he fays, he faw Oates there all the time: And as to one part of it, when he himself was in the Infirmary, Oates went to visit him every two or three Days; nay, and about fuch a time in May, fays he, which was about the beginning of May, Oates being then in the Infirmary, not well, the Doctor and he had a pretty Dialogue together, and Oates spoke false Latin to the Doctor, for he said, Si placeat Dominatio vestra, in the beginning of his Complement: This he did particularly say was the Expression that this excellent Scholar used to the Physician at his first application to him, and all the whole College took notice of that Piece of his Learning.

The next is one Price, and he tells you, he was there all the time that the others speak of; he remembers him very well, and particularly fays, I am fure Oates was there the 11th of May N. S. which will be the first of May O. S. because, fays he, I know he was foundly beaten that day, upon a quarrel that he had there. This particularly he speaks to, besides his remembrance of the other Circumstances in concurrence with the former Witnesses.

Mr. Doddington swears the same, and Mr. Gerrard also swears the same, with that other particular Circumstance that Mr. Sollicitor repeated to you, which was of Oates's being there upon the Day of Confirmation, the 26th of May N. S. the 16th of May O. S. and he is sure of it, because he was confirmed along with him, and therefore it is impossible he should forget it: And my Lord Gerrard, who was next, gives this reason upon his remembrance of all the fore-mentioned Particulars, that he always took special notice of the Man for his canting Tone, his Physiognomy, and remarkable Behaviour.

Then there is Mr. Morgan, who is no Papist, but a Minister of the Church of England; now Mr. Oates was angry with all the rest, because they were Catholicks; but what has he to fay to Mr. Morgan, who is a Protestant? Why the truth is, there are none of them to be believed, because they swear against him, and really he ought to be permitted to give that reason, or it is like to go very hard with him; for if in case of June, when he went away; and for the Time you believe but a third part of the Testimony in question, he very well remembers his being that has been given, it is enough to do his Work.

But

But what says Mr. Morgan? Truly he comes up to the 24th of April particularly, and he tells you how he remembers it; and the first occasion he had to look into it was, they being all surprized at St. Omers at what Oates had sworn, and Mr. Morgan recollecting with himself, did remember that very Day he was playing at Ball within the College, and happened to toss his Ball over the Wall into the Garden, and not being able to recover it any other way, he spied Oates walking and looking into his Book, and therefore he defired him to lend him his Key, and by the help of that, he went in and fetched his Ball; and this was the very Day that Oates swore he was here.

Mr. Arundel says the same: The two Turberviles fay the same; and one of them is positive to have seen him there, either the 24th or 25th, or 23d and 24th of April O. S. which is the 3d and 4th, or 4th and 5th of May N. S. and if it were either of these Days, it cannot be possible he should be here at the Confult.

The next is Mr. Clavering, and I cannot but particularly take notice of what he has fworn: He says Mr. Oates was there all the time that the rest speak of; but it seems particularly about the time of the Congregation in London: There comes in a Stranger that was poor, and this Gentleman, Mr. Clavering, made a Collection for him: and it was talked of in the College as the reason why he had not Success in his Collection, because the Fathers were gone to the Congregation. Nay, and yet farther fays he, I do remember particularly that Mr. Williams and Mr. Marsh did go over to the Congregation, but Oates did not; for I do remember when Williams and Marsh came back again, I had some Discourse with Mr. Oates, about the Congregation: He came to me, and desired to know of me what account I was able to give of the Matter of that Meeting after the Consult was over. Now had Mr. Oates been there, and been a Person of that great Trust that he had fworn himself into, he needed not sure have asked Mr. Clevering at St. Omers, what the Business of the Confult was at London, where he himself had been, but the other had not.

There is, besides Mr. Copley, another Witness, Mr. Cooke, that speaks particularly of the 30th of April, that he was fure Oates was there then, because of the Procession, and because he walked by himself in it; and Wright the last Witness gives a general Account, but speaks to no particular time.

And now, Gentlemen, after all this Evidence, viva voce, you must give me leave to hint Testimonium Rei, an improbable Oath was that which Oates owns he made, if it be considered in all its Parts. Can any Man believe that fifty Persons should meet together in a Tavern in London, and these fifty Persons should come to a Resolution to kill the King and subvert the Government, and alter the Religion; and that this Consultation being drawn up in the Tavern (for fo he swears it was) they should sever themselves into lesser Clubs and Companies, and take care that none should sign when they were altogether and among themselves, but must have it carried up and down from one Man's Chamber to another, and find no body to trust with this Affair, that, if discovered, must subject them to present Destruction, and ruin their whole Party, but only Mr. Oates, who was none of their

amongst them? Can you believe any Men should be so void of Sense and Reason, that of fifty together, and those reputed as subtile as any sort of Men whatsoever, there should not be one Man of common Understanding, that should take care for a more rational Management of so great and hazardous an Undertaking? When they were met together, and might have dispatched it in a quarter of an Hour, they should separate themselves into several Parts of the Town, and trust a Resolution of that nature in Mr. Oates's Pocket, in whom if they had had more Confidence than they feem to have, yet it was folly and madness to give him that Opportunity of destroying all of them, and making himself. Were there no other Evidence but the very Testimony of the thing, it would go a very great way with me, I confels; but I must fay withal, you are Judges of this Fact, upon a superadded Testimony of Twenty-two Witnesses, viva voce; I think it leaves the thing without any doubt.

Gentlemen, the Answer given by the Defendant to this Charge is very fallacious; and tho' he puts fuch a Countenance upon it, as though his Witnesses were such Persons of Credit, that nothing could be objected against them, yet he is certainly very much mistaken in that: He has produced but two positive Witnesses, and those two, as positive as they are in their Proof, are likewise positive in their Contradictions of one another, and what they have faid is left to your Consideration.

The one is a Coachman, the other was Sir Richard Barker's House-keeper; they indeed do fay, Sir Richard Barker's Wife's Sifter, and his Nephew, and his Daughter, and his Nieces, and a worshipful Knight, and I know not who, that the old Woman tells me are gone into my Country, were all there at the same time, and no body comes to testify it, but only this Coachman and this old Woman: These, Gentlemen, are things fit to be thought of.

But now let us consider how they agree in their Evidence. Says the Woman, I saw him not till the beginning of May; but I am fure he was there before once or twice. And how does she know that? Because the Coachman told her so; and he came there several times, but he did dine there but once; and when he came there the first time the saw him, he came in such a Disguise, and he had a short Perriwig, and a kind of short white Coat, and a white Hat; but when the Coachman comes to fwear, he tells you the first time he saw him, the Woman saw him too, that he had his own Hair, and cut close to his Ears, that made him look, as the young Fellow told her, like a Quaker. And when I asked the Coachman, Are you fure that Benjamin the young Fellow did see him the first time you saw him there? He told me, No; but he was fure the old Woman did look out of the Window and see him, which she denies. I then asked him how often he dined there? He tells you several times, and there were fuch and fuch, and the old Woman did fee him dine there several times; which she denies that fhe ever faw him dine there above once. And I take notice of one of the Evidence, the Coachman, he gives but an odd fort of Reason for his remembrance: In February, fays he, my Lady died, and my Master was sick at Putney, and Oates came into the Yard while I was cleaning my own Order, nor does appear to be of such Credit Coach; and I am sure it was when the Coat

of Arms hung over the Door, because he ask'd me about my Lady's Death, and therefore it must be in May; when, for ought does appear to the contrary, it might be in any other Month after the Escutcheon was up; and in the other Circumstances there is no Certainty at all: So that it is plain, these Witnesses swear according as their Humour leads them, and not according to any Remembrance they have of the thing.

And I rather believe it, because the third Witnefs, that is Page the Apothecary, that used to make up Sir Richard Barker's Medicines, gives an Evidence contrary to both those; he cannot remember the Year positively or particularly: but I'll tell you how he thwarts and contradicts the other Peoples Testimony; for he remembers he came in fuch a Difguise, but he believes no body spoke to him but himself, because he sound him walking in the Place, that was for the common Reception of the Patients, and he asked for Dr. Tongue, and he not being within, he went away very discontented: And so now these three Witnesses seem to contradict one another; and the last Witness Walker, who is the Parson, he says nothing to the Matter; for it does plainly appear, the Time which he speaks of, which was about a Year and a half before he was called to testify at the five Jesuits Trial, must be in the Year 77, and not in the Year 78, which is the Question here, which must be before he went first to St. Omers.

Gentlemen, the other Part of Mr. Oates's Defence has been upon this Topick: Says he, I have been believed heretofore, the Parliaments have given me Credit; and to prove it, he has called feveral noble Lords and Persons of Quality. The first was my Lord of Devonshire, who says he cannot remember any Particulars of his Evidence, 'tis so long since; but he remembers the Parliament, upon the Evidence given of the Plot, did make fuch Votes as we do all know of. And there were a great many People that gave credit to his Testimony, who, God be thanked, are of another Opinion now. And my Lord of Clare says, he was not in the House of Lords at the beginning of the Discovery, and cannot remember any thing in particular. But my Lord of Huntingdon was a little more particular; and Mr. Oates began to be angry with him, because he spoke so much: Says he, I remember Mr. Oates was examin'd in the House of Lords, and was believed there, because they did believe he spoke Truth at that time; but now, upon Consideration of the Contradictions and Falsities of his Evidence, I cannot but say, I do believe him, says he, to be a great Villain, and that he has been guilty of spilling innocent Blood. And this noble Lord speaks with great Honour and Consideration: And truly, I believe, if every Man that is here were to speak his Mind, my Lord has delivered the Opinions of us all, and many Thoufands more in the Nation.

Mr. Oates call'd next my Lord Chief Baron, my Brother Gregory, my Lord of London, Sir George Treby, Mr. Williams, and my Brother Dolben. But they all tell you, they are able to give no particular Answers to his Questions; and this was the Sum of his Evidence.

To this Mr. Atterney-General has given a Reply of Evidence, that truly is of very great moment. First, here is produced Sir George Wakeman, whom Oates accused of High-Treason, and he suffered his Trial and was acquitted; so that as well as the Jury had given Credit to him in the former

Verdicts, so says Mr. Attorney, I must speak likewise for the Credit of that Verdict that did dishelieve him, because though he did swear as roundly and brifkly up to the matter as he had done before, yet when he had not the Hurry and Surprise of his Discovery to support him, his Villany was detected, and the Innocent acquitted. And besides the Record of the Acquittal, here is the Person himself who is now under no dread or danger, having stood his Trial and being acquitted; and he takes it upon his Oath, and in the Presence of the great God, and the Searcher of Hearts, that whatsoever Oates fwore against him at his Trial, was every Tittle of it false: And this Acquittal of his, being after great and mature Confideration, is an Evidence of another-guise Quality, than the Verdicts of the other Convictions.

Next to him, is my Lord Castlemain, a Person of very great Honour; and he gives an account he was arraigned of High-Treason at this Bar, and upon his Trial Oates was produced as a Witness against him, and there he swore he met with my Lord Castlemain in Lincolns-Inn-Fields, and great familiarity there was between them, fo that my Lord could not trust him in a less Affair than the Plot; and away he goes with him to Fenwick's Chamber, there to talk about a Delign of killing the late King; Mr. O.tes, of all Mankind must be the great Repository of this Secret. But the Jury then being Persons of great Understanding and Integrity, did not believe Oates, but acquitted my Lord Costlemain. And he does here take it upon his Oath, back'd with all the Imprecations of Evil to himself that a Man can use, that there was not one Word of Truth in Oates's Testimony; nor did he ever see Oates in his life, till such time as he was taken up upon his Accusation. Now are here two Persons of Honour and Quality, that upon their Oaths do particularly give you an Account, as in the Presence of Almighty God, that Oates has twice forfworn himself against them.

Gentlemen, there is notice to be taken of the Journal of the House of Lords; and though it is true for the sake of the Precedent, and to secure the Justice of the Nation, we did keep them strictly to their Proof, that it was upon Oath. And as to the Business of Smith, though we do believe the Thing in our private Judgments, yet we thought it not sit to be permitted, that Persons should upon their own Oaths confess themselves to be guilty of Perjury, and afterwards give Evidence against others; for such are not to have the Countenance of ever being Witnesses again: Yet by the Records of Parliament, and other Evidence, there is enough to make the matter aimed at clear.

For it is clear by his Narrative, that Oales did first swear, as far as he could well swear, to bring him into the Displeasure of the People: For that was his way to intimidate all he had to do with, and thereby force them to comply with his Designs. And there was no more plaufible Accusation at that time, than to accuse a Man for saying somewhat against the Parliament, or being in a Compination to subvert the Protestant Religion. But you see when he comes to have his own Turn served, then this Man upon whom he had fixed such an odious Character, is really no Papist at all, but engaged in Service for his King and Country, and has Mr. Octes's Passport, a Thing of great advantage to him at that Season. This the King's Counsel made use of with great reason, as an Evidence of tampering: For the Man has altered his Opinion of one

he has before accused, and now brings him as an honest Men to give Evidence for him. And this, say they, must be intended to be done by Practice

and by Threats.

And the rather, Gentlemen, for that you have an Account by Witnesses sworn, that there was one Clay a Popish Priest, that lay in Prison at the Gate-house, and while he was there, Oates and Sir William Waller came into the Prison to him, and tampering with him, says Oates, I hear there are some St. Omers Boys that intend to testify that I was at St. Omers, when I say I was at London; but you must swear, that you dined with me at Mr. Howard's in May 1678; or if you will not, you know I know you to be a Priest, and I'll hang you. Says Clay, where is my Silver and Gold that was taken away from me? And we all know Sir William Waller was wonderful good at the fingering of Gold; he us'd to take away broad Pieces as Popish Reliques, because of the Crosses upon them. Says Clay, Give me my Gold again, I will swear for you; I have been a Rogue before, and I may be a Rogue again. And accordingly a Contract is made for him to swear directly that Oates and he were together at Mr. Howard's House in May 78. This very Fellow that tells you now the Story, told it the next Morning to another Man, who has likewise fworn the same. Then is Clay conveyed by Oates to the Old-Bailey, and there swears, being thus threaten'd and suborn'd, that in May 1678, he and Mr. Oates dined together at Mr. Howard's House, and you have Mr. Howard produc'd, who does fwear that Clay did fwear so; but indeed he was not. there with Mr. Oates at Dinner till July after.

This, Gentlemen, is direct Corruption and Subornation; and if a Man will be a corrupt Knave, and endeavour to suborn Witnesses to swear that which is false, he is the more likely to swear false himself. Besides that, you are to take notice, here is his own Narrative produced, where you have it fworn by himself, that he went back to St. Omers about the beginning of May, and was there all the Month of May, and in June till the latter end of it. Then all this while, either Mr. Oates, or his Witnesses, are perjured in the Case: He says, he staid but three or four Days in England after the Consult was over, and then went streight back again to St. Omers. Which must be the first Week in May; but if you believe his two Witnesses, he din'd with them several times after that: And so it is apparent, some of them are guilty of gross and foul Perjury.

Now, Gentlemen, I cannot but resort back to the Objection that I made at first. 'Tis strange to me, that a Man that came upon such a Design, should go publickly about the Streets at Noon-day, though in a Disguise, yet he was known. But if you take the Persons Time to be in the Year 1677, then it is easily reconciled what they did say of their seeing him in such a Disguise; and so all their Testimony may stand together, and perhaps they may mistake in a Point of Time, though not in the Substance of their Evidence: and I would out of Charity conclude it to be so.

But I will say, if they are to be taken strictly to the Year 1678, it is monstrous to imagine, that we should have no body brought to let us know where he lodged, where he eat, with whom he con-

vers'd, for all that time.

Gentlemen, I have detained you the longer in this Matter, because I take it to be of so great Weight, wherein the Justice and Honour of the Nation are so much engag'd, and it was therefore sit this Cause should be tried in the most solemn and publick manner, in order to vindicate the Nation from the Reproach and Calumny of Injustice and Oppression. And sure I am, if you think these Witnesses swear true, as I cannot see any colour of Objection, there does not remain the least doubt, but that Oates is the blackest, and most perjur'd Villain that ever appear'd upon the face of the Earth.

Cl. of Cr. Tipstaff, you must take care of the

Jury.

L. C. J. Gentlemen, if any of you have a mind to drink at the Bar, before you go, you shall have some got for you.

Jury. No, my Lord, we do not care for drink-

ıng.

L. C. J. Then we will stay for you.

Then the Jury withdrew to consider of their Verdiet, and after about a quarter of an Hour's
stay, they returned and delivered their Virdiet:
That the Defendant was guilty of the Perjury
whereof he was indicted. Which being Recorded, the Lord Chief Justice spoke to the Jury,
to this effect.

L. C. J. Gentlemen, that we are not, God be thanked, in those Times of Disorder and Consusion that we have been heretofore in, to have Humming or Hissings to declare the Auditors Approbation or Dislike of Juries Verdicts. But because there has been this Day mention made of the Opinions of Judges about Verdicts, I shall take the liberty to declare my Mind to you now, That for my part, I am satisfied in my Conscience, you have given a good and a just Verdict; and so I believe is very other Judge upon the Bench.

To which the rest of the Judges assented; and then the Court arose.

## PEGEOGE GEOGE GEOGE

## A Second Trial of Titus Oates D.D. at the King's-Bench, for Perjury, May 9. 1685. Paschæ 1 Jac. II.



HIS Day being appointed for the Trial of the other of the Causes between our Sovereign Lord the King, and Titus Oates, for Perjury; the same began about Nine in the Morning, and proceeded af-

ter this manner.

First, Proclamation was made for Silence: Then the Defendant was called; who, appearing in Person, was advised to look to his Challenges: but he challenged none; only he defired, that they might be all ask'd, Whether they were of the Grand Jury that found the Bill? which was done. And all denying it, the Twelve sworn were these:

Sir Thomas Vernon Kt. George Toriano, Nicholas Charlton Esq;/ Henry Loades, Thomas Langham Esq; \ Jur' Hohn Midgley, Thomas Hartop, John Pelling, Francis Griffith, Thomas Short, and George Peck. John Kent,

Cl. of Cr. Gentiemen, you that are fworn, hearken to the Record.

Memorandum, That by a certain Inquisition for our Sovereign Lord the King, at the Guild-Hall of the City of London, and within the same City, on Tuesday the 28th of October, in the 36th Year of the Reign of our late Sovereign Lord Charles II. by the Grace of God, of England, Scotland, France, and Ireland, King, Defender of the Faith, &c. before Sir Henry Tulse, Knight, Mayor of the City of London; Sir William Turner, Knight; and Sir James Edwards, Knight, Aldermen of the said City; Sir Thomas Jenner, Knight, one of his Majesty's Serjeants at Law, and Recorder of the same City; Sir Robert Jefferies, Knight; and Sir John Peake, Knight, other Aldermen of the said City; and others their Companions, Justices of our said Lord the King; by his Majesty's Letters-Patents under the Great Seal of England, to enquire of several Offences in the faid Letters-Patents contain'd, and to hear and determine the same, according to the Laws and Customs of this Kingdom, by the Oaths of twelve Jurors, honest and lawful Men of the City of London aforesaid, who then and there being fworn, and charged to enquire for our said Sovereign Lord the King, and the Body of the faid City, upon their Oaths, present:

That at a certain Session of our said Lord the King, holden for the County of Middlesex at Hicks's-Hall in St. John-street, in the County aforesaid, on Monday (to wit) the 16th. Day of December, in the Year of the Reign of our faid late Sovereign Lord, Charles II. by the Grace of God, of England, Scotland, France, and Ircland, King, Defender of the Faith, &c. the 30th, before Sir Reginald Foster, Baronet; Sir Philip Matthews, Baronet; Sir William Bowles, Knight; Sir Charles Pitsield, Knight; Tho-

Lord the King; to enquire by the Oaths of honest and lawful Men, of the County of Middlesex aforefaid; and by other ways, manners, and means, whereby they might, or could better know, as well within Liberties as without; by whom the Truth of the matter might be better known and enquired of, concerning all Treasons and Misprisions of Treasons, Insurrections, Rebellions, Counterfeitings, Clippings, Washings, False-making, and other Falsifying of the Moncys of this Kingdom of England; and of any other Kingdoms and Dominions whatfoever; and of all Murthers, Felonies, Manslaughters, Killings, Burglaries, and other Articles and Offences in the Letters-Patents of our faid Lord the King, to them, or any four or more of them, thereupon directed, specified; as also the Accessaries of the fame, within the County aforefaid, as well within Liberties as without, by whomfoever, howfoever had, made, done, or committed, and to hear and determine the same Treasons, and other the Premiles, according to the Law and Custom of this Kingdom of England, affigued by the Oaths of Ralph Wain, John Vaughan, Richard Foster, Thomas Paget, Robert Newington, Henry Tompkins, Robert Hayes, John Greenwood, Peter Stinyeson, Josiah

Richard Richman, Augustine Bear, John King, Nathaniel Brit, Francis Fisher, Edward Forster, and Samuel Lynn; honest and lawful Men of the County aforefaid, sworn, and charged to enquire for our faid Lord the King, and the Body of the County asoresaid, upon their Oaths; it was prefented, That Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, late of the Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, in the County of Middlesen, Clerk; William Ireland, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, Clerk; John Fenwick, late of the same Parish and County, Clerk; Thomas Pickering, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, Clerk; and John Grove, late of the Parith aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, Gentleman; as salse Traitors against the most Illustrious, and most Serene, and Excellent Prince, our said late Sovereign Lord Charles II. by the Grace of God, of England, Scotland, France, and Ireland, King, Desender of the Faith, &c. their supreme and natural Lord; not having the Fear of the Lord in their Hearts, nor weighing the Duty of their Allegiance; but being moved and seduced by the Instigation of the Devil, the cordial Love, and true, due, and natural Obedience, which true and faithful Subjects of our faid Lord the King, towards him our faid Lord the King, should, and of right ought to bear, utterly withdrawing and contriving, and, with all their might, intending the Peace and common Tranquillity of this Kingdom of England, to disturb; and the true Worship of God, within this Kingdom of England used, and by Law established, to subvert; and Sedition and Rebellion within this Kingdom of England to mas Robinson, Humphrey Wyrley, Thomas Harriot, move, stir up, and procure; and the cordial Love, and William Hempson, Esquires, Justices of our said and true and due Obedience, which true and faith-

ful Subjects of our said Lord the King, towards him the said Lord the King, should, and of right ought to bear, utterly to withdraw, put out, and extinguish; and our said Sovereign Lord the King, to Death and final Destruction to bring and put, the 24th of April, in the 30th Year of the Reign of our said late Sovereign Lord Cherles II. at the Parish of St. Giles in the Fields aforesaid, in the County of Middlesex aforesaid; salsely, maliciously, subtilly, advisedly, and traitorously, did purpose, compass, imagine, and intend Sedition and Rebellion within this Kingdom of England to move, stir up, and procure, and a miscrable Slaughter amongst the Subjects of our said Sovereign Lord the King, to procure and cause; and our said Lord the King, from the Regal State, Title, Power, and Government of his Kingdom of England, wholly to deprive, depose, cast down, and disinherit: and him our said Lord the King, to Death and final Destruction to bring and put; and the Government of the faid Kingdom, and the fincere Religion of God, in the same Kingdom, rightly and by the Laws of the same Kingdom established, at their Will and Pleafure to change and alter; and the State of this whole Kingdom of England, through all its parts well instituted and ordained, wholly to subvert and destroy, and War against our said Lord the King, within this Kingdom of England to levy.

And to compleat and perfect the same their most wicked Treasons, and traitorous Imaginations and Purposes asoresaid; they, the aforesaid Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, Thomas Pickering, and John Grove, and. other false Traitors, to the Jurors unknown, the aforesaid 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, with Force and Arms, &c. at the Parish of St. Giles in the Fields aforesaid, in the County of Middlesex aforesaid, falsely, maliciously, subtilly, advisedly, devilishly and traitorously did assemble themselves, unite, and congregate; and then and there falsely, maliciously, subtilly, advisedly, devilishly and traitorously did consult and agree, our faid Sovereign Lord the King to Death and final Destruction to bring and put, and the Religion within this Kingdom of England, rightly and by the Laws of the same Kingdom established, to the Superstition of the Romish Church, to change and alter. And the sooner to compleat and perfect the same their most wicked Treasons and traitorous Imaginations and Purposes aforesaid, the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, Thomas Pickering, and John Grove, and other false Traitors of our said late Lord the King, to the Jurors unknown; afterwards (to wit) the same 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, at the aforesaid Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, in the County of Middlesex asoresaid, falsely, fubtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly, and traitorously, between themselves did conclude and agree, that they the said Thomas Pickering and John Grove, him our faid late Lord the King should kill and murder; and that they, the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, and others, false Traitors, to the Jurors unknown, a certain number of Masses, between them then and there agreed, for the Health of the Soul of him the said Thomas Pickering, therefore should fay, celebrate, and perform; and therefore should pay unto the said John Grove a certain Sum of Moncy between them then and there agreed, Vol. IV.

And the Jurors aforesaid, upon their Oaths aforesaid, did further present, That the said Thomas Pickering and John Grove, upon the Agreement aforesaid, then and there fallely, subtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly and traitorously did take upon themselves, and to the same Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, and other false Traitors against our said Lord the King, to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, then and there falfely, fubtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly and traitorously did promise, that they the said Thomas Pickering and John Grove, him our faid late Lord the King would kill and murder: And that they, the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick; Thomas Pickering, John Grove, and other false Traitors against our said Lord the King, unknown, afterwards (to wit) the same 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, at the aforesaid Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, in the County of Middlesex aforesaid, falsely, subtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly and traitorously did give their Faith each to other, and upon the Sacrament then and there traitorously did swear and promise to conceal, and not to divulge their said most wicked Treasons and traitorous Compassings, Consultations, and Purposes so between them had, him our said late Lord the King traitorously to kill and murder, and the Romish Religion within this Kingdom of England to be used, to introduce, and the true reformed Religion within this Kingdom of England, rightly and by the Laws of the said Kingdom established, to alter and change. And that the said Thomas Pickering and John Grove, in Execution of the traitorous Agreement aforesaid, afterwards (to wit) the same 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, and divers Days and Times after, at the aforesaid Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, in the County aforefaid, Muskets, Pistols, Swords, Daggers, and other offensive and cruel Weapons, him the said late Lord the King to kill and murder, falfely, subtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly and traitorously did prepare and obtain, had and kept for themselves; and that they the said Thomas Pickering and John Grove, afterwards (to wit) the same 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, and divers Days and Times after, with Force and Arms, &c. at the Parish aforesaid, in the County of Middlesen aforesaid, and in other Places within the County of Middlesex aforesaid, falsely, subtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly and traitorously did lie in wait; and endeavour our said late Lord the King traitorously to kill and murder; and that the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, William Ireland, John Fenwick, and other false Traitors to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, afterwards (to wit) the same 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, at the Parish aforesaid, in the County of Middlesex aforesaid, falsely, subtilly, advisedly, maliciously and traitorously did prepare, persuade, excite, abet, comfort and counsel sour other Persons to the Jurors unknown, and Subjects of our said Lord the King, him our faid late Lord the King traitoroufly to kill and murder, against the Duty of their Allegiance, against the Peace of our said Lord the King his Crown and Dignity, and against the Form of the Statute in such Case made and provided; and thereupon it was so far proceeded, that afterwards, 'at the Court of Goal-Delivery of our faid Lord the King, at Newgale, at Justice-Hall in the Old-Bailey in the Suburbs of the City of London, in the Parishi

K 2

of

of St. Sepulchre, in the Ward of Faringdon without, London, the 17th Day of December, in the 30th Year aforesaid, before the Justices of the said Lord the King, of the same Goal-delivery, then and there held by Adjournment, of the County of Middlesex aforesaid, came the said William Ireland, Thomas Pickering and John Grove, under the Custody of Sir Richard How Knight, and Sir John Chapman Knight, Sheriff of the County of Middlefex aforesaid; into whose Custody, for the Cause aforesaid, they were before committed: Being brought to the Bar there, in their proper Persons, and presently being severally asked concerning the Premises above-charged upon them, how they would acquit themselves; the aforesaid William Ireland, Thomas Pickering and John Grove did severally fay, That they were not thereof guilty; and for the same, for good and bad, they did severally put themselves upon the Country; and by a certain Jury of the Country, in that behalf duly impannell'd, sworn and charged then and there in the same Court, before the Justices of Goal-delivery aforesaid, were tried: And that upon that Trial, between our said late Lord the King, and the said William Ireland, Thomas Pickering and John Grove at London aforesaid; to wit, at the Justice Hall in the Old-Bailey aforesaid, in the Parish of St. Sepulchre, in the Ward of Faringdon without, London, aforesaid; the Defendant (Titus Oates) late of the Parish of St. Sepulchre aforesaid, in the Ward aforesaid, Clerk, was produced as a Witness, on the part of the said late Lord the King, upon the Trial aforesaid; and before the said Justices of Goal-delivery, in the Court aforesaid, then and there held, upon the Holy Gospels of God, was duly sworn to speak and testify the Truth, the whole Truth, and nothing but the Truth, of and in the Premises between our said Lord the King, and the said William Ireland, Thomas Pickering and John Grove. And that the said Titus Oates then and there, in the Court of Goal-delivery aforesaid, upon his Oath aforesaid, upon the Indictment aforesaid, at the Parish and Ward aforesaid, by his own proper Act and Confent of his most wicked Mind, falsely, voluntarily and corruptly, did fay, depose, swear, and give in Evidence to the Jurors of the Jury aforesaid, then and there fworn and impannell'd to try the Issue aforesaid between our said late Lord the King, and the said William Ircland, Thomas Pickering and John Grove; That the said William Ireland, in the Indictment aforesaid mentioned, was in Town (within the Cities of London and Westminster, or the places adjacent to the faid Cities, meaning) upon the 1st or 2d Day of September, in the Year 1678. Whereas in truth and in deed the faid William Ireland, in the Indictment aforesaid mentioned, was not in Town, nor within the Cities of London or Westminster, or the places adjacent to the same Cities, or either of them, upon the 1st or 2d Day of September, in the Year 1678. And so the aforesaid Titus Oates, the aforesaid 17th Day of December, in the 30th Year aforesaid, at the Justice-Hell aforesaid, in the Court aforesaid, upon the Trial aforesaid, upon the Indictment aforesaid, between our late Lord the King, and the aforefaid William Ireland, Thomas Pickering and John Grove, so as aforesaid had, by his own Act and Consent, and of his most wicked Mind, falsely, voluntarily and corruptly, in Manner and Form aforefaid, did commit voluntary and corrupt Perjury.

for our faid Lord the King, and the Body of the

City of London aforesaid, upon their Oaths aforesaid, do surther present, That at another Session of our said late Lord the King, held for the County of Middlesex, at Hicks's-Hall in St. Johnsstreet, in the County aforesaid, on Thursday (to wit) the 12th Day of June, in the 31st Year of our said late Lord King Charles II. before Sir Philip Matthews Baronet, Sir Thomas Orby Knight and Baronet, Sir William Pultney Knight, Sir William Bowles Knight, Thomas Robinson, Thomas Harriot, Esquires, and others their Companions, by Letters Patents of our said late Lord the King, to the same Justices afore-named, and others, and to any four or more of them, under the Great Seal of our said late Lord the King made, to enquire, by the Oaths of good and lawful Men of the County of Middlesex aforefaid, and by all other ways, manners and means, by which they might or could better know, as well within Liberties as without; by whom the Truth of the Matter might be best known and enquired, of all Treasons, Misprisions of Treasons, Insurrections, Rebellions, Counterfeitings, Clippings, Washings, False-making, and other Falsifyings of the Money of this Kingdom of England, and of other Kingdoms and Dominions whatfoever; and of all Murders, Felonics, Man-flaughters, Killings, Burglaries, Rapes, Meetings and unlawful Conventicles; Speakings of Words, Combinations, Misprisions, Confederacies, false Allegations, Trespasses, Riots, Routs, Retainers, Escapes, Contempts, Oppressions; and of other Articles and Offences in the same Letters Patents of our said Lord the King, specified: As also, the Accessaries of the same, within the County aforesaid, as well within Liberties as without, by whomsoever and howsoever had, done, perpetrated or committed; and of other Articles and Circumstances concerning the Premises howsoever; and the same Treafons, and other the Premises, to hear and determine according to the Law and Custom of this Kingdom of England; being assigned by the Oaths of Henry Asburst Esq; Edward Gavel, John Radford, John Warral, William Hanmar, Robert Pritchard, John Tredder, Gilbert Ureweyn, David Collivex, Abraham Harrison, Charles Morgan, Philip Trehearn, John Collier, Robert Whiterod, William Webb, Thomas Edwards and Abraham Tillent, honest and lawful Men in the County aforesaid, sworn and charged to enquire for our faid Lord the King, and the Body of the County aforesaid; upon their Oaths it was presented, That Thomas White, late of the Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, in the County of Midlesex, Clerk, otherwise called Thomas Whitebread, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforefaid, Clerk; John Fenwick, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, Clerk; William Harcourt, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, Clerk, otherwise called William Harrison, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, Clerk; John Gaven, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, Clerk; and James Corker, late of the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforefaid, Clerk; as false Traitors against the most Illustrious, most Serene and Excellent Prince, our late Sovereign Lord King Charles II. by the Grace of God, of England, Scotland, France and Ireland, King, Defender of the Faith, &c. their Supreme and Natural Lord; not having the Fear of God in their Hearts, nor weighing the Daty of their Allegiance; but being moved, and seduced by the In-And the Jurors aforesaid, last sworn to enquire stigation of the Devil, the Cordial Love, the true, due, and natural Obedience, which true and faith-

ful Subjects of our said Lord the King, towards him our said Lord the King, should, and of right ought to bear; wholly withdrawing, and contriving, and with all their Might intending the Peace and common Tranquillity of this Kingdom of England to disturb; and the true Worship of God within this Kingdom of England used, and by Law established, to overthrow; and the Government of this Kingdom of England to subvert, and Sedition and Rebellion within this Kingdom of England to move, stir up, and procure; and the cordial Love, and true and due Obedience, which true and faithful Subjects of our Lord the King, towards him the faid Lord the King, should, and of right ought to bear, utterly to withdraw, put out, and extinguish; and our said late Lord the King to Death and final Destruction to bring, and put; the 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year of the Reign of our said late Lord King Charles II. &c. at the Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, and the County of Middlesex aforesaid, with divers other salse Traitors against our faid late Lord the King, to the Jurors aforefaid unknown, falfely, fubtilly, advisedly, malicioully and traitoroully did purpole, compals, imagine, and intend Sedition and Rebellion within this Kingdom of England to move, stir up, and procure, and a miserable Slaughter among the Subjects of our faid Lord the King to procure, and cause; and our faid late Lord the King, from the Regal State, Title, Power and Government of his Kingdom of England, utterly to deprive, depose, cast down, and difinherit; and him our faid late Lord the King to Death, and final Destruction to bring, and put; and the Government of the same Kingdom, and the fincere Religion of God in the same Kingdom, rightly and by the Laws of the faid Kingdom established, at their Will and Pleasure to change and alter; and the State of this whole Kingdom of England, through all its Parts well instituted and ordered, wholly to subvert, and destroy; and War against our late Lord the King, within this Kingdom of England, to levy: And to perfect and compleat the same, their most wicked Treasons and traitorous Imaginations, and Purposes, they the faid Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, Anthony Turner, and James Corker, and other falle Traitors to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, the aforesaid 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, with Force and Arms aforesaid, at the Parish of St. Giles in the Fields aforesaid, in the County of Middlesex aforesaid, falsely, maliciously, fubtilly, advisedly, devilishly and traitorously did assemble, unite and gather themselves together; and then and there falfely, maliciously, subtilly, advifedly, devilishly and traitorously did consult, confent and agree our said late Lord the King, to Death and final Destruction to bring and put, and the Religion within this Kingdom of England, rightly and by the Laws of the same Kingdom established to change and alter, to the Superstition of the Church of Rome, and the Government of this Kingdom of England to subvert; and that one Thomas Pickering, and one John Grove, him our faid late Lord the King should kill and murder: And that they the faid Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harrourt otherwise Harrison. John Gaven, Anthony Turner, James Corker, and other salse Traitors against our said late Lord the King, to the Jurors unknown, a certain number of Masses, between them, then and there agreed upon,

Pickering, therefore should say, celebrate and perform; and therefore should pay upon the said John Grove, a certain fum of Money, between them then and there agreed upon. And that the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, John Gaven, Anthony Turner, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, and other false Traitors against our faid late Lord the King, to the Jurors unknown, in the further Prosecution of the Treasons and Traitorous Consultations and Agreements aforesaid, afterwards (to wit) the said 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year asoresaid, at the aforesaid Parish of St. Giles in the Fields, in the County of Middlesex aforesaid, falsely, subtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly, and traitorously, did severally give their Faith each to other; and upon the Sacrament, then and there traitorously did swear and promise to conceal, and not to divulge their said most wicked Treasons and traitorous Compassings, Consultations and Purposes aforesaid, so between them had, him the faid late Lord the King traitorously to kill and murder, and to introduce the Romish Religion, to be used within this Kingdom of England; and the Religion within this Kingdom of England, rightly and by the Laws of this Kingdom established, to alter and change; and that the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Hercourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, Anthony Turner, James Corker, and other false Traitors to the Jurors aforesaid unknown, in further Prosecution of the Treasons and traitorous Intentions and Agreements aforesaid, afterwards (to wit) the said 24th Day of April, in the 30th Year aforesaid, at the Parish aforesaid, in the County aforesaid, salsely, subtilly, advisedly, maliciously, devilishly and traitorously, did prepare, persuade, excite, abet, comfort and counsel four other Persons to the Jurors unknown, and Subjects of our said late Lord the King, him our faid late Lord the King traitorously to kill and murder, against the Duty of their Allegiance, and against the Peace of our said late Lord the King, his Crown and Dignity; and against the Form of the Statute in that Case made and provided. Whereupon, it was commanded the Sheriff of the County aforesaid, that he should not omit, &c. but should take them to answer, &c.

Which Indictment the said Justices of our Lord the King, afterwards (to wit) at the Goal-delivery of our said Lord the King, of Newgate, holden by Adjournment for the County of Middlesex, at Justice-Hall in the Old-Bailey, in the Suburbs of the City of London, on Friday (to wit) the 13th Day of June, in the 31st Year aforesaid, before Sir James Edwards Knight, Mayor of the City of London; Sir William Scroggs Knight, Lord Chief Justice of his Majesty's Court of Kings-Bench; Sir Francis North Knight, Lord Chief Justice of his Majesty's Court of Common-Pleas; Sir Thomas Allen Knight and Baronet, one of the Aldermen of the said City of London; Sir George Jefferies Knight, Recorder of the said City of London; and other their Companions, Justices of our said Lord the King, assigned to deliver his Goal of Newgate of the Prifoners in the same being, by their own proper Hands did deliver there, in the Court of Record, in form of Law to be determined: And thereupon, at the faid Goal-delivery of our Lord the King, of Vewgate, holden by the Adjournment aforefaid, for the County aforesaid, at Justice-Hall aforesaid, the said Friday the 13th of June, in the 31st Year aforefaid, before, the aforesaid Justices of our said late for the Health of the Soul of him the said Thomas Lord the King last named, came the said Thomas

White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner, under the Custody of Sir Richard How, Knight, and Sir John Chapman Knight, Sheriff of the County aforesaid; into whose Custody, for the Cause aforesaid, they were before that time committed; being brought to the Bar there, in their proper Persons; who were committed to the aforelaid Sheriff, &c. And immediately being feverally demanded concerning the Premises aforefaid, in the Indictment aforefaid specified, charg'd upon them as above, how they would thereof acquit themselves; the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Authony Turner, did feverally fay, that they were not thereof Guilty: And thereupon, for good and bad, did severally put themselves upon the Country. And thereupon, upon the Indictment aforesaid last recited, at the Session of our Lord the King, at the Justice-Hall in the Old-Bailey, it was so far proceeded, that the Issue aforesaid between our said late Lord the King, and the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt, otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner, afterwards (to wit) the aforesaid Friday the 13th Day of Junz, in the 31st Year aforesaid, at Justice-Hall aforesaid, by a certain Jury of the Country in that behalf duly impannell'd, fworn and charged, was tried; and that upon the Trial of the Issue aforesaid last mentioned, between our faid late Lord the King, and the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt, otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner, at London aforesaid, (to wit) at the Justice-Hall in the Old-Bailey aforesaid, in the Parish of St. Sepulchre in the Ward of Faringdon without London, aforesaid the said Defendant, Titus Oates, was also a Witness produced on behalf of our faid late Lord the King, upon the Trial asoresaid, upon the Indictment last afore-" said: And he, the aforesaid Titus Oates, then and there at the Session last aforesaid, at the Justice-Hall aforesaid, in the Court of the same Session (to wit) at the Parish and Ward aforesaid, was duly sworn upon the Holy Gospels of God, to speak and testify the Truth, the whole Truth, and nothing but the Truth of, and in the Premises, in the Issue aforesaid last mentioned, so as aforesaid joined between our said late Lord the King, and the said Thomas White otherwise Whitebread John Fenwick, William Harcourt, otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner. And that the faid Titus Oates then and there, at the Session aforesaid last mentioned, in the Justice-Hall aforesaid, in the Court of the same Session, upon his Oath aforesaid, upon the Indictment last aforesaid, by his own proper Act and Consent, of his most wicked Mind, falsely, voluntarily, and corruptly did say, depose, swear, and give in Evidence to the Jurors of the Jury aforesaid last mentioned, so as aforesaid sworn and impannell'd to try the Issue asoresaid between our said late Lord the King, and the faid Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner; That William Ireland (one William Ireland, then before convicted and executed for High-Treason against our late Lord the King, meaning) took his leave of him the said Titus Oates and others, at the Chamber of the said William Ireland, then being in Russel-street (a certain Street called Russel-street, lying within the City of Westminster, in the County

Day of August, in the Year of our Lord 1678. Whereas, in truth and in deed, the said William Ireland did not take his Leave of the said Titus Oates, or any other Persons whatsoever, at the Chamber of the faid William Ireland, then being in Ruffel-street-aforesaid, between the said 8th and 12th Days of August, in the Year of our Lord 1678. And so the said Titus Oates, upon the said Friday the 13th Day of June, in the 31st Year aforesaid, at the Session last aforesaid, at the Justice-Hall asoresaid, in the Court of the same Session, upon the Indictment last aforesaid, so put in Issue, and tried as aforesaid, between our said late Lord the King, and the faid Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner, by his own proper Act and Consent, and of his most wicked Mind, falfely, voluntarily, and corruptly, in manner and form aforefaid, did commit voluntary and corrupt Perjury, to the great Displeasure of Almighty God, in manifest contempt of the Laws of this Kingdom of England, to the evil and pernicious Example of all others in the like Case offending, and against the Peace of our Sovereign Lord the King, his Crown and Dignity. Upon this Indictment he has been arraigned; and thereunto hath pleaded, Not Guilty: And for his Trial, hath put himself upon the Country, and his Majesty's Attorney-General likewise; which Country you are, Your Charge is, to enquire, whether the Desendant, Titus Oates, be Guilty of the Perjury he stands indicted, or Not Guilty. If you find him Guilty, you are to fay so: If you find him Not Guilty, you are to fay fo, and no more: And hear your Evidence.

L. C. J. Look ye, Mr. Attorney, my Lord Chief Justice Jones has sent to know, whether you have any thing to do with him to-day, here?

Sir George Jesseries.

Mr. At. Gen. Not at all, that I Sir Robers know of.

L. C. J. Mr. Oates, do you intend to make use of my Lord Chief Justice Jones, or any of the Judges of the Common-Pleas, as Witnesses?

Oales. Yesterday, my Lord, I did call for them, to have made use of them, as Witnesses?

L. C.  $\mathcal{I}$ . But have you any thing to fay to them to-day?

Oates. I cannot tell, as yet.

L. C. J. Then my Lord Chief Justice Jones must be told, that he does not know, whether he shall, or not. Go on, Sir Samuel Astry.

Then Proclamation was made for Information, and Evidence was made in ufual manner.

give in Evidence to the Jurors of the Jury aforefaid last mentioned, so as aforesaid seven our faid late Lord the King, and the said Thomas White otherwise Whitehread, John Ferwick, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner; That William Ireland (one William Ireland, then before convicted and executed for High-Treason against our late Lord the King, meaning) took his leave of him the said Titus Oates and others, at the Chamber of the said William Ireland, then being in Russie (a certain Street called Russiel-street, lying within the City of Westminster, in the County of Middlesex, meaning) between the 8th and 12th

upon the first or second Day of September, in the Year 1678. Whereas, in truth, the said William Ireland was not in Town, nor within the Cities of London and Westminster, or the Places adjacent, upon the first or second Day of September, in the Year 1678. And so the said Titus Oates hath committed wilful and corrupt Perjury. And the Indictment further sets forth, that upon the 13th Day of June, in the 31st Year of the late King, Thomas White otherwise Whitebread, John Fenwick, William Harcourt otherwise Harrison, John Gaven, and Anthony Turner, were indicted and tried at the Old-Bailey for Treason, and at that Trial, the said Titus Oates was a Witness produced on the part of the King: And being sworn to testify the Truth, the whole Truth, and nothing but the Truth, by his own Act and Consent, of his own most wicked Mind, falsely, voluntarily and corruptly did depose, and swear, and give in Evidence to the Jury, that William Ireland (one William Ireland, before that time convicted and executed for High-Treason, meaning) did take his leave of him the said Titus Oates, at his the said William Ireland's Chamber, then being in Russel-street, between the 8th and 12th Day of August, in the Year of our Lord 1678. Whereas, in truth, the faid William Ireland did not take his leave of him the said Titus Oales, or of any other Persons whatsoever, at his the said William Ireland's Chamber, then being in Ruffel-street aforefaid, between the faid 8th and 12th Day of August, in the Year of our Lord 1678. And so the said Titus Oates did then and there commit wilful and corrupt Perjury. And this is laid to be to the great Displeasure of Almighty God, in contempt of the Laws of this Land, to the evil and pernicious Example of all others in the like Case offending, and against the King's Peace, Crown and Dignity. To this he has pleaded, Not Guilty. If we prove it, we do not question, but you will find him Guilty.

Mr. At. Gen. May it please your Lordship, and you Gentlemen of the Jury; Mr. Oates stands indicted for having perjured himself: The Instances Gentlemen, that we charge him with, are these: First, what he swore at the Trial of Ireland; and we fay, that at that Trial he did fwear Ireland was in Town the 1st or 2d of September, 1678. The second Instance is, what he swore at the Trial of the five Jesuits; and there we say, he did swear, that Ireland was in Town between the 8th and 12th of August, and that he took his leave of him here in Town at his Chamber in Ruffel-street; and we do charge him by this Indictment, that he has forfworn himself in both Instances: And that Ireland, Gentlemen, was neither in Town between the 8th and 12th of August, nor the 1st or 2d of September. And we shall make it out very evidently: For, Gentlemen, as to the Proof in this Case, our Case stands thus: we say, that the 3d of August, 1678, Ireland went into Hertfordshire, to a House of my Lord Afton's, and from thence went into Stafford-Shire. I will not stand to open the Particulars, where he was every Day; but we shall give you an Account, in a Method very easy to be remembred and observed, where he was till the 14th of September. But one remarkable Instance, Gentlemen, I desire to take particular notice of; and that is the Time of Pancras-Fair, which is upon the 2d of September always, a known Day, and a known Place in that Country. Now we have among the rest, many Witnesses to prove, that Mr. Ireland was there that Day, and not in Town. And when

we have proved this, as we shall by a whole Cloud of Witnesses, I believe, you Gentlemen of this Jury, will no more doubt, that Mr. Oates is for-sworn in these Particulars, than the Jury yesterday did in that Particular; nor than, I believe, the whole Kingdom does by this time.

Mr. Sol. Gen. My Lord, we shall go to our Evidence; and first, we produce the Records of the two Trials of Ireland, and the five Jesuits. Swear Mr. Swift. [Which was done. Pray, Sir, put in the Records. Are those true Copies?

the Records. Are those true Copies?

Mr. Swift. My Lord, I examined these Records with the Originals, and they are true Copies.

Mr. At. Gen. Mr. Oates, will you have them read? Or to fave the time of the Court, will you agree them?

Oates. My Lord, I consent to save the time of the Court; if they shall be made use of for Evidence for me.

L. C. J. No doubt, they are Evidence for you as well as against you, when they are produced here.

Mr. Sol. Gen. If Mr. Oates does admit the Records, then shall we go on, and prove what he did swear at those Trials; and for the first part of the time, which is between the 8th and 12th of August, we desire that Mr. Thomas Harriot, and Mr. Rainsford Waterhouse may be sworn. [Which was done.

Mr. At. Gen. Mr. Harriot, pray do you remember at the Trial of the five Jesuits, was Mr. Oates produced and sworn as a Witness?

Mr. Harriot. Yes, he was, my Lord.

Mr. At. Gen. Do you remember what Testimony he gave about Ireland's being in Town, and when it was, he said, he was in Town?

Mr. Harriot. My Lord, he did positively swear, that Mr. Ireland, the late Jesuit, did take his leave of him the said Oates and others, at the said Ireland's Chamber in Russel-street, betwixt the 8th and 12th of August, 1678.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Were you of the Jury that tried

the five Jesuits, Mr. Harriot.

Mr. Harriot. Yes, I was Foreman of that Jury. Mr. Sol. Gen. What fay you, Mr Waterbouse? Were you present at that Trial?

Mr. Waterhouse. Yes, I was of the Jury too.

Mr. Sol. Gen, What did Oates swear at that Trial? Mr. Waterbouse. He said, that Mr. Ireland took his leave of him the 12th of August. My Lord Chief Justice Scroggs then asked him, are you sure it was the 12th? And then he said, he would not be positive it was the 12th, but between the 8th and 12th it was, I am positive upon my Oath.

Mr. At. Gen. It is so in the Print too; but we will now go on.

L. C.  $\mathcal{J}$ . But will the Defendant ask these Witnesses any Questions.

Oates. My Lord, if your Lordship please, I will ask Mr. Harriot a Question.

L. C. J. Ay, do ask him what you will.

Oates. Mr. Harriot, did I swear, that he took his leave of me, or I took my leave of him?

Mr. Harriot. You swore, that Ireland took his leave of you and others, between the 8th and 12th of August, 1678; between those two Days, and in that Year.

Mr. Just Withins. It is a nice Question, that of your's, Mr. Oates, upon my Word.

Oates. My Lord, I know what reason I have to ask that Question; I am sure in Perjury, the Court ought to keep up the Witnesses strictly to what is jaid in the Indictment.

L. C. J. Well, you have his Answer according to the Indictment.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, be pleased to ask Mr. Harriot this Question, whether he took Notes of the Trial?

Mr. Harriot. Yes, I did so, Sir.

Oates. My Lord I desire to know of Mr. Harriot

whether he has those Notes by him?

Mr. Harriot. No, Sir, I have them not here; but I have had them by me this four or five Years, or more. It was my manner in all the Trials, wherein I was concerned as a Jury-Man, to read the Trials strictly over, when they were printed, especially where I happened to have any Notes, that I took at the Trial; and to compare the printed Trial with my Notes: and where I found any thing doubtful, I used to put a Query upon it. And this and many other things, I found to be in the printed Trial strictly according to my Notes.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know, what Trial

he was Foreman at?

Mr. Harriot. That of the five Jesuits, in June; the 13th of June, 1679.

L. C. J. Have you any thing to ask Mr. Waterbouse?

Oates. Yes, my Lord. Pray, Sir, let me ask you a Question.

Mr. Waterhouse. Ay, if you please, Sir.

Oates. Did you take Notes of that Trial you fpeak of, Sir?

Mr. Waterhouse. No, I did not.

Oates. How come you then to remember this, that I was so positive as to the time betwixt the 8th and 12th of August?

Mr. Waterhouse. Because I was one of the Jury; and because my Lord Chief Justice made a stop, when you said, 'twas the 12th of August, and bad you consider: And you consider'd, and consider'd it; and did affirm positively, that it was between the 8th and 12th of August.

L. C. J. He gives you a plain Reason for his Remembrance.

Oates. My Lord, I have done with him.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Then we defire Mr. Foster may be . sworn. [Which was done.] Mr. Foster, Pray will Mr. Ireland's Trial, about Ireland's being in twenty Shillings. Town?

Mr. Foster. I was one of the Jury at the Trial of Mr. Ireland, Mr. Pickering, and Mr. Grove; and I did see Mr. Oates sworn, as a Witness for the King, at that Trial; and so was Mr. Bedloe: And Bedloe there gave Evidence, that there was a Meeting at Hercourt's Chamber; and Ireland, Grove, and Pickering were there: And that this was the latter End of August. Mr. Ireland did make his Defence, as much as he could, to prove, that he was not in Town from the Beginning of August, to the Middle of September; and brought divers Witnesses. But upon his denying to be here the latter End of August, Mr. Oates did come and swear: I am certain (says he) that the first or second of September, he was in Town; for then I had of him Twenty Shillings.

Oates. Was I positive, that he was here in Town the 1st or 2d of September?

Mr. Foster. You were positive, Sir, to the 1st or 2d; I have it in my Notes in Writing.

L.C. J. He tells you, it is in his Notes: And therefore he's sure you said so.

Mr. At. Gen. In the printed Copy, if it be right, there is mention made of the Word Positive.

L. C. J. I think, that is in August, between the 8th or 12th he was politive.

Oates. Did I say these Words, I was positive? Mr. Waterhouse. I say you affirmed that he was in Town the 1st or 2d of September.

L. C. J. It is not necessary, that you should use the Word Positive: The Question is, whether it was politively affirmed?

Mr. Just. Withins. Was not that true that you

faid? Did not you affirm a politive Truth?

Oates. My Lord, I'll tell you the Reason why I ask the Question, because I have forgot my self, whether I us'd the Word or no; and therefore I ask for my own Information now.

L. C. J. Well, ask for what Reason you will, you have receiv'd an Answer to your Question; and upon my Word he gives a notable Evidence: Says he, Bedloe had sworn a treasonable Practice by Ireland, in the latter end of August. Then Ireland comes, and make his Defence: Says he, That cannot be; for I was out of Town at that time: I was not in London all August, nor the beginning of September. Then come you in, to support the Testimony of Bedloe; and swear, that he was in Town the 1st or 2d of September; for then he gave you twenty Shillings. And so you come to rivet the Matter that was fworn before by Bedloe.

Oates. My Lord, what I fwore was Truth.

L. C. J. That is now to be tried.

Mr. At. Gen. We have another of the Jury here, Mr. John Byfield: Pray, swear him.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Well, Sir, what did you hear Oates fivear at that Trial?

Mr. Byfield, I heard the same that they have tellified before.

 $L. C. \mathcal{I}$ . But you must tell us what that was.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Were you a Jury-man at that Trial?

Mr. Byfield. Yes, I had a Summons to the Old-Bailey----

L. C. J. But what did Oates swear? That's the Question.

Mr. Byfield. Mr. Oates did positively assert, that Ireland was here in Town the 1st or 2d of September; you tell what you remember Mr. Oates swore at and to consirm it, he said, he receiv'd of him

> Mr. At. Gen. Now, my Lord, we shall go to our Evidence to prove, that all this is absolutely false: For Ireland went out of Town into Staffordshire, and did not return till after the 9th of September. And for this, we call Anne Ireland.

> > Who was sworn.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Mrs. Ireland, pray where did you take your leave of your Brother Mr. Ireland, who was executed, in Summer 1678, and when?

Mrs. A. Ireland. I took my leave of him the beginning of August.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What Day in August, do you remember?

Mrs. A. Ireland. The 3d of August.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Where was it?

Mrs. A. Ireland. In my own Ledging.

L. C. J. Where was your Lodging?

Mrs. A. Ireland. In Ruffel-street, Covent-Garden. L. C. J. Now tell us again the time when it was?

Mrs. A. Ireland. It was on Saturday Morning, as I remember, the 3d of August, the Saturday after St. Ignatius's Day.

L.C.J. How come you to remember so particularly, that it was then?

L. C. J. Which Brother? What was his Name?

Mrs. A. Ireland. William Ireland.

L. C. J. Did they stay there?

Mrs. A. Ireland. No, my Lord, my Brother came home on foot, but we staid all Night.

Mr. At. Gen. Here is an Almanack of that Year:

And the 3d of August was on a Saturday.

Mrs. A. Ireland. He said he could not stay, because he was to go into the Country upon Saturday. I ask'd him, Why he would set out on Saturday? And says he, I'll go to Standen, there I shall meet with my Lord Aston, and his Family; and have an Opportunity to go with him into Staffordshire.

L. C. J. She says, he went out of Town on Saturday after St. Ignatius's Day; which was Saturday the 3d of August. But there being a Discourse between her and her Brother, why he should make choice of a Saturday; which she thought, it seems, was an inconvenient Day to take a Journey on: And upon that, her Brother made answer again, That that Night he would only go to Standen, to my Lord Aston's House, where he should meet with Company to go along with him into Stafford-shire.

Mr. At. Gen. What Day of the Week was St.

Ignatius's Day?

Mrs. A. Ireland. St. Ignatius's Day was on Wed-nesday.

L.C. J. What Day of the Month is St. Ignatius's

Day?

Mrs. A. Ireland. It is either the last Day of July, or the 1st of August.

L. C. J. Look on your Almanack, if you have any one of that Year, Mr. Attorney.

Mr. At. Gen. We have no such Saint in our Almanack.

Mrs. A. Ireland. It was, as near as I can remember, the 3d of August, that he went out of Town.

Mr. Sol. Gen. The 3d of August, at that time, was on a Saturday.

Lord *Petre*. St. *Ignatius*'s Day is always the last time, but was not examin'd. Day of July, my Lord.

Oates. What Year is it you

Mr. At. Gen. In this Almanack, another Saint has justled him out; and that is Bishop German.

L. C. J, And in my Almanack, a third has just-led them both out: but my Lord Petre says, it is always the last of July; and that was on a Wednesday that Year.

Mrs. A. Ireland. I remember it was on a Wednes-

day.

L. C. J. And you are fure he went out of Town the Saturday after?

Mrs. A. Ireland. Yes, I am sure he went out of Town then; for I asked him, why he would go on a Saturday? And he told me, he would go but to Standen that Night.

Mr. Sol. Gen. And that does hold, according to the Computation, to be the 3d of August.

Oates. My Lord, she is not positive in this, that he went out of Town the 3d of August.

Mr. At. Gen. Yes, but she is; for she says, that she was the Wednesday before (which was St. Ignatius's Day) with him a little way out of Town.

L. C. J. And that it was Saturday after he went out of Town; and she gives the Reason, that she entered into a Discourse with him. why he would go Vol. IV.

on Saturday? And he made that Answer which you hear.

Mr. Just. Withins. Mr. Oates knows what Day St. Ignatius's Day is upon, I presume.

Oates. It is the last Day of July, I think.

Mr. Sol. Gen. In our Protestant Almanacks, it seems, we give another Bishop place.

Mr. At. Gen. Mrs. Ireland, when did you see him

again?

Mrs. A. Ireland. Just a Fortnight before Michaelmas, and not before.

L. C. J. You were his near Relation, I suppose? Mrs. A. Ireland. Yes, my Lord, I was his Sister.

L. C. J. Pray, when he came to Town again, where did he lodge?

Mrs. A. Ireland. He used to lodge at the same place where we did always; and in the mean time, while he was absent, my Mother lent one Mrs. Eagleston his Lodging.

L. C. J. Is that Person, you lent his Chamber to

in his Absence, here?

Mrs. A. Ireland. No, My Lord.

L. C. J. When did she enter upon his Lodging? Mrs. A. Ireland. Truly, my Lord, I cannot tell; her Maid sell sick, and so she came down a pair of Stairs lower into his Lodging. It was in a short time after he went out of Town, my Lord, as I remember.

L. C. J. When did he come to Town again, do you say?

Mrs. A. Ireland. He came to Town again a Fortnight before Michaelmas; it was that Day Fortnight before Michaelmas; that Michaelmas-day fell on-----

L. C. J. Have you any Questions to ask her Mr. Oates?

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know, why she did not give this Evidence before? Or whether ever she did give this Evidence at any of the Trials?

Mrs. A. Ireland. Yes, I was at my Brother's Tri-

al; and there I gave the same Evidence.

Oates. Were you there at the Trial of the five Jefuits? And did you give the same Evidence then? Mrs. A. Ireland. No, I was not call'd.

L. C. J. But were you there?

Mrs. A. Ireland. I was in the Court at the same time, but was not examin'd.

Oates. What Year is it you speak of, that he went out of Town the 3d of August?

Mrs. A. Ireland. The Year 78.

Oates. I desire, my Lord, to ask this Gentlewoman, what Religion she is of?

Mrs. A. Ireland. I am a Roman Catholick, my Lord.

Oates. I desire to know, whether her Name be Ireland or Ironnonger?

Mrs. A. Ireland. My right Name is Ironmonger; but because of his Profession, he went by the Name of Ireland; and for his sake we go by that Name too.

Oates. By what Name did you give Evidence at Ireland's Trial?

Mrs. A. Ireland. By that Name of Ireland.

L. C. J. Why, Mr. Oates, that is a good Name enough to be call'd by; you may remember, you were called Titus Ambrosius, and Sampson Lucy, at St. Omers.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mrs. Eleanor Ireland.

[Which was done.

Mr. At. Gen. When did your Son go out of Town?

Mrs. El. Ireland. The 3d of August.

Mr,

134. The Trial of Titus Oates, D. D. Paschæ 1 Jac. II.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Are you fure it was the 3d of August ?

Mrs. El. Ireland. Yes, I am sure it was.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What Year was it?

Mrs. El. Ireland. My Memory is not good enough for that; I cannot tell what Year, my Daughter can.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Was it the same Year he was tried

afterwards?

74

Mrs. El. Ireland. Yes, it was the same Summer; at Michaelmas after he was taken up.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What time did he return again out of the Country?

Mrs. El. Ireland, The 14th of September after.

Oates. My Lord, I would ask her, Whether or no she gave this Evidence at her Son's Trial?

Mrs. El. Ireland. Yes, I was a Witness there; but they would not permit me to speak half fo much; they would hardly let me speak at all.

Oates. I defire to know, whether she was an Evidence at the five Jesuits Trials?

Mrs. El. Ireland. No, I was not there then.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray fwear Mrs. Duddle, and Mrs. Which was done. Quino.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Come, Mrs. Duddle, do you remember when Mr. Ireland went out of Town, in the Year 78?

Mrs. Duddle. To the best of my Remembrance, it was the 3d of August.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Why do you think it was the 3d of August?

Mrs. Duddle. He went for a Recreation out of Town three Days before, which was upon an Holiday, St. Ignatius's Day; and he went out of Town one Night then, and he came and staid but two Nights after; and went out of Town upon the Saturday.

L. C. J. Did he stay out of Town one Night? Mrs. Duddle. Yes, he staid out of Town all

Night.

L. C. J. Are you fure he staid all Night?

Mrs. Duddle. I am fure he staid but one Night.

L. C. J. But what say you to that, Mr. Attorney? this Witness contradicts the other.

Mr. Just. Withins. Ay, plainly.

Mrs. Duddle, Mrs. Ireland, and Mrs. Anne Ireland, and he went out upon a Recreation out of Town, it being Holiday; and I remember well, that was of a Wednesday: and that Saturday he went away, and never came again till a Fortnight before Michaelmas.

L. C. J. But mind my Question, Woman.

Mrs. Duddle. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. Did he come home that Night he went on the Recreation?

Mrs. Duddle. I do not know.

L. C. J. But just now, you swore he staid out all Night.

Mrs. Duddle. No, my Lord.

L.C.J. Yes, but you did though; prithee mind what thou art about.

Mrs. Duddle. I do not say he, but I am sure his Sister and the Company staid out that Night, I remember very well, he went the third Day after, which was Saturday. And Mr. Jennison came to ask for him three Weeks after: and there was a Person of Quality with him in the Coach; I think it was Sir Miles Wharton. And he asking for him, they gave him an account, that they had not heard from him fince he went; which was then three Weeks Mrs. Quino. He went out of the House, and as after he was gone. And I remember well, he did I take it, went out of Town?

not come to Town again till a Fortnight before Michaelmas.

L. C. J. How can you tell that?

Mrs. Duddle. My Lord, I can tell it very well: For I was almost every Night in the Room where he used to lie; and there lay a Gentlewoman there, that I knew.

L. C. J. What was her Name?

Mrs. Duddle. Mrs. Eagleston.

L. C. 7 How come the to lie there?

Mrs. Duddle. Her Maid fell fick, and she chang'd her own Chamber, and lay there all the time he was out of Town.

Oates. My Lord, is this good Evidence?

 $L. C. \mathcal{I}. Ay$ , why not?

Oates. My Lord, I think the contradicts the other Witness: For she says he lay out two Nights.

L. C. J. No, there you are mistaken too. But I tell you what I did observe besore. Mrs. Anne Ireland fwore, that they did stay all night; but Mr. Ireland refused to stay there, but would go home, because he was to go his Journey on Saturday. Then this Woman comes? and she said at first, that he went out of Town on the Wednesday, and staid out all Night, and lay at home but two Nights, and then went away. But now when I put her in mind to take care what she said, she swears, she is sure the Sister lay out, but she is not sure of Ireland's lying out. But the is positive he went away on Saturday the 3d of August, and return'd not till a Fortnight before Michaelmes.

Oates. My Lord, I humbly conceive, she having

once fworn false———

L. C. J. Ay, but the immediately recollected her felf.

Oates. By what Token does she remember it to

be the 3d of August?

L. C. J. She said before, it was the Saturday after St. Ignatius's Day, which was on a Wednesday, the last Day of  $\mathcal{J}uly$ ; and he went then out of Town. She call'd it by the Name of Recreation.

Oates. Was it the Saturday after St. Ignatius's

Day?

Mrs. Duddle. Yes, it was: And I had not remember'd it, but that it was upon that Holiday.

Oates. This is a Roman Catholick, I suppose, my Lord.

L. C. J. I cannot tell. What Religion are you of? Mrs. Duddle. I am a Roman Catholick, my Lord. Mr. Jennison knows what I say to be true.

Oates. Were you a Witness in any of the Trials at the Old-Bailey?

Mrs. Duddle. I was in the Court, but was not call'd.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What fay you, Mrs. Quino? When did Mr. Ireland go out of Town?

Mrs. Quino. I must say the same; it was the 3d Day of August, on a Saturday.

L. C. J. How do you know that it was on a Saturday the 3d of August?

Mrs. Quino. By the same Reason that she speaks. I mark'd that other Day he went out of Town; and he came again, and his Mother staid there that Night: And he went on Saturday Morning out of Town. I know it very well; for my Husband was his Taylor, and he had somewhat to alter in his Clothes; and I brought it immediately after it was done.

L. C. J. Are you fure he went out of Town that Day?

L. C. J. Did he say he was to go out of Town? Mrs. Quino. He had his Boots on; and took Horse at the Bull-Inn in Drury-Lane.

L. C. J. How do you know it?

Mrs. Quino. Because his Servant that was there has testified it.

Mr. At. Gen. Now swear my Lord Aston. [Which was done.] We'll bring Ireland now upon the 3d of August at Night, to my Lord Aston's House at Standen.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray will your Lordship give my Lord and the Jury an account, when Mr. Ireland came to your House, and how far he travelled

with you afterward?

Lord Aften. My Lord, being in Town, I was spoke to, and desired, that Mr. Ireland might have the Opportunity of going in my Company down into Staffordshire; which I consented to. I went out of Town, as I remember, the latter end of July 1678, and this same Mr. Ireland came to me at my House in Hertfordshire at Standen, upon the 3d of August at Night.

L. C. 7. What Day of the Week was that, my

Lord?

Lord Afton. As I remember, it was Saturday, and in the Evening.

L. C. J. How long did he stay with your Lord-

fhip?

Lord Afton. My Lord, I staid till Monday at Standen; and upon Monday he went in my Company to St. Albans, which was the 5th of August.

Mr. At. Gen. Whither then did you go, my

Lord?

Lord Afton. There I met with my Brother and Sifter Southcoat.

L. C. J. Sir John Southcoat you mean, my Lord. Lord Afton. Yes, my Lord. And thence, in four Days we went to my House at Tixhall.

L. C. J. Did Mr. Ireland travel with you all the

way?

Lord Afton. I cannot charge my Memory, my Lord, that he did, so as particularly to swear it: But there he came into my Company fometimes\_at Tixball; but I cannot tell the particular Days: Nor could I speak positively to those Things that I have spoke to now, but that I find in my Note-Book, that at that time he did come to my House at Standen, and did go with me to St. Albans.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray, my Lord, did he go that

Journey to Tixhall along with you?

Lord Aston. I cannot say positively that, Mr. Attorney; but I have a general Notion that he did. Nor could I testify this so positively, I say, but by Notes that I have of things at that time: Where I have only writ down these things concerning Mr. Ireland, and that he came the 3d of August to my House at Standen: That on Monday we went together to St. Albans; and there met us Sir John Southcoat, and my Sister his Wife; and thence I went to Tixball; and there I arriv'd the 8th of August, which was Thursday.

L. C. J. My Lord, I ask you this Question; you say, you have a general Apprehension, that he did go with you to Tixball: Pray, did he come with you to Standen for that purpose, to go with

you to Tixball?

Lord Aston. I had no business with him at all; but he defired the opportunity to go down in my Company into Staffordsbire.

L. C. J. Pray, my Lord, do you remember you saw him within sour or sive Days after at Tix-

hall ?

Lord Aston. To name particular Days, I cannot; but that I saw him several Days at Tixball, I am fure.

Oates. My Lord, you say that Sir John Southcoat went with you to Tixball.

Lord Afton. Yes, he did fo.

Oates. My Lord, I would ask this Nobleman, whether he was at the Trial of the five Jesuits? or the Trial of Ireland?

Lord Afton. No, my Lord, I was not.

Oates. Then I would ask my Lord, if he saw Mr. *Ireland* executed?

Lord Aston. No, I did not.

Oates. Then I would ask him this Question; whether the Ireland that was executed be the same *Ireland* he speaks of ?

Lord Afton. Amongst those that knew him well, I have been told it was the fame.

Oates. That is but Hear-say, my Lord; he does not speak of his own Knowledge.

L. C. J. For that matter, I suppose, you'll produce some other Ireland, Mr. Oates, if it was not the fame,

Mr. At. Gen. Was it this Mrs. Ireland's Brother, that was here a Witness now?

Lord Afton. He was so reputed, and so looked

upon.

L. C. J. That is all one. If any body should ask me, if you were the same Mr. Oates that was at St. Omers; I should say, I heard so; and it would be very good Evidence, unless some one else were produc'd.

Oates. My Lord, I submit; I will be directed by the Court in any thing that is fair, and not injurious

to my Defence.

L. C. J. We will not direct you in any thing that's foul, but pray keep to those Questions that are pertinent.

Oates. Are you fure Ireland went the whole Jour-

ney with you, my Lord?

Lord Afton. I did answer that; I have but a general Notion of it, he came to me for that purpose.

Oates. Then my Lord is not positive he went

with him into Staffordshire.

L. C. J. No, he is not; but I would ask you this Question. My Lord Aston, do you believe he went with you Tixhall?

Lord Afton. I make no doubt of it, I would pawn all I have in the World upon it; only I cannot swear it, because I have it not in my Notes, as I have those two other Days.

Mr. Just. Withins. Do you like him the worse,

because he is cautious, Mr. Oates?

Oates. No, Sir, I do not. But pray, my Lord, ask him, because it is a Question here about a point of Time; whether he remembers, that within eight or ten Days, he saw Ireland at Tixhall ?

Lord Aston. I cannot say any thing as to that. Mr. At. Gen. Swear Sir Edw. Southcoat.

Which was done.

L C.J. I thought you had called him Sir John Southcoat.

Mr. At. Gen. Sir John is fick, and cannot be here.

Oates. I suppose my Lord Aston is a Roman Catholick?

L. C. J. Ay, that all the World knows very well: but I tell you what, Mr. Oates, I observe, he is not fo easy in giving his Oath; nay, he is wonderful cautious in swearing: I speak it for his Commendation, not as his Fault, he is not very forward at fwearing.

Mr. Just. Withins. Well, what do you ask this

Gentleman, Mr. Attorney?

Mr. At. Gen. We desire Sir Edward Southcoat would give an account, whether he met Mr. Ireland at my Lord Afton's? And when?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. I was with my Lord Aston in

his Company.

L. C. J. When was that, Sir?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. The 4th of August I saw Mr. Ireland at my Lord Aston's.

L. C. J. Was it the same Ireland, that was afterwards tried and executed?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. It was the same Ireland that was commonly reported; I did not see him executed.

Mr. At. Gen. Was it the same that was reputed to be this old Gentlewoman's Son?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, it was.

L. C. J. Pray, Sir, go on with your Evidence. Sir Edw. Soutbooat. Upon Monday we began our Journey to Tixhall, and went that Night to St. Albans, where we met my Father and Mother, and thence we continued on our Journey the next Day.

L. C. J. Was he with you there that Day you

went to St. Albans?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. He was with us, I remember very particularly. It was hot Weather, and my Lord Aston invited him into the Coach; for before he was riding by the Coach-side, and there I remember a particular Discourse that he and my Lord Aften had; from thence we went on to Northampton, and came there Tuesday Night.

L. C. J. Was Ireland with you there?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Ireland was with us there.

Oates. My Lord, I did not well observe what this Gentleman faid.

L. C. J. Pray mind your Business your self, he

speaks plain enough; go on, Sir.

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Mr. Ireland was with us, I fay my Lord, all the Journey; and I do remember it particularly by a pretty Horse Mr. Ireland rode upon; and I had a Dispute with my Cousin, who should buy him: I took particular notice every day of him, as he rode by the Coach, and conversed with him every Night in the Inn. We went, I fay, from St. Albans to Northampton on the Tuesday; from Northampton we went to Coventry on the Wednesday, and from thence to my Lord Aston's, at Tixhall, on the Thursday.

L. C. J. Come, let us go by degrees. Was Mr. Ireland with you, when you went from my Lord

Aston's at Standen to St. Albans?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, he was.

L. C. J. You say it was a hot Day, when you set out; and my Lord Aston did invite him into the Coach.

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, my Lord, he came into the Coach on Monday, which was the first gust? Day we set out: and came that Night to St. Albans.

L. C. J. You lay there that Night?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. Where did you lie there?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. At the great Inn, at the Bull.

L. C. J. Ay, that is the great Inn.

Sir Edw. Southcoat. The next Night, my Lord, we came to Northampton.

L. C. J. Where did you lie there?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. We lay at the Sign of the George; it was Sir William Farmer's House, but made use of for an Inn, because the Town was great Inn there. burnt down.

L. C. J. It is so.

L.C.J. Was Mr. Ireland with you all that day? Sir Edw. Southcast. He rode with us all the day.

L. C. J. And you took notice of it, because of

his Horle, you fay?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, he had a very pretty Horse, my Lord; and my Brother bought the Horse of him, after we came back again.

L. C. J. Whither went ye the next day?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. The next Night we lay at the Bull in Coventry, and from thence on Thursday, we arrived at my Lord Aston's at Tixball.

Mr. At. Gen. My Lord, the Jury desires to know

what he fays? For they have not heard it.

L. C. J. He says, Mr. Ireland went with my Lord Afton in the Coach, it being a hot Day, on Monday the 5th of August, from Standen, my Lord Afton's House in Hertfordshire, to St. Albans, and lay there at the Bull Inn that Night; that he went the next day, which was Tuesday, the 6th of August, with them to Northampton. That he rode upon a pretty Horse, which makes him remember it particularly; and that there was a Dispute on the Road, who should buy him; and when they came back, his Brother bought him. He fays, they lay on Tuesday Night at Northampton, at the George, which was a Gentleman's House, which was turned into an Inn, because of the Fire: That he went with them the next day to Coventry, which was Wednesday the 7th of August, and lay with them that Night at the Bull in Coventry; and the next day, which was Thursday the 8th, they came, and Ireland with them, to my Lord Afton's House at Tixball in Staffordsbire.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray Sir Edward Southcoat, how long did he stay with you at Tixball, at my Lord

Aston's?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. He staid with us there till the Tuesday after; and then we began our Journey from my Lord Afton's House in Tixball into Wales, to St Winifred's Well.

L. C. J. That is Holy-Well, you mean. Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. You fay he staid all Friday, Saturday, Sunday, Monday, and till Tuesday after you came to my Lord Afton's at Tixball?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, my Lord, he did so.

Mr. At. Gen. So then, my Lord, we are gotten to Tuesday the 13th of August, which is past the time of the Perjury, that is laid second in the Indictment; but in point of Time, is the first that happened, for he swore that Ireland took his leave of him, and others here in Town, between the 8th and 12th of August?

Mr. Sol. Gen. Where did you go on Tuesday, Sir?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Towards Wales.

Mr. Jones. Was he at Tixhall the 12th of Au-

L. C. J. He says, he was till Tuesday the 13th. Pray let us not have the same Questions repeated over and over again.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Where did you go on Tuesday

Night?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. We went to Nantwich, and lay at the Holy-Lamb there.

L. C. J. Where were you the next Night?

Sir. Edw. Southcoat. The next Day we reached to St. Winifred's Well.

L. C. J. Where did you lie there?

Sir Edw. Souchcoat. At the Star, which is the

Mr. At. Gen. Was Mr. Ireland there with you? Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, he was.

Mr. At. Gen. Whither did ye go then?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. We staid not but one Day at Holy-Well; for we arrived there pretty late at Night, and all the Morning we spent there, and went away in the Asternoon, and came that Evening to Chester, and lay there only one Night, and came the next Day to Tixball again.

Mr. At. Gen. Which was Friday the 16th of

August.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, my Lord, be pleased to ask him, whether this Gentleman, Mr. Ireland, was with them all the while, in this Journey to Holy-Well.

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Every Day particularly; I remember it very perfectly.

Mr. At. Gen. After he came to Tixhall again, how

long did he tarry there?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. That I cannot so well say. I do remember truly, but he was afterwards at my Lord Aston's at Tixhall, I remember some scambling Days; but I cannot six upon only two.

L. C. J. Which are they?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. I cannot tell what Day of the Month it was, or what Day of the Week; but one Day that I remember him there, was Mr. Chetwind's Race with Sir Henry Gough; and one other Day.

L. C. J. When was that Horse-Race?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. My Lord, I cannot tell what Day of the Month it was.

Mr. At. Gen. When was the other time you speak of?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. I remember him there one Thursday upon the Bowling-Green at Tixhall, where there was a particular Company.

Mr. Sol. Gen. My Lord, we desire to know, when his Brother did buy the Horse of Mr. Ireland.

Sir Edw. Southcoat. When we came back; for he came home with us from my Lord Afton's, and we began our Journey, the 9th or 10th of September, from Tixhall to Kingston; and when we arriv'd at my Father's House, my Brother bought the Horse of him.

L. C. J. Did you come home back again with Mr. Ireland, do you say?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Yes, my Lord, we did.

L. C. J. When did you set out from Tixball? Sir Edw. Southcoat. The ninth of September, my Lord, I think it was; I am sure, it was upon a Monday, the 9th or 10th.

L. C. J. When did you come home?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. We were four Days on our Journey.

L. C. J. And did you come straight to Landon? Sir Edw. Southcoat. No, we went to my Father's House in Surrey.

L. C. J. Which way did you come?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. The first Night we came to the Bull in Coventry; and from thence, the next Night, we went to the Altar-Stone at Banbury; and from Banbury, we came the third Day to Agmondesham (I think, it is called) a little Town in Buckinghamshire: And from thence the fourth Day, to my Father's House, by Kingston in Surrey.

Mr. At. Gen. And ther. Ireland sold his Brother

his Horse.

L. C. J. How long was he at your Father's House in Surrey, Sir Edward?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. My Lord, we came on the And then the next Night, Thursday; and as I take it, he went on the Satur- came back again to Tixhall.

day to London. My Brother after he had bought his Horse, lent him the Horse to Town; and sent a Man with him, to bring it back again.

Mr. At. Gen. That Saturday, my Lord, makes it come just to the Fourteenth of September; and is the very Day Fortnight before Michaelmas-day.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to ask this Gentleman, whether he saw Ireland all August, and till the Fourteenth of September in Staffordshire, and elsewhere? And, how long in Staffordshire?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. I cannot say, as to any particular Days of the Months, after our coming from Holy-Well, till our coming from Tixhall to London.

L. C. J. Marry, if he did, he would contradict what he had said before: For from the time of coming from Holy-Well, to the time of coming back to London, he could tell but of two Days, and those uncertain: For he remembers not by the Times, but by other particular Circumstances.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, be pleased to ask him this Question, When was the first Day he saw him

in September?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. Truly, my Lord, I remember not any Day before we began our Journey home?

Mr. At. Gen. But, my Lord, this is his Testimony: He was in his Company till the sixteenth of August. It is true, there were other times that he saw him at Tixball; but he cannot particularly speak unto them: But by and by, we shall go on to every Day, and prove particularly where he was.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know, Was Sir Edw. Southcoat at the Trial of Ireland a Witness, or no?

Sir Edw. Southcoat. No, I was not, my Lord. Oates. Were you at Whitehread's Trial, Sir?

Sir Edw. Southcoat Yes, I was, and gave the same Evidence I give now.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Then swear Mr. John Southcoat. [Which was done.

Mr. At. Gen. This is Sir Edward Southcoat's Brother, my Lord.

L. C. J. What, he that bought the Horse? Mr. John Southcoat. Yes, my Lord, I bought the Horse of Mr. Ireland.

L. C. J. Well, what do you ask him?

Mr. At. Gen. Pray, Sir, When did you meet Mr. Ireland in August 1678? And where?

Mr. John Southcoat. My Lord, I met with Mr. Ireland, upon Monday the Fifth of August, at the Bull-Inn in St. Albans, with my Lord Afton: I came thither that day with my Father and Mother. And the next Day we went to Northampton; and there we inn'd at the Sign of the George, Sir William Farmer's House; which is an House in the Road, us'd for an Inn since the Town was burnt: And I conversed with him every day, in our Journey. And from thence, the next day, we went to Coventry, to the Bull-Inn there; and from thence, to my Lord Afton's (on Thursday Night) at Tixhall: There we staid all Friday, Saturday, Sunday, and Monday. Mr. Ireland was there; I was with him all the while. And then upon Tuesday we set out for Holy-Well in Flintshire; and went that Night to Nantwich, to the Lamb there. And the next day we went through Chester to Holy-Well: We staid that Night at Holy-Well; and the next day came back again towards Noon, to Chester; and there lay that Night: And then the next Night, which was Friday, we

L. C. J.

L. C. J. Was Mr. Ireland with you both those Journeys, all the while?

Mr. John Southcoat. Yes, my Lord, he was; 1 did see him, and rode with him every Day.

L. C. J. Pray, Sir, how long were you at Tixhall, after you came from Holy-Well?

Mr. John Southcoat. We staid there till we came away for good and all.

L. C. J. Was Mr. Ireland with you all that time?

Mr. John Southcoat. No, he was not.

Mr. At. Gen. Do you remember, that Mr. Ireland came to Tixball the Ninth of September; and came along home with you, when you came?

Mr. John Southcoat. Yes, I do remember it very

well.

L. C. J. What Day did you come home, Sir? Mr. John Southcoat. We came home in four Days.

Mr. Sol. Gen. When did he leave you?

Mr. John Southcoat. We came home upon Thursday, and he went to London on Saturday.

L. C. J. Pray, Sir, can you recollect when you did first see him again at Tixball, after you came from Holy-Well?

Mr. John Southcoat. My Lord, I cannot tell particularly any Day, till the Day we came away.

L. C. J. Do you remember the Horse-Match between Mr. Chetwind and Sir Henry Gough?

Mr. John Southcoat. I was there, my Lord; but I do not remember Mr. Ireland was there.

L. C. J. How long did he stay at your Father's House in Surrey, Sir?

Mr. John Southcoat. Two Days, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. You lent him your Horse you bought of him, I think, Sir?

Mr. John Southcoat. Yes, up to London, I did; and I fent my Man with him, to bring him back again.

Mr. At. Gen. Has Mr. Oates any Questions to ask this Gentleman?

Oates. My Lord, I desire to ask Mr. Southcoat, whether or no he is fure, that Ireland was in his Company from the Fifth of August to the Sixteenth?

Mr. John Southcoat. Yes, every day, I am sure of it; I remember it very well.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, ask him, Whether or no he testified this that he now swears, at Ireland's Trial?

Mr. John Southcoat. My Lord, I was not at Ireland's Trial.

Oates. Then I desire to ask him, Whether or no he did testify this at the five Jesuits Trial?

Mr. John Southcoat. No; I was not fent for to any of the Trials.

L. C. J. I am forry you were not, Sir: 'Twas pity you were not there. - It might have faved some innocent Blood.

Mr. At. Gen. Now swear Harrison.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, will you give an Account when you faw Ireland, and where?

Harrison. In the Year of our Lord 1678, my Master Sir John Southcoat, went with my Lady, on Monday the Fifth of August, to St. Alban's; and of. we came with a Coach and four Horses: For my Master had an old Servant, that had a Pair of Horses, which my Master made use of. And there we met my Lord Afton, in the Company of Mr. Ireland. And the next Morning, my Lord Aston went with my Master and Lady towards

Tixball: And I rode by, in Mr. Ireland's Company; and came acquainted with him that Day. That Night we came to Northampton; and there we inn'd at a Stone-House, that was used for the Inn, the Town being burnt before. The next Night we went to Coventry; and on Thursday we came to Tixball: There we staid till Tuesday. After we went to Holy-Well; and the Tuesday Night we lay at Nantwich: And thence we went to Holy-Well, and staid there on Wednesday Night: And on Thursday we came to West-Chester; and I parted with him upon the Friday at West-Chester.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray, did you know this Ireland? Was it he that was tried and executed?

Harrison. I was at Mr. Ireland's Trial.

L. C.  $\mathcal{I}$ . Was it the same Man that was tried? Harrison. My Lord, I saw him at his Trial, the Hair of his Face was grown, and he was a little disordered; he did not look so well, because he was not so well dress'd; but it was the fame Man, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Before this Man goes, we have more Questions to ask him: When did you see him again, after you left him in Chester you

fay?

Harrison. I saw him several times at Tixball; I saw him at the Bowling-green upon Thursday; and I saw him when the Horse-Race was between Mr. Chetwind and Sir Henry Gough: I cannot tell what Day it was; for I had no reason to keep an account of the particular Days at that time.

Mr. At. Gen. Did you come up with your Ma-

ster again the 9th of September?

Harrison. My Lord, I did so; I have a Note wherein I set down the Charges at that time, It is in this bit of Paper, which I have kept in my Almanack ever fince. My Lord Afton fent his Coach with us to Banbury, where my Master's Coach was to meet him; but came only to Coventry the first Night. The next Night, which was Tuesday, we came to the Altar-Stone at Banbury, and there we lay: From thence on Wednesday, we came to Agmondesham, where we were ill lodged. The next Day we baited at Uxbridge, and came to Kingston, and so to Sir John Southcoat's House, upon the Thursday Night. Upon the Friday Mr. Ireland sold his Horse to Mr. John Southcoat, my Master's Son. Upon the Saturday Morning, I came with him from my Master's House towards London; he did ride upon the Horse he had sold to Mr. Southcoat, and so we came up to Town, and took water; and I took a little Bag that belonged to Mr. Ireland upon my Arm, and we landed at Somerset-House, and I came with him to his Lodging in Russel-street; and when he came there, he went up stairs, where two Women met him, and welcom'd him home: Said they, We are glad you are come, we thought we had lost you, because we had never heard from you all this while. There I took my leave of him, and did not see him again till his Trial at the Old-Bailey; and this was Saturday the 14th of September.

L. C. J. Pray let me see your Paper you speak Which was delivered in, and the Court look'd upon it.

L. C. J. Did you write this in your Journey? Harrison. Yes, my Lord, I did it at that time.

Mr. At. Gen. Are the particular Days inserted there, my Lord?

L. C. J. No, they are not, Mr. Attorney.

Har-

Harrison. I could not write well; it was only but Memorandums for myself.

L. C. J. What Day of the Month was the Sa-!urday he came to Town?

Harrison. It was the 14th of September, my Lord.

L. C. J. That agrees with all that the Women said, that he came on Saturday a Fortnight before Michaelmas, being the same Day of the Week as Michaelmas-day was: Thus far it is as clear as the Sun at Noon-day.

Oates. My Lord, there was a time when this

was not believed.

L. C. J. Ay, Mr. Oates, we know there was a time, when there were Ignoramus Juries, and things were believed, and not believed, as the Humour went. What can you, Mr. Oates, fay to it? I must needs tell you, prima facie 'tis so strong an Evidence, that if you have any Sense in the World, you must be concern'd at 1t.

Oates. Not at all, my Lord; I know who they

are, and what is the end of it all.

L. C. J. Upon my Faith, I have so much Charity for you, as my Fellow-Creature, as to be concerned for you.

Oates. 'Tis not two Straws matter, whether you

be or no; I know my own Innocency.

L. C. J. Thou art the most obstinately hardened Wretch that ever I faw.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, ask him, whether he did not appear at Mr. Ireland's Trial? And let it be remember'd what Credit he had then for all this Story he tells now. These People come here to serve a Turn only, and care not what they fwear against me.

L. C. J. You must hold your tongue.

Oates. My Lord, I must speak the Truth, and I will speak the Truth.

L. C. J. I think there is scarce a Word of Truth

comes out of thy Mouth.

Oates. You may think what you will, my Lord; but these Popish Traitors, I am sure, will swear any thing, and suborn Witnesses upon Witnesses against me to overthrow the Plot.

Mr. Just. Withins If you cannot behave yourfelt better than you do, we must send you elsewhere; we must not suffer this Behaviour: And

therefore either be quiet, or get you gone.

L. C. J. Let him keep himself in order, and we'll hear him. If he thinks by boisterous Impudency to outface Justice, we will not suffer it. Go on, Mr. Attorney.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. George Hobson.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Were you in the Journey to Tixball with Mr. Ireland, and my Lord Afton in 78? Mr. Hobson. Yes, I was so, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray tell all your Knowledge of

that matter.

Mr. Hobson. From the 3d of August till the 16th at Night, I was present with him every Day.

. L. C. J. How do you know you were?

Mr. Hobson. That is to say, the 3d of August he came to my Lord's House at Standen in Hertfordshire in the Evening, and there he remained till Monday the 5th of August; and that Day in the Morning, he went, together with other Company, to St. Albans, where we met Sir John Southcoat and his Lady towards the Evening; and we all lodged there, because that was the appointed Place to meet at: We lodged the 6th of August at Night at Northampton; upon the 7th at Attorney.

Coventry; upon the 8th at Tixbell, my Lord's House, where Mr Ireland remained till Tuesday the 13th in the Morning. And upon the 13th in the Morning we set forth with my Lady Afton, my Lord's Mother, Sir John Southcoat, and Mr. Ireland, and the other Company, towards a Place called Holy-Well in Flintshire. That Night, being Tuesday the 13th of August, we lodged at Nantwich in Cheshire; the Wednesday Night we lodged at Holy-Well, where Mr. Ireland was with the rest of the Company; and on Thursday Night we returned back again to Chester, and lay there. Upon Friday we came back again to my Lord's House at Tixhall. After that I did not see Mr. Ireland till Monday the 26th of August, when he came back again to our House: Where he went in the mean time, I cannot tell.

L. C. J. Whose House is it that this Man means by our House?

Mr. Hobson. I mean my Lord Aston's House at Tixball,

L. C. J. You knew him very well, did not you?

Mr. Hobson. Yes, my Lord, I knew him very well.

L. C. J. You say, he came back the 26th of August to Tixball?

Mr. Hobson. Yes, as I remember, he did so.

Mr. At. Gen. How long after the 26th of August was it, that you saw him at Tixhall?

Mr. Hobson. When he went away for London.

Mr. At. Gen. When was that?

Mr. Hobson. The 9th of September.

L. C. J. Did you come along with him to London?

Mr. Hobson. No, my Lord; I saw him go; he was not in the Coach, but he was in the Company.

Oates. My Lord, I would fain ask him a Question, when he was first acquainted with Mr. Ire-

land, that he speaks of? Mr Hobson. I never saw him till he came to

my Lord Afton's at Standen. Oates. Were you at the Trial of Mr. Ireland

Sir? Mr. Hobson. No, my Lord, I was not; I was

then in Staffordsbire. Oates. Then it is material, my Lord, for me to ask him this Question, it relating to a particular Person; whether *Ireland* that was executed, was the *Ireland* he fays he was with?

L. C. J. I will ask him, if you will. Was that the same Man that was afterwards tried and exe-

cuted?

Mr. Hobson. I believe it was, my Lord.

Oates. I insist not so much upon the Question, but only in reference to the Witness, his Acquaintance being fo short with him.

L. C. J. Truly, I think it is not so very short; for he swears he was with him from the 3d of August till the 16th every Day.

Mr. Just. Withins. I have no great Acquaintance with you; but I know you, Mr. Oates, because I have seer, you so often.

L. C. J. Is it the same Ireland that was at the Trial? You, Harrison, you saw him then?

Harrison. The very same, and no other.

Mr. Just. Withins. Certainly no body doubts that.

L. C. J. 'Tis fit he should have his Question answer'd, if the Witness can. Pray, go on, Mr.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear George North. [Which was done.] Pray, will you give an account where you met Mr. Ireland, and whether you was with

him in his Journey?

North. My Lord, I saw him the 4th of August, which was Sunday, at Standen, my Lord Aston's House in Hertfordshire: Upon the 5th, he went with my Lord to St. Albans; where my Lord met with Sir John Southcoat and his Lady; and I was with them. And from thence we went on Tuesday to the George at Northampton: And from thence, on Wednesday the 7th, to the Bull at Coventry: And upon the 8th, we came to Tishall, which was Thursday. And from Thursday the 8th, to Tuesday the 13th, I did see him every day at my Lord's House at Tixball.

L. C. J. Whither went he then?

North. He went with my old Lady, and some other Company to go to Holy-Well.

L. C. J. When did you see him again?

North. I did fee him feveral times after that at Tixhall: But I took no particular notice of him again till he went away for *London*.

 $L. C. \mathcal{F}$ . When was that?

North. I did see him the 9th of September. He came to go with Sir John Southcoat to London: Mr. John Southcoat, and he. I took my leave of him.

Oates. What Religion are you of, Sir? North. I am a Catholick, my Lord.

Oates. I defire to know, how he comes to remember this fo well?

North. I waited at the Table; and he was every day at Dinner with my Lord.

Oates. Were you at the Trial of Ireland a Witness?

North. No indeed, my Lord, I was not.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Richard Ingletrap,

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, will you give an account, whether you knew Mr. Ireland, and where you faw hin?

Ingletrap. The 1st of August, my Lord, I was ordered to be at Standen in Hertfordsbire, to wait upon my Lord Aften, to go with him to Tixball. Standen Lodge at my Lord's House, The 4th Day he rested there, being Sabbath-day.

Mr. At. Gen. What are you, an Hackney-Coach-

man?

Ingletrap. Yes, my Lord, I am fo. And upon the 5th, which was Monday, he went with my Lord Aston to St. Albans; upon the 6th to Northampton; on the 7th, to Coventry; and the 8th Day, to Tizhell Lodge. He was in the Company and went all the way with him; and I was there too.

L. C. J. How long did he stay there? Ingletrap. Till Tuesday the 13th.

L. C. J. Did you see him there till Tuesday? Ingletrap. I do not say, that I did see him every

Day. L. C. J. Did you go with them on Tuesday to Hely-Well?

Ingletrap. No, I did not.

Mr. At. Gen. But, my Lord, you observe, that is past one of the Times that he swore Ireland was here in Town; that is, between the 8th and 12th of August.

L C. J. It is so.

Mr. A. Gen. Pray, what Religion are you of, Mr. Ingletrap?

Ingletrap. I am a Protestant, my Lord.

Oates. Were you at the Trial of the five Jefuits, or that of Ireland?

Ingletrap. No, I was not.

Oates. My Lord, I desire you would ask him, he being a Protestant, how he came to be acquainted with Ireland, that was a Priest?

L. C. J. Why, Mr. Oates, is there no Conversa-

tion to be between Papists and Protestants?

Oates. Truly, very little, my Lord: But that is not to the Point now. I defire to have an Anfwer to my Question.

Ingletrap. There was no great Acquaintance between us, my Lord; for I never saw him before that time.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Andrew Wetton. [Which was done.] Did you go that Journey with my Lord Aston to Tixhall.

Wetton. No; I went from Tixhall to Holy-Well.

Mr. At. Gen. What day did you fet out from Tixball?

Wetton. Upon Tuesday the 13th of August. Mr. Sol. Gen. Where did you lie that Night?

Wetten. At Nantwich at the Holy-Lamb. And the next Day we baited at Chester; and from thence we came the next Night to Holy-IVell: There we staid all Night, and till next day at Noon. We din'd at Holy-Well, and came that Night back to Chifter: And from thence, the next day, we came home to Tixhall.

L. C. J. Was Mr. Ireland there all the while? Wetton. Mr. Ireland was there, I look'd after

his Horse.

L. C. J. When did he come first to Tixhell? Wetton. He came upon the 8th, and staid till the 13th; and then went to Holy-Well.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, what Religion are you of?

Wetton. I am a Protestant, Sir.

Mr. At. Gen. And the 9th of September he came away from Tixball to London, you fay?

Wetton. Yes; I saw them take Coach; and he did ride on horseback.

L. C. J. Did you see him often at Tixhall, be-And the 3d of August I saw Mr. Ireland there at tween the time he came from Holy-Well and the time he went to London?

> Wetton. Yes, he was there several times; but I cannot tell the particular Days: But, my Lord, if you please, I can tell you the very Money he gave me, which was a Shilling and two Six-pences, when we came back from Holy-Well.

> L. C. J. And the 16th, you say, he came back from Holy-IVell?

> Weston. Yes, it was the 16th, upon Friday, that he came from Holy-Well.

> L. C. J. And he says, the 9th of September he came back again from Tixball to London; and that he saw him several times, in the mean time, at my Lord Affon's House.

> Mr. Sol. Gen. So, my Lord, we are past one of the times; and have fix'd him at Tixhall upon the 16th.

> Mr. At. Gen. We have a couple of Witnesses more upon this Point, and then we shall go on to the 17th; and so all along to the 9th of September.

> Mr. At. Gen. Swear Thomas Sawyer. [Which was done.] When came Mr. Ireland to Tixhall? can you tell?

> Mr. Sawyer. He came the 8th of August to Tixhall, and continued there till the 13th: And then

he went away; but whither he went, I cannot tell: But, as I was told, it was to Holy-Well.

Mr. At. Gen. When did he come to Tixhall a-

gain?

Mr. Sawyer. When my old Lady Afton came home, which was Friday the 16th.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Do you know when he left Tixhall

for good and all?

Mr. Sawyer. The 9th of September, my Lord, he went with Sir John Southcoat to go to London.

L. C. J. Can you remember he was there at any time between the 16th of August, and the 9th of September?

Mr. Sawyer. He was once or twice there; but

I cannot tell the particular Days.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What Persuasion are you of, as to Religion, Sir?

Mr. Sawyer. I am one of the Church of England, my Lord.

. L. C. J. Truth is the same in all Persuasions.

Mr. Sol. Gen. But we would obviate Mr. Oates's Objection, that they are all Papists.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Frances Allen. [Which was done.] Pray, will you give an account, when you faw Mr. Ireland in Staffordshire?

Mrs. Allen. He came the 8th Day of August to Tixhall, and continued there the 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, and to the 13th in the Morning, and then he did go to *Holy-Well*.

Mr. At. Gen. She will tell you one particular Circumstance, how she remembers him about that

time.

Mrs. Allen. The 10th of August was Saturday; and that which causeth me to remember it, is this: There was a Woman, one Sarab Paine, I think her Name was, which testified at the Trial, that he was in Town at that time; but he was not: For he was at Tixhall upon the 10th, which was Saturday; and the 11th, which was Sabbathday: And I saw him in the Chamber those Days.

Mr. At. Gen. How came you to know him for

well?

Mrs. Allen. I was a Servant to my Lord Afton, and look'd to Mr. Ireland's Chamber, and wash'd his Linnen; and those Days I saw him in his Chamber.

Mr. At. Gen. When he went from Tixball to Holy-Well the 13th, when did he come back again?

Mrs. Allen. The 16th Day, which was Friday.

Mr. At. Gen. Did he come afterwards to Tixball

at any time?

Mrs. Allen. He went away the 17th, and I can tell you by a good Token, that he did so: For the 17th I carried his Clothes into his Chamber, that he was to take with him.

Mr. At. Gen. When did he come again to Tixball?

· Mrs. Allen. He came several times, but truly I cannot tell what Days particularly.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, when did he go away for good and all?

Mrs. Allen. I remember his going away with Sir John Southcoat, but I cannot tell the time.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray what Religion are you of?

Mrs. Allen. I am a Protestant of the Church of England; so I was christened, so I have lived, and so I hope to die before you all.

L. C. J. Upon my word, she is very kind, she has a mind to die before us: 'Tis a sign she lives a good Life, she's so ready to die.

Vol. IV.

Mr. At. Gen. So, my Lord, you see that the 17th of August he departed from my Lord Aston's. Now we shall call Mrs. Harwell to give you an account, whither he went on the 17th. Swear Mrs. Jane Harwell. [Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Where do you live, Mrs. Har-

well?

Mrs. Harwell. I live now in Town, my Lord. Mr. Sol. Gen. Where did you live in the Year 78?

Mrs. Harwell. At Wolverhampton.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Did you know Mr. Ireland, he that was executed?

Mrs. Harwell. Very well, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What time did he come to your House at Wolverhampton?

Mrs. Harwell. The 17th of August, 1678.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What Day of the Week was it?

Mrs. Harwell, Upon Saturday,

Mr. Sol. Gen. From whence did he say he came at that time?

Mrs. Harwell. I do verily believe it was front Tixball that he came; I cannot positively say.

Mr. Sol. Gen. How long staid he there?

Mrs. Harwell. He came to my House the 17th of August 1678. He supped there that Night; and I think he lay in my House every Night till the 26th of the same Month. Upon the 19th Day, after Dinner, I went with him a good part of the Town of Wolverhampton; and upon Friday following, which was the 23d, he went a little way out of Town, to a Fair hard by, and return'd the same day, and staid at my House the next day, being Bartholomew-day. The next day being the 25th, being Sunday, he was at my House; and he staid, as I said, every Night, and lay at my House; and went away on Monday the 26th of August. It was, to the best of my remembrance, in the Morning.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Whither he did say he was going, when he went from your House on the 26th?

Mrs. Harwell. I think to Tixball, he said.

Mr. Sol. Gen. When did you see him again after that?

Mrs. Harwell. He returned to me again the 4th of September following. That Night he supped at my House, and lay there; and he staid at my House Thursday the 5th of September, Friday the 6th, and he went away on the 7th from me for good and all.

Mr. At. Gen. Whither did it go then?

Mrs. Harwell. To Tixball, I think, I cannot tell.

Mr. At. Gen. Whence did he say he came, when he came last to your House; which was Wednesday the 4th of September?

Mrs. Harwell. Then he said, he came from Boscobel and Black-Ladies, and that way.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Can you tell any thing that makes you remember he was at Bojcobel?

Mrs. Harwell. I have some Circumstances that make me believe and remember it.

L. C.  $\mathcal{I}$ . Ay, tell us what they are.

Mrs. Harwell. My Lord, upon Monday the 2d of September, I heard Mr. Ireland would be at B. scobel, and I said to my Friend that told me so, Sure Mr. Ireland will not be there that Night, and I so near him; Yes, said she, he will. Then, said I, I will write to him; and so I did. And I tell you the 4th of September, which was Wednesday, he came to my House, and staid that M Night,

Night, and the two next Days with me, and went away upon the Saturday after.

L. C. J. You say, you did write to him upon

the 2d of September?

Mrs. Harwell. Yes, I did so.

L. C. J. What was the occasion of your writing to him at that time?

Mrs. Harwell. One Madam Dormer was then in those Parts, and he being gone a visiting his Friends at Black-Ladies, I writ to him, and defired him to give her a Visit, for I knew she would be glad to see him.

 $\overline{L}$ . C. J. He came back to you the 4th of Sep-

tember, you say?

Mrs. Harwell. Yes, he did so, my Lord.

L. C. J. Did he own upon the fourth of Sep-

tember, that he had received your Letter?

Mrs. Herwell. He said he had been at the Place, my Lord, but I know not whether he had received my Letter or no; but I am sure he waited upon my Lady, for she told me so afterwards.

Oates. I desire to know, whether this Gentle-woman was at Ireland's Trial?

Mrs. Harwell. No, my Lord; but I heard that upon the 17th of December following, Mr. Ireland was tried at the Old-Bailey for High-Treason. Upon the 19th, I was informed by the Post what was fworn against him; and particularly as to this time, which I knew to be false: And upon my own Cost and Charges I sent an Express away to Town here to a Friend that I knew, upon reading the Letter that was written to me, that Mr. Ireland was falfely accused; and by that Express, also I sent a Petition, humbly beseeching his late Majesty, that we might bring in Witnesses to prove, that Mr. Ireland was in Staffordsbire, when Mr. Oates swore he was in Town; and upon that the King staid the execution about five Weeks. We did hope for a second Trial, but we could not obtain it; and he was executed. I did it at my own Cost and Charges: For I thought it my Duty, if I could, to save his Lise, knowing that to be false which was sworn against him.

L. C. J. She speaks gravely and soberly, upon my Word.

Mr. Just. Withins. So she does indeed.

Mr. At. Gen. We have abundance of them, my Lord. Swear Mr. William Rushton.

[Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Tell my Lord what you know of Mr. Ireland's being at Mrs. Harwell's in August

1678, at Wolverhampton.

Mr. Rushton. My Lord, in the Month of August 1678, I did see Mr. William Ireland at Wolver-bampton in the County of Stafford; and it was upon the 18th of August, and I saw him there, from the 18th to the 25th, every one of the days but one, and that I cannot be positive in. He went that day to Litchfield, which was upon the 23d, as I take it, but I cannot be positive; but all the rest of the days I did see him there once, if not twice or thrice a day.

Mr. At. Gen. Where did he lodge then?

Mr. Rushton. At Mr. Jysford's, or Mrs. Her-well's.

Oates. Were you at any of the Trials of Ireland, or the five Jesuits, Sir?

Mr. Rushion. No, I was never at one till now. Oates. Pray, my Lord, what Religion is this Gentleman of, I desire to know?

Mr. Rushton. Not of your Worship's Religion, Dr. Oates.

L. C. J. But answer his Question.

Mr. Rushton. I am a Catholick.

Oates. A Roman Catholick he means, I suppose,

Mr. Rushton. Yes; I am a Roman Catholick,

and a loyal Subject.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Swear Mrs. Katherine Winford.

Which was done.

Mr. At. Gen. Mrs. Winford, pray did you see Mr. Ireland at Mrs. Harwell's, in the Year 1678? Mrs. Winford. Yes, I did, Sir.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray tell the Court what you do know of that matter.

Mrs. Winford. I did hear at Wolverbampton, that Mr. Ireland came to Town the 17th of August, and I did see him there the 18th, and I did see him there the 19th, and I did see him there the 20th; and I saw him there upon the 22d, 23d, 24th, and 25th, and that was the last time I saw him there.

L. C. J. At Mrs. Harwell's was it that you saw him?

Mrs. Winford. Sometimes at Mrs Hartvell's, and fometimes at my Father's House, who lived in that Town.

Oates. My Lord, I defire to know how the comes to remember those Days so particularly?

Mrs. Winford. I remember them, because St. Bartholemete's Day was the 24th of August, and that was the Saturday after he came to Town; and the first day I saw him was the Sunday before, and so I reckon it to be on those days I saw him.

L. C. J. Have you any other Questions to ask her, Mr. Oates?

Oates. No, my Lord, I have not: She was a Witness before at Whitebread's Trial, and was not believed there.

L. C. J. Well, make your Remarks by and by, and let them now go on with their Evidence.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Then swear Mr. William Stanley. [Which was done.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray, did you see Mr. Ireland at Wolverhampton, and when?

Mr. Stenley. Upon the 18th of August 78. It was Sunday, I saw Mr. Ireland in Wolverhampton in the Morning, and in the Asternoon he was in my own House. Upon Monday the 19th I saw him twice that day at my own House; I positively speak that, and I verily believe I did see him on Tuesday the 20th, and Wednesday the 21st; but Thursday and Friday I cannot say I did see him those two Days: For he went upon one of them to Litchfield. But upon Saturday and Sunday I am sure I saw him, and two or three times some days.

Oates. Pray, Mr. Stanley, did you know him be-fore?

Mr. Stanley. No, I did not, Sir.

Oates. Was you at his Trial?

Mr. Stanley. No, I was not.

Oates. Were you at the five Jesuits Trial?

Mr. Stanley. No, I was not in London since, till the last Term.

Oates. What Religion are you of, Sir?

Mr. Stanley. What Religion are you of, Mr. Oates?

 $L. C. \mathcal{I}$ . Nay, do not ask Questions, but answer the Questions he asks.

Mr. Stenley. I am a Roman Catholick.

Mr. At. Gen. Mr. Stanley, pray can you recollect any Circumstance how you came to remember that it was fuch and fuch Days that you saw him?

Mr. Stanley. Yes, my Lord, I can. L. C.J. What are they, tell them us?

Mr. Stanley. I buried a Child that Morning, being Sunday the 18th of August, and he came to my House in the Afternoon, and I entertained him with those things that I had provided for to entertain my Friends at the Funeral. On Monday was a Wake, and the young People went all to the Wake, and left Madam Harwell alone; and so she came with him to my House, and I entertained him with the same kind of Entertainment that I did the Day before.

L. C. J. That is a remarkable Circumstance in-

deed.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mrs. Dorothy Purcell. [Which was done.] What say you Mrs. Purcell, when did you see Mr. Ireland at Wolverhampton?

Mrs. Purcell. I saw him the 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22d, and 23d Days of August, 1678.

Mr. At. Gen. Where did you see him?

Mrs. Purcell. At a Friend's House in Wolverbampton.

L. C. J. How come you to remember those Days of the Month?

Mrs. Purcell. I remember them by particular Circumstances.

L. C. J. What are those particular Circumstances? Let us hear them, how come you to name those very Days, the 18th, 19th, and so on?

Mrs. Purcell. I can remember it as well as any

thing in the World.

Oates. I desire, my Lord, that she may tell how she does remember it.

Mrs. Purcell. That's enough, and as much as need to be faid, I remember very well.

Oates. But I defire to know how you come to remember it?

Mrs. Purcell. I remember it, I tell you, very well; and I do affirm I saw him there.

Oates. But you do not tell what Circumstances you remember it by.

Mrs. Purcell. No, there is no need of it, I am fure I saw him there then.

Mr. At. Gen. Tell him some Circumstances.

Mrs. Purcell. Those were the Days before Bartholomew Eve.

Oates. My Lord, is this any thing of Evidence? L.C. J. The Jury heard it, and they will consider of it.

Oates. What Religion are you of, Mistress? Mrs. Purcell. Must I tell what Religion I am of? L. C. J. Yes, answer his Question.

Octes. I dare say, she is a Papist; she need not trouble her head to answer it.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. Scott. [Which was done.] Were you at Wolverhampton when Mr. Ireland was there?

Scott. Yes, I was.

Mr. At. Gen. Did you do any service for him there?

Scott. I look'd to his Horse.

Mr. At. Gen. When did he come thither, do you remember?

Scott. I cannot tell just the time that he came in. Mr. At. Gen. What Day of the Week was it that he came thither?

Scott. It was of a Saturday.

Mr. At. Gen. What Month was it?

Vol. IV.

Scott. I cannot tell very well what Month it was ; it was in August I think.

Mr. At. Gen. How long did he continue there? Scott. The space of a Fortnight off and on,

Oates. Is that Evidence, my Lord, that he has delivered?

L. C. J. It is but very small Evidence. It is only circumstantial to confirm the other Testimony.

Mr. At. Gen. How long was it before Ireland was tried and executed, can you tell that?

Scott. No, I know nothing of it.

Mr. At. Gen. Then swear Mr. John Stamford. Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Did you see Mr. Ireland at Wolver-

hampton, and when was it, I pray you?

Mr. Stamford. My Lord, in the Year 1675, I was sent beyond Sea to St. Omers, to fetch over two young Gentlemen that were Students there; and there I became acquainted with Mr. Ireland, who was then Procurator of the Jesuits at St. Omers. And in August 78, I did see him in Wolverhampton, upon the Sunday after the Assumption of our Lady; which was the 15th of August, he came to see me, and I saw him there that Day.

L.C.J. What Day of the Month is the Assump-

tion of our Lady?

Mr. Stamford. That is always the 15th of August, my Lord.

Mr. At. Gen. How often did you see him there? Mr. Stamford. I saw him Sunday and Monday, and till that Day seven-night; I saw him every Day in that Week but one, when he went to Litchfield.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know how he comes

to remember that it was at that time?

L. C. J. He tells you a Reason for it, because it fell out to be upon the Sunday after the Assumption of our Lady, which is always the 15th of August.

Oates. My Lord, he says he came acquainted with Mr. Ireland at St. Omers.

L. C. J. Yes, he says, that was in the Year 1675. Oates. I desire to know, my Lord, what business he had there?

L. C. J. He tells you, he went to fetch over two young Men that were Students there.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, I defire to know what Religion he is of.

L. C. J. What Religion are you of? Mr. Stamford. I am a Roman Catholick.

Oates. I defire to know what is his Profession?

Mr. Stamford. I am a younger Brother of a good and loyal Family as any of the County of Stafford, and that suffered as much as any for the late King.

Qates. I believe if it were look'd into, he is some-

what else besides a younger Brother. Mr. At. Gen. Yes, he says he is of a loyal Fa-

mily. L. C. J. I am sure there is such a Family in that County that were great Sufferers for King Charles I. and the late King; and were very instrumental in Services for the late King, at his escape from Worcester: but whether he be of that Family or no, I cannot tell.

Mr. Stamford. The Doctor thinks I am a Jesuit, but I'll assure him I have a Wife and Children.

Mr. At. Gen. Mr. Oates was about to have made him a Priest, but it seems he hath a Wife and Children, and fo is out of Danger.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, Swear Mrs. Katherine Fow-[Which was done. ler.

Mr. At. Gen. Did you see Mr. Ireland, Mrs. Fowler, at Wolverhampton in 1678?

M 2

Mrs.

Mrs. Fowler. Yes, I did.

Mr. At. Gen. When was it?

Mrs. Fowler. I did see him on Saturday the 17th of August at Wolverhampton; he came that day to my Mother's House, where I was.

Mr. At. Gen. How long did he stay there?

Mrs. Fowler. Till the 27th, which was Monday was Seven-night after: I was in his Company every day in that time unless it were Friday, which day they say he went to Litchfield to the Fair.

L. C. J. Is Mrs. Harwell your Mother?

Mrs. Fowler. Yes, my Lord, she is, and I lived at that time with my Mother.

L.C.J. How do you remember this? Have you any Tokens that you can give us, why you remember it was then?

Mrs. Fowler. My Lord, I recollected my self after Mr. Ireland's Trial, in which Mr. Oates swore that he was here in Town between the 8th and 12th of August, that that was the very Month that Mr. Ireland was in Wolverhampton, and thereupon I concluded that Mr. Oates had not sworn true, by that Recollection when it was fresh in my Memory, being within half a Year after.

Oates. Madam, are you Mrs. Harwell's Daughter?

Mrs. Fowler. Yes, I am fo, Sir.

Oates. Did you not know one Mr. Jennison?

Mrs. Fowler. Which Jennison?

Oates. Mr. Robert Jennison.

Mrs. Fowler. Yes, I do know him.

Oates. Are you not a-kin to him?

Mrs. Fowler. Yes, but I am forry to own I have fuch a Relation.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. Gifford.

[Which was done.

Mr. So!. Gen. Did you see Mr. Ireland in Staffordsbire, and when I pray you?

Mr. Gifford. I saw him there at Wolverhampton the 17th of August 1678, and he continued there till the 26th; I saw him there every day.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, be pleased to ask this Gentleman, whether he were at the Trial of Ireland?

Mr. Gifford. No, I was not in Court, nor examined as a Witness.

Oates. Were you at the Trial of the five Jesuits, Sir?

Mr. Gifford. I was there, but was not examined. L. C. J. Was it every day, say you, that you saw him at Wolverhampton?

Mr. Gifford. Every day.

L. C. J. They say, he was one day out of Town at Litchfield.

Mr. Gifford. He was out of Town that day, but I saw him.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mrs. Elizabeth Gifford.

[Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. You hear the Question, did you see Mr. Ireland in Staffordshire in 78, and when?

Mrs. E. Gifford. Mr. Ireland came to Wolver-bampton the 17th of August, and continued there till the 26th.

Mr. At. Gen. How do you remember it?

Mrs. Gifford. By a Wake that was just hard by, that was at that time: and he came to my Uncle's House upon the Sunday following the Assumption of our Lady.

Mr. At. Gen. Did you see him every day till the 26th?

Mrs. Gifford. I remember I did see him every day but two days that I was abroad at the Wake.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know, whether she was examined at any of the former Trials?

Mrs. Gifford. Yes, at the five Jesuits Trial, I was.

Oates. Were you not at Ireland's Trial?

Mrs. Gifford. No, I was not.

Oates. There was one Gifford examined there.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mrs. Elizabeth Keeling.

[Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Did you see Mr. Ireland at Wolverhampton, and when?

Keeling. Yes, my Lord, I did see Mr. Ireland at Wolverhampton.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray tell when it was.

Keeling. It was the 17th of August he came to my Mistress's House.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What August?

Keeling. August before the Plot.

L. C. J. Who was your Mistress? Keeling. Mrs. Harwell, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. How long did he continue there?

Keeling. He came on the Saturday, and I saw him there till the Monday. When I was sent for to my Mother's Burying, I lest him and Madam Dormer at Dinner, and came back on the Thursday. And in his Chamber I heard him discourse, but I did not see him. Upon the Friday he went abroad, and returned again that Night. Upon the Saturday I saw him, and he continued there till Monday Morning, and then he went away, and returned on Wednesday the 4th of September, and staid till Saturday the 7th; and then went away from Wolver-bampton.

Oates. I desire to know what Religion this Wo-

man is of?

Keeling. I am a Roman Catholick, God be thanked.

Mr. At. Gen. Mrs. Keeling, when did Mr. Ireland return again, do you say, after he went first to Wolverhampton?

Keeling. It was the Wednesday seven-night after,

Sir.

Mr. At. Gen. That was the 4th of September.

L. C. J. How long staid he there then ? Keeling, Till Saturday in the Forenoon.

Mr. At. Gen. Whither did he say he was going then?

Keeling. He said he went to Bellamour to Dinner. L. C. J. Did you see him at any time after?

Keeling. No, my Lord, I saw him no more.

Mr. At. Gen. Then swear Mr. Richardson.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, Sir, will you give an account when you faw Mr. Ireland, and where, in the Year 78?

Mr. Richardson. My Lord, I saw a Gentleman that I was informed was Mr. Ireland at Wolver-bampton, at a Wake; which was Monday the 19th of August.

Mr. At. Gen. Where did he lodge?

Mr. Richardson. At Mrs. Harwell's.

Mr. At. Gen. What are you, a Tradesman? Mr. Richardson. Yes, an Apothecary in Wolver-

L. C. J. How many Days did you see him at Wolverhampton.

Mr. Richardson. I saw him only one Day in the Market-place.

L. C. J. You did not know Mr. Ireland before? Mr. Richardson. But I was informed that was he.

L. C. J. What become of that Ireland that you were informed was at Wolverhampson then?

Mr.

Mr. Richardson. I heard presently after that, upon Mr. Oates's Plot, he was secured and executed. Mr. At. Gen. What Religion are you of, pray,

Sir?

Mr. Richardson. I am of the Church of England. Oates. Pray, Sir, I would ask you this Question. Mr. Richardson. As many Questions as you please, Mr. Oates.

Oates. Who told you it was Mr. Ireland?

Mr. Richardson. Mrs. Harwell.

Oates. When was it she told you it was Ireland? Mr. Richardson. To the best of my remembrance, it was before he was apprehended as a Traitor.

Qates. He is uncertain when he was told fo.

L. C. J. Well, make what advantage you can of it by and by.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mrs. Eleanor Graves.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, Mistress, did you see Mr. Ireland at Wolverhampton at any time?

Mrs. Graves. Yes, I did, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. When was it?

Mrs. Greves. The first time was six Years ago in August, it is now going on seven Years since.

Mr. At. Gen. What time in August was it?

Mrs. Graves. The first Day was the 20th or 21st, I am sure I saw him upon the 22d; for I dined with him, and was with him all the Afternoon, and supp'd with him. Upon the 23d we went to Litchfield together, which was Bartholomew Eve. And I saw him the 25th, which was Sunday after.

L. C. J. Are you sure it is the Ireland we are

now speaking of?

Mrs. Graves. They said it was the same Mr. Ireland that was executed. They call'd him so.

L. C. J. Nay, there is no great doubt, but only

for Mr. Oates's Satisfaction.

Mr. At. Gen. At whose House did he lie at Wolverbampton?

Mrs. Graves. At Mrs. Harwell's

Mr. At. Gen. Where was it you supp'd with him, I pray you?

Mrs. Graves. He dined and supp'd at a Rela-

tion's House of mine.

L. C. J. Who was that? name him.

Mrs. Graves. It was at my Uncle Winford's.

L. C. J. Did you go along with him to Litchfield, do you fay, on the 23d?

Mrs. Graves. Yes, and so did my Uncle; and at

Night we came back together.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What Religion are you of, Mistress? Mrs. Graves. I am of the Church of England.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to ask her, how did fhe know it was the fame *Ireland* that was try'd?

Mrs. Graves. It was the same Ireland that they faid was afterwards executed. I know no more.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Did you go back with him to Mrs. Harwell's from Litchfield?

Mrs. Graves. I did, to my Uncle Winford's Houle,

Mr. At. Gen. Now we come, my Lord, to another Period of Time, which is from the 26th of August to the 29th; and for that, we first call Sir Thomas Whitegrave, who is a Justice of the Peace, and a worthy Gentleman; a Member of the Church of England in that County. Swear him.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, Sir Thomas, will you be pleas'd to give the Court an account, whether you saw Mr. Ireland in Steffordsbire 1678, and what time it was?

Sir Thomas Whitegrave. I saw Mr. Ireland upon the 29th of August before the Popish Plot; it was upon a Thursday in the Afternoon upon Tixhall Bowling-green; and I discoursed with him: he told me he was to go home that Night with Sir James Simmons and Mr. Heveningham. They told me afterwards he went on Saturday to Hildersham, and went thence on Monday following towards Bofcobel: some time after that, a Rumour came down that Mr. Ireland was accused with others, of being in a Plot of the Papists; and myself, and some others that were in Commission for the Peace in that County, did reflect upon the time that Ireland was in the Country; and we were a little disturbed, because he had been at particular Places among our Neighbours, whom we knew to be Catholicks.

Mr. At. Gen. Can you remember no Day but

that one, Sir Thomas?

Sir Thomas Whitegrave. Only the 29th I saw him there, and discoursed with him; and afterwards there was word fent to me, to desire me that I would come up to London at his Trial.

L. C. J. And did you come up, Sir Thomas?

Sir Thomas Whitegrave. No, I did not; I had no Subpana; and being a Justice of the Peace, I did not think fit to leave the Country at that time without a Subpæna.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know of this Gentleman, how long he had been acquainted with Mr.

Ireland.

Sir Thomas Whitegrave. I never saw him before, nor fince.

L. C. J. He goes no further than the 29th.

Mr. At. Gen. No, my Lord, he does not. Then fwear Mr. William Fowler. [Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, will you give an account

when you faw Mr. Ireland, and where?

Mr. Fowler. I saw Mr. Ireland upon the 27th of August 78, in Staffordshire, at a Horse-Race at Etching-hill. The Race was run between Sir Henry Gough, and Captain Chetwind.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Did you fee him any other Day in

that Month?

Mr. Fowler. Yes, the 19th of August I saw him at Tixball Bowling-green.

Mr. Sol. Gen. When else did you see him?

Mr. Fowler. I saw him the 10th of August before. Mr. Sol. Gen. Where, at Tixball, at my Lord Afton's House?

Mr. At. Gen. Do you remember Sir Thomas Whitegrave was upon the Bowling-green the 27th of August ?

Mr. Fowler. Yes, he was, and did discourse with

Mr. Ireland there.

L. C. J. What became of Mr. Ireland afterwards?

Mr. Fowler. He went about the Country for some time, my Lord.

L. C. J. Was it the fame Ireland that was executed?

Mr. Fowler. Yes, it was the fame.

L. C. J. You say you saw him the 29th at Tix*hell* Bowling-green.

Mr. Fowler. Yes, I did fo.

L. C. J. When you heard he was taken up for the Plot, what did they say whither he went at that time?

Mr. Fowler. I think he went to Mr. Heveningham's, or thereabouts.

Oates. I would fain know this Gentleman's Religion.

Mr. Fowler. I am a Roman Catholick.

L. C. J.

L. C. J. We ask it only because Mr. Oates desires to be satisfy'd in that Point.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray swear Mr. Howard.

[Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What time in August 78, did you see Mr. Ireland in Staffordsbire, and where?

Mr. Howard. I came out of Shropshire upon the 27th of August, to the Horse-race at Etching-hill; and there I saw this Mr. Ireland upon the 28th. I dined at one Mr. Herbert Aston's House at Bellamore, and there I saw him again.

Mr. At. Gen. What other time did you see him?

Mr. Howard. Never any other time?

Oates. How does he know it was the same Mr. Ireland?

Mr. Howard. I came up to Town soon after, and they told me that knew him, that it was the same Ireland that suffer'd.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. Drayton.

[Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Where did you see Mr. Ireland? Mr. Drayton. At Etching-hill Race,

Mr. At. Gen. What Day was that?

Mr. Drayton. That was the 27th of August 1678.

M. At. Gen. When did you see him after?

Mr. Drayton. The next Day following I did not fee him; but the Thursday following I saw him at Tixhall, and he went home with Mr. Heveningham to Aston; and I saw him on Friday, he was with us there, and went a fishing, and we catch'd a great Pike of a Yard long, which was not ordinary with us. Upon the 31st, which was Saturday, my Master went to kill a Buck in the Park, and Mr. Ireland went along with him; and they went to a little Village hard by, and there I parted with him, and did see him no more that day. Mr. Gerrard of Hildersham was to meet my Master a hunting, but he was sick, and did not: Then upon Sunday the 1st of September, I was sent to see Mr. Gerrard of Hildersham, and there I saw Mr. Ireland.

L. C. J. Who was your Master, pray you? Mr. Drayton. This Lord Gerrard of Bromley's Father.

Mr. At. Gen. He was accused by Dugdale of the Plot, and died afterwards in Newgate.

Oates. Pray ask Mr. Drayton what Religion he is of?

Mr. Drayton. I am a Roman Catholick. Mr. At. Gen. Swear Sir James Simmons.

[Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. When did you see Mr. Ireland, Sir James?

Sir J. Simmons. Upon Tuesday the 27th of August 78. I remember very well I saw Mr. Ireland at the Horse-race at Etching-hill, between Sir Henry Gough and Mr. Chetwind; and I remember particularly, that Sir Henry Gough's Horse distanc'd him. I did not see him before he came to the Inn; but there we met, and drank together. And Thursday the 29th of August, being at Tixhall Bowling-green, Mr. Ireland was there, and came home with my Father Heveningham and me, and some Gentlemen in the Country; and came to Aston, and staid there that Night. I remember the next Day was Pancrass Fair, and I went to the Fair, and did not come home.

Mr. At. Gen. What Day of the Month is that Fair usually kept?

Sir J. Simmons. It is an unconstant Time; now and then in September, now and then in August; and that Year we had a Subscription to get it regulated to a certain Day.

L. C. J. Did you see him after?

Sir J. Simmons. My Lord, I cannot swear to the Saturday, because I cannot so well tell whether I came back from the Fair on Friday Night; I think I did not.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. Green. [Which was done. Mr. Sol. Gen. Did you know Mr. Ireland?

Mr. Green. Yes, my Lord, I did.

Mr. Sol. Gen. When did you see him in 78?

Mr. Green. The first time was Etching-hill Race the 27th of August.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What other Days do you remember?

Mr. Green. Thursday the 29th I saw him; I belong to Sir James Simmons, and Mr. Ireland came home with him, and some other Gentlemen, to Mr. Heveningham's at Aston, and was a setting with him on Friday the 30th in the Asternoon. And Saturday the 31st he was a hunting with Mr. Gerrard. Nay, more than that, I saw him drawn on the Sledge, but not executed; and that was the same Man that was there at that time.

Oates. What Religion is this Gentleman of? Mr. Green. I was ever a Roman Catholick.

L. C. J. Pray, were they a fishing that Day? Mr. Green. In the Morning he was, and they got a great Pike; I saw the Pike, and did eat part of

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. Fallas. [Which was done. Mr. Sol. Gen. When did you see Mr. Ireland, Sir?

Fallas. Upon the Thursday after Sir Henry Gough's Race, which was Thursday the 29th of August, Mr. Ireland came to Mr. Heveningham's, and I look'd to his Horse there. Upon Friday Morning they went a fishing, and got a great Pike; and I did see them go out a setting after Dinner, he and Mr. Green, with my Lady and the Keeper; and I saw him come in again: and I saw him drink a Glass of Table-Beer in the Hall. Upon Saturday Morning, which was the 31st of August, they went a hunting in Mr. Gerrard's Park, and kill'd a Buck; and afterwards my Master ask'd him to go home with him again, but he would not; for he said he must go to Mr. Lowe's at Four, and we parted at Three. And I came here, and faw him executed; but while he was at my Master's House, his Horse was never out of the Stable, but I brought it to him.

Mr. At. Gen. When did you see him executed? Falles. It was the 24th of January afterwards, I think.

Oates. What Religion are you of?

Fallas. I am for the Church of England, a Protestant; I always was so.

Oates. Pray, my Lord, ask him when he was acquainted with Mr. Ireland?

Fallas. The first time was the 29th of August, when he came to my Master's House.

Oates. That does not come to my time, my Lord.

Mr. At. Gen. But it comes very close to it; it reaches to the 31st of August.

L. C. J. It is within a Day of you, upon my word. Mr. Sol. Gen. And I'll tell your Lordship what is yet more considerable; this Place is an hundred and twenty Miles from London: but we shall not leave him there.

Octes. I desire to know whose Servant he is?

Fallas. I am a Servant to Mr. Heveningham, and I serve the King; I come to testify the Truth. I was here before, but then we must not be heard;

all the Cry was against us, that we were Rogues, and must be knock'd on the head.

L. C. J. Were you here at any of the Trials? Fallas. I was at the Council-Table, and there I was in great danger of being knock'd on the head.

Qates. Would they have knock'd him on the

head at the Council-Table, does he say?

Fellas. I have been in the Room by the Council-Table, where they have cried, knock them down, hang them Rogues; do they come to be Witnesses against the Saviour of the World? And they came about me there, and asked me if I knew any of them, or was of their Company? And I was glad to fay I came thither about a Suit of Law I had with a Brother of mine; and then they pitied me.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Now we have brought him, my Lord, every Night where he lodged from the 3d

of August to the 31st.

Mr. At. Gen. And then we shall prove that he went to Mr. Gerrard's at Hildersham that they speak of; there he was the 31st and 32d----

L. C. J. How, Mr. Attorney, the 32d! I doubt you will hardly be able to tell us where he was then.

Mr. At. Gen. The 1st of September I mean, my Lord.

 $L. C. \mathcal{I}$ . Ay, we understand you very well. Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. John Prostor.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. When did you fee Mr. Ireland in 78?

Proctor. I saw him the 31st of August 78.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Where? Proctor. At Fulford.

Mr. Sol. Gen. At whose House?

Proctor. At Mr. Lowe's.

Mr. Sol. Gen. That was the Place the other Witness said Ireland told him he was to be at four of the Clock, and therefore went away at three.

Mr. At. Gen. When did you see him afterwards? Proctor. I saw him the next day, the 1st of September.

Mr. At. Gen. Where?

Proctor. At Esquire Gerrard's.

Mr. At. Gen. How far is that from Mr. Lowe's? Prostor. It is a Mile and an half.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Did you fee him afterwards?

Prostor. Not there, I did not.

Mr. At. Gen. What Religion are you of?

Pressor. I am a Protestant.

L. C.  $\mathcal{J}$ . What did you hear became of that Ireland afterwards?

Prostor. I saw him executed at Tyburn afterwards, and it was the same Man.

L. C. J. Where did you live then ?

Prostor. I lived at Fulford then.

L. C. J. What, with Mr. Lowe?

Proctor. Yes, my Lord.

Oates. It seems he was a Servant to a Papist.

L. C. J. What then, what of that?

Oates. My Lord, I desire you would ask him, whether he ever saw Ireland before the 31st of August which he speaks of?

Proctor. No, I did not

L. C. J. But you are sure it was the same Man you faw executed?

Proctor. Yes, I am sure of it.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What Circumstance can you tell

Proctor. I went to Dinner thither that Day: I rode before Madam Brooke.

L. C. J. What Day of the Week was that 1st of September?

Proctor. It was upon a Sunday, my Lord.

Mr. At. Gen. Was that about Pancrass Fairtime?

Proctor. Yes, my Lord, it was.

Mr. Sol. Gen. He can tell you another Circumstance how he comes to remember him at Mr. Lowe's the 31st of August.

L. C. J. Ay, by what Token do you remem-

ber that he was there the 31st of August?

Proctor. I went that day to . . . pay some Money, and came home again in the Afternoon; and just as I came in, he came thither.

Oates. How does he say he knows him to be the fame Man?

L. C. J. He has told you twice, he saw him executed.

Oales. What Religion is he of, does he say ?

L. C. J. He is a Protestant.

Mr. At. Gen. Then swear Mr. John King.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, do you acquaint the Court and the Jury where you saw Mr. Ireland, and when.

Mr. King. I saw Mr. Ireland at Mr. Gerrard's of Hildersham the 1st of September, that Year that the Plot broke out, which was in the Year 78. There I saw him on Sunday; and there he staid all Night, and so did I; and on Monday Morning I went to Mrs. Crompton's where I liv'd, and he came thither to Millage as soon as I, which is within a Mile of Hildersham, and dined there the fame day.

L. C. J. How far is that from London, pray you?

Mr. King. An hundred and ten Miles.

L. C. J. And he was there at Dinner, you say? Mr. King. Yes, he was.

L. C. J. How long was it before Dinner was done ?

Mr. King. I believe he might go thence about two of the Clock.

L. C. J. Where did he say he designed to go then?

Mr. King. He designed to go to Boscobel that Night.

L. C. J. Do you remember any body else was at Mr. Gerrard's then when you saw him?

Mr. King. Yes, Mr. Jerman Drayton was at Hildersham at that time.

Oates. Pray, what Religion is this Gentleman of?

Mr. King. I am a Roman Catholick, Mr. Oates. Mr. At. Gen. Pray swear Mr. Francis Lee.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, tell my Lord and the Jury where you saw Mr. Ireland, and when.

Lee. I was a Servant to Mr. Gerrard, and Mr. Ireland upon Saturday Night came to my Master's House, and staid all Night and all Day on Sunday, and went away on Monday to Millage.

L. C. J. What time and what Year was it?

Lee. It was in 78; but I am not certain of the Day of the Month: It was on a Saturday he came, and I have Witnesses to prove I was there at that time.

L. C. J. What Month was it?

Lee. It was in August, as I think, the last Day; that he was the 1st of September at Mr. Gerrard's? but I am not certain. And he went on Monday to Millage, and there dined at Mrs. Crompton's; and from thence he went to Pancrass, and thence he did go to Boscobel, and staid all Night there.

Mr. At. Gen. That was the 2d of September.

L. C. J. How do you know he went to these. Places?

Lee. I was told so.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know how long this Man was acquainted with Ireland before?

Lee. I never saw him but at that time.

Oates. How does he know it is the same Man then?

Mr. King. Here is a Man that saw me with him, and was present at Ireland's Execution.

L. C. J. Who is that, Prostor?

Mr. King. Yes. my Lord.

L. C. J. Then call Prostor again. [Who came in again.] Who went along with you to Dinner on Monday?

Lee. My Lord, he did see me at Hildersham at Mr. Gerrard's.

L. C. J. What say you, Prostor, was this Gentleman there at that time?

Proctor. Yes, my Lord, I did see him there.

I. C. J. Was that the same Man that was executed, which you saw at Mr. Gerrard's.

Proctor. Yes, it was.

L. C.J. Did you dine with Mr. Ireland on Mon-

day at Mrs. Crompton's?

Lee. Yes, my Lord, I did, and went afterwards with him to Pancrass, and staid there two Hours, and then he went to Boscobel.

Mr. Sol Gen. There are the two Days gone which Mr. Oates swore to, and in which he was so positive that it was either the 1st or 2d of September.

Mr. At. Gen. Then swear Mr. Biddolph.

[Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, Sir, when did you see Mr.

Ireland, and where?

Mr. Biddolph. My Lord, I was in Staffordshire the latter end of August and the beginning of September, and I was at Mrs. Crompton's House, which is my Aunt, the 2d of September being Monday, where I saw Mr. Ireland, and dined with him that day; and after Dinner he went with Mr. Gerrard's Son, who was to go to School to Boscobel. And I will give your Lordship a particular Circumstance that may fatisfy you that I am not mistaken in the time: As I came thorough Northamptonshire that Summer, I was at my Lord Cullen's, and he defired me to be at a Horse-Race at Newton-Slade, where there is a Plate always run for upon the first Thursday in September, and that Year it was the 5th of September. Now when I dined at my Aunt Crompton's, the would have had me staid all Night at her House, but I excused my self, and told her I had promifed to come to my Lord Cullen's to be at the Race, and I must needs be there before, to be as good as my Word to my Lord; and therefore I went away to Pancrass, and so on my Journey, otherwise I had staid with my Aunt all Night: And I am fure this was Monday before this Horse-Race.

Oates. My Lord, this Gentleman speaks to no Year particularly.

Mr. Biddolph. It was in 1678, the Year before Mr. Oates's Plot broke out.

Mr. At. Gen. Now we will call Mrs. Crompton: Swear her. [Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, when did you see Mr. Ireland, Mrs. Crompton?

Mrs. Crompton. He was at my House at Millage in Staffordshire at Dinner, the same Day that my Nephew Biddolph did dine there: As for the Day of the Month, I cannot so well tell it, because when my Friends dine with me, I don't use to note down the Day particularly; but it was, I remember, a pretty remarkable time, for it was about Pancrass-Fair. Mr. Gerrard of Hildersham came with him, and Mr. Ireland being a Stranger, I asked who he was, and they told me it was Mr. Ireland: He never was at my House before nor after; he was a meer Stranger to me.

L. C. J. What Day was it, fay you?

Mrs. Crompton. It was the same Day my Nephew Biddolph dined there.

L. C. J. Was it Monday the 2d of September, do you remember?

Mr. At. Gen. He says he was at her House at Millage that Day, and she says it was the same Day he dined there.

Mrs. Crompton. But I never note down the particular Days when my Friends dine with me, except I had particular occasion to do it, as I had none at this time.

Oates. My Lord, I desire to know what Religion this old Gentlewoman is of?

Mrs. Crompton. I am a Roman Catholick, my Lord.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mrs. Palmer.

[Which was done.

Mr. Scl. Gen. Mrs. Palmer, where did you see Mr. Ireland?

Mr. Palmer. I was at Dinner at Mrs. Crompton's at Millage, where there was a Gentleman called Mr. Ireland that did dine there.

L. C. J. When was it?

Mr. Palmer. I cannot tell truly what Day it was.

L. C. J. Who was there besides you at Dinner that Day?

Mrs. Palmer. 'Tis so long since, I cannot remember.

L. C. J. Was Mr. Biddolph there?

Mrs. Palmer. Not that I remember, my Lord. L. C. J. What do you bring her for, Mr. Attorney?

Mr. At. Gen. She dined there that Day it seems; but if she cannot remember it, I cannot help it.

L. C. J. But these kind of Witnesses do but slack your Proof.

Mr. Sol. Gen. My Lord, I think we do over-do our Business indeed.

Mr. At. Gen. We have one more Witness to this Point, and that is Mrs. Mary Holmes; swear her. [Which was done.] Where did you see Mr. Ireland in the Year 78?

Mrs. Holmes. I saw him at Millage, my Lord.

L. C. J. When ?

Mrs. Holmes. The first, or the beginning of September, I am sure.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What Day of the Week was it? Mrs. Holmes. Monday.

L. C. J. You have Proof enough of this, Mr. Attorney-General.

Mr. At. Gen. Truly, I think so, my Lord.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray swear Mrs. Esther Gifford. [Which was done.] Mrs. Gifford, when did you see Mr. Ireland?

Mrs. Gifford. Upon the 2d of September 78, I faw Mr. Ireland, above a hundred Miles from this Place.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Where?

Mrs. Gifford. At Pancrass.

Mr. Sol. Gen. What time of the Day was it? Mrs. Gifford. In the Afternoon about four or five a-clock.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Did he stay there all Night? Mrs. Gifford. I cannot tell indeed.

Mr. At. Gen. Swear Mr. Pendrel.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Now, my Lord, we are come to the 2d of September in the Evening; we shall prove where he was that Night and the 3d, and then we shall return to the 4th of September, when Mrs. Harwell swears he came back to Wolverhampton.

Mr. Hanses. When did you see Mr. Ireland, Mr.

Pendrel?

Mr. Pendrel. I saw him upon the 2d of September. Mr. At. Gen. Where did you fee him?

Mr. Pendrel. At Boscobel at my House, there he lodg'd with me that Night.

Mr. Sol. Gen. In what Year was it?

Mr. Pendrel. In the Year 1678.

Mr. At. Gen. Was it the September before the Plot, and before Mr. Ireland was executed?

Mr. Pendrel. Yes, my Lord, it was. L. C. J. Did you know him before?

Mr. Pendrel. No, I did never see him before, as I know of.

L. C. 7. How long did he stay there?

Mr. Pendrel. He staid that Night and the next Day all day, and went away the 4th of September to Black-Ladies.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Whose House is that? Mr. Pendrel. It is Mr. John Gifford's.

L. C. J. You say you live at Boscobel; did you hear any thing of a Letter came to your House for

Mr. Ireland? Mr. Pendrel. Not that I know of, my Lord, there was not any that I remember.

Mr. At. Gen. Then swear Mrs. Pendrel.

Which was done.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Pray, Mistress, will you give an account where you faw Mr. Ireland.

Mrs. Pendrel. I saw him at Boscobel, at the Royal Oak; he came there the 2d Day of September.

Mr. Sol. Gen. Can you tell what Year it was? Mrs. Pendrel. It was the first beginning of the Plot.

Mr. Sol. Gen. How long did he stay there?

Mrs. Pendrel. He staid Tuesday, and Wednesday he went to Black-Ladies, and so away to Wolverbampton.

Mr. Sol. Gen. We have but one Witness more, my Lord, for Wednesday the 4th of September, and then we have done. Swear Mr. Charles Gifford. [Which was done.] When did you see Mr. Ireland?

Mr. C. Gifford. May it please your Lordship, I faw Mr. Ireland at my Father's House at Black-Laaies-

Octes. My Lord, I would ask Mrs. Pendrel a Question before she goes: Whether she was not a Witness at the five Jesuits Trial?

Mrs. Pendrel. I was in Court, but they would not suffer me to swear.

Oates. Did she not give Evidence there?

L. C. J. She was examin'd, but she could not be Iworn, because she came to testify against the King in a Capital Cause.

Mr. At. Gen. Pray, what say you, Mr. Charles Gifford?

Mr. C. Gifford. My Lord, I say I saw Mr. Ireland at my Father's House at Black-Ladies, and he staid there an hour and an half, and went through they are now; and there is no colour of Evidence Vol. IV.

Chillington, and said he would go to Wolverhampton.

Mr. At. Gen. What Day of the Month was it? Mr. C. Gifford. I am morally certain, it was the 4th of September, and so he went to Welverhampton, as I am informed. He came to our House to fee a Lady that was there.

L. C. J. What Lady was it?

Mr. C. Gifford. It was one Madam Wells.

Mr. At. Gen. Thus, my Lord, we have lodged him the 4th of September at Wolverhampton, when Mrs. Harwell fays, he return'd to her; and so, my Lord, we have given an Account where he was from the 3d of August to the 14th of September.

Mr. Sol. Gen. We have prov'd where he was every Day in that time, but only the 8th of September, and for that we must leave it to the Jury. We have proved that he came away from Mrs. Harwell's the 7th, and he said he was to go to Tixhall; and the 9th he did go from Tixhall in Sir John Southcoat's Company, and came to London the 14th.

L. C. J. Well, what fay you to it, Mr. Oates? Oates. Has Mr. Attorney done his Evidence, my Lord?

Mr. At. Gen. We shall call no more Witnesses, unless you go about to support your own Credit, and then we have other Witnesses to call.

Oates. I do not value any Witnesses you can bring against my Credit.

Mr. At. Gen. Well, for the present, we do not delign to call any more Witnesses.

L. C. J. Then let us hear what you fay to it.

Oates. My Lord, here is an Indictment exhibited against me, which sets forth, that I should swear at Mr. Ireland's Trial, that Mr. Ircland was in Town the 1st and 2d of September; and it sets forth; that in truth he was not in Town: And likewise it lets forth, that I swore at the Trial of the five Jefuits, that Mr. Ireland took his leave of me and cthers here in Town at his Lodging in Ruffel-street, between the 8th and 12th of August: whereas the Perjury there assigned, is this, That he did not take his Leave of me, or any other Person, betwixt the 8th and 12th of August, at his Lodging in Ruffel-street. Now, my Lord, I was not the only Witness of Mr. Ireland's being in Town in the Month of August, nor the only Witness of his being in Town about that time in September. My Lord; my Profecutors have endeavoured to maintain this . Charge against me thus: The first thing they have . gone upon, as far as I can remember in the Indictment, is as to the 1st or 2d of September, or rather, they have endeavoured to prove both under one. Now in answer to all this Evidence, my Lord, the first thing I shall offer to your Lordship's Confideration, and the Confideration of the Jury, is the great Hardship I lie under, after six Years time, to be put to disprove what the Counsel here have now offered against me. My Lord, I observe first, that this Indictment, which is now upon Trial, is founded upon the Trial of *Ireland*, which was the 17th of December 1678, at the Old-Bailey, and it is now fix Years after that Evidence of mine was delivered. A second thing is, that the Indictment is found by special Commission, as appears by the Indictment itself. Now I must recommend to the Consideration of your Lordship and this Jury, why the Prosecution of this pretended Perjury has been delay'd so long, when it appears by the Evidence which has been produced, that the Witnesses to prove it were known fix Years fince, as much as

offered,

offered, that any new Fact has been lately discovered which was not then known. There is no Reason, my Lord, that is assigned or can be assigned for this Delay, but only that the Hardship might be the greater upon me: For now it is difficult, if not impossible for me to maintain the Evidence I then gave, so many Persons being since dead, or gone beyond the Seas, and many things that were fresh then, are now grown out of Memory; and I beg your Favour to insist upon this, and I think it cannot be denied me. If such a Practice as this be admitted, no Witness is safe in giving his Testimony against any Conspirators whatsoever. For, my Lord, I must desire leave to offer another thing to your Consideration, before I speak to the particular Evidence, and that is this; That here is nothing but a bare Point of Time upon which this Perjury is assigned; when the Substance of the Testimony that I gave at the Trials of Mr. Ireland and the rest about the Popish Plot, is not assigned as any Perjury at all; it is only a Circumstance of Time and Place. And, my Lord, I shall offer this to your further Consideration, that Ireland was convicted for a treasonable Resolution to murder the late King, and not for being in Town in August or September 78, or elsewhere; and he was not convicted for his being in his Chamber in Russel-street, and taking his leave there in August, which is one matter of the Perjury now assigned. Next, my Lord, 'tis hard and unreasonable to tie up Witnesses that come to discover Plots and Conspiracies, to speak positively as to Circumstance of Time and Place, and every little Punctilio in their Evidence, to bind them up to such Niceties in the delivery of their Testimonies, as to Time and Place. It is usual to speak with latitude, as to fuch kind of things, and tis probably my Evidence which is now in question, was not that Ireland was the 1st or 2d of September positively here in Town; but, my Lord, I did (I believe) give myself a latitude, and would not confine myfelf to either the 1st or 2d, 5th, 6th, 7th, or 8th; but, my Lord, that he was in September there, I am politive. I must therefore beg your Lordship's and the Court's Opinion, whether that Mr. Foster did prove that I was positive and precise to the Days of the 1st and 2d of September 78?

L. C. J. In my Opinion it is a plain Evidence that you did swear positively to those two Days; and you spoke to a Circumstance very material in your Evidence, that either the 1st or 2d of September you had twenty Shillings of him in Town here: Now that Circumstance of his Kindness to you, was not a Circumstance either of Time or

Place.

Oates. Then, my Lord, I shall begin with my Proofs: but, my Lord, I desire I may have free Liberty to mind my Business; for here is a Gentleman behind me that will not let me be at quiet, but is either meddling with me or my Papers.

L. C. J. Who is it? Let me know him, and I will take care to make him quiet, or fet him farther off. You, Gentlemen that are at the Bar should know better, one would think: He must have liberty to make his own Defence; and if he complains and lets me know who meddles with him, they had better do somewhat else.

Oates. My Lord, the first thing that I offer, is, that Ireland was convicted of Treason, and for that

you have the Record.

L. C. J. It is allowed of all sides, that Ireland was convicted and attainted of Treason.

which, as near as I can remember, Mr. Ireland made at his Trial, was an Endeavour to prove that he was not in Town between the 8th and 12th of August, nor the 1st and 2d Days of September, which are the Points now in Issue; and he then produced one Mr. Gifford, and his Sister and Mother; and sisteen or sixteen Witnesses were produced at Mr. Whitebread's Trial, of which there is another Record, that being fix Months after Mr. Ireland was tried: There Mr. Whitebread produces several Witnesses, Mr. Gifford, I say, and several others, I think to the number of sixteen, which were to prove Ireland's being out of Town in these times that these Witnesses speak of. Now, my Lord, all those several Witnesses being produced to prove Ireland then out of Town, the very Point that is now in Issue, was then so; and notwithstanding all those Witnesses, the Jurors who tried Mr. Ireland, and the Jurors who tried Mr. Whitebread and the other four Jesuits, found them guilty; tho' they endeavour'd with all their force imaginable to oppose my Testimony, and it was left to the Consideration of the Jury what their Witnesses had said. If your Lordship pleases to remember, my Lord Chief Justice Scroggs did observe to the Jury, that the Evidence of the Prisoner at the Bar did consist only in Punctilio's of Time; which, as near as I can remember what he faid, he called a Nicety of Time; and in Whitebread's Trial he was pleased to call it catching in Point of Time. And it was observ'd at Ireland's Trial, that his Desence was so weak, that my Lord Chief Justice Scroggs, upon the Integrity of Bedloe's Evidence and mine, uses these Words to the Jury, (tho' he then endeavour'd to do what these Witnesses do now:) It is most plain the Plot is discovered, and that by these Men, and that it is a Plot, and a villanous one. Which shews not only the Fullness and Satisfactoriness of our Proof that we gave, but does also take notice of the little Shifts and catching Tricks he made use of to prove himself out of Town; which would not weigh with the Court. Therefore I observe, it is but the same Objection that then was made, and is not a new one now. And my Lord Chief Justice that then was, speaking of that Proof he brought (which the Court heard with patience, but could easily see thro' the Vanity of it) said, What Arts they have of evading this, I know not; for as they have turn'd their Learning into Subtilty, so they have their Religion too. All this is well remembered by those that were by, and heard that Trial: And tho' Ireland laboured to disprove me in that Circumstance of Time, yet still the Court did justify the Evidence that Mr. Bedloe and myself had given. And the same Chief Justice said, I leave it to you to consider, whether you have not as much Evidence from these two Men as can be expected in a Case of this nature; and whether Mr. Oates be not rather justified by the Testimony offer'd against him than discredited. This was after his Plea was debated and confidered: and I must surther observe to your Lordship, that tho' Mr. Ireland by his false Witnesses pressed this matter as far as he could then, and urged the Court to believe it; yet when the Jury brought in their Verdict and found him guilty, the Chief Justice expressed the Satisfaction of the Court in these Words: You have done, Gentlemen, like very good Subjects and very good Christians; that is to fay, like very good Protestants: and now much good may their 30000 Masses do them. This, my Oates. Then, my Lord, the principal Defence Lord, was the Case of Mr. Ireland, at his Trial;

and

and the same Point of his being out of Town was again managed before a fecond Jury at the Trial of the five Jesuits; but the Court saw the Design of the Popish Party to blemish the Evidence given of the Plot: but yet they look'd upon it only as a Trick. And my Lord Chief Justice Scroggs, in summing up the Evidence at that Trial, says, They fall foul upon Mr. Oates, meaning myself; he appears to have been their Agent, and whilst so, bad enough: But if he had not had a mind to become a good Man, he would not have done us that good he has done, in the Discovery of the Design they had engaged him in. And if your Lordship please to remember, that at that Trial of the five Jesuits, when all Arts were used to prove Ireland out of Town, and me at St. Omers, in the same Charge to the Jury, my Lord Scroggs did take notice that the Jesuits were very exact at catching in a Point of Time; but now fays, that is a thing that no Man can precisely charge his Memory withal; and therefore he does there persuade the Jury, that that should not be too strictly the Measure of their Judgments about Truth and Falshood, by the Mistake of seven or eight Days: For, said he to the Jury, examine your felves how often every day you do mistake things that have been transacted half a Year ago, and err in point of Time, taking one Week for another, and one Month for another; and therefore you are not to lay too greata Weight upon the Point of Time. Now, if too great Weight, my Lord, be not to be laid upon a point of Time, then this Charge that is now brought against me is not of weight at all. And besides, my Lord, this ought to be confidered, if this were the great Objection then, and then answered, it ceases to be an Objection now. And, as the Court did observe at the summing up of the Evidence, that they made their Defence about the Uncertainty of a Point of Time, which was no Defence at all; so that, my Lord, the whole Course of my Plea is this: 'Tis a hard case for a Man, after six Years, to be indicted for a Circumstance of Time. I will first offer the Testimony of one Witness that is dead, and that is Mr. Bedloe, who at his Death confirmed all that he had sworn of the Popish Plot to be true, and affirmed that he had rather spoken less than the Truth, than more; and for that I desire that my Lord Keeper may be called, who took his dying

Examination.

L. C. J. As for that, Mr. Oates, it is a thing very well known to the World, and particularly to a worthy Gentleman that fits by you, [Pointing to Mr. North] that Mr. Bedloe, when he was fick, did make some such Protestation.

Oates. Then, my Lord, I desire that Mr. Blaney may be sworn, to give an account what Mr. Bedloe testified at Ireland's Trial.

## Then Mr. Blaney was sworn.

Oales. Mr. Blaney, pray will you see in your Notes of Ireland's Trial, whether Mr. Bedloe did not swear that Mr. Ireland was in Town, the latter end of August 78, or the beginning of September?

Mr. Blaney. Whereabouts in the Trial is it, Sir?

Oales. It is in the printed Trial, folio 41.

Mr. Blancy. My Lord, I do find these Words in my Notes, that Mr. Bedloe should say, about the latter end of August, or the beginning of Vol. IV.

September, but I believe it was the latter end of August, Mr. Ireland was at Harcourt's Chamber, and being asked the Question by my Lord Chief Justice Scroggs, Whether he said positively, it was the latter end of August; he said it was in August, he would not be positive to a Day, but he thought the latter end.

L. C. J. 'Tis very well known too, that Bedloe did swear Ireland was in Town the latter end of August, or beginning of September; and to confirm it upon Mr. Ireland's denial, you swore he was in Town the 1st or 2d of September; and gave you twenty Shillings, that was the Occasion of your Oath.

Oates. Then, my Lord, I desire to call some Witnesses to testify to the Deposition that was taken of Mr. Jennison, about Ireland's being then in Town.

L. C. J. When was that Deposition taken, and before whom?

Oates. It was taken in the Year 79, by Sir Edmund Warcup.

L. C. J. But we cannot admit that in Evidence, unless the King's Counsel will consent.

Oates. My Lord, I will then produce what he swore at another Trial.

L. C. J. Why, where is he, is he dead?

Oates. My Lord, it has cost a great deal of Money to search him out; but I cannot any where meet with him, and that makes my Case so much the harder; that I cannot, when I have done all that Man can do, to get my Witnesses together. I sent in the depth of Winter for him, when I thought my Trial would have come on before; but I could never hear of him.

 $L. C. \mathcal{I}$ . We cannot help that.

Oates. Will what he said at any other Trial be Evidence here?

L. C. J. Look you, though in strictness, unless the Party be dead, we do not use to admit of any such Evidence; yet if you can prove any thing he swore at any other Trial, we will indulge you so far.

Oates. Have you the Record of Sir George Wake-man's Trial there, Sir Samuel Astry?

Mr. At. Gen. Here it is; if Mr. Oates have any use for it, we will lend it to him.

L. C. J. Put it in; and now prove what you can, what Jennison swore at that Trial.

Oates. Mr. Blaney, have you your Notes of Sir George Wakeman's Trial here?

Mr. Blaney. No, Sir, the Ticket of your Subpana did only mention Ireland, Whitebread, and Langborn's Trials. But I did formerly upon another Occasion look for the Trial of Sir George Wakeman, but could not find it among all my Notes.

Oates. Sir, can you remember then what Jennison swore at that Trial, about Ireland's being here in Town?

Mr. Blaney. Truly, my Lord, I can never trust my Memory at all when I take Notes; and it is now so long since that Trial, that I dare not undertake to say I remember any Particulars of it.

L. C. J. He says it is so long since, that he cannot remember any thing. But there is Sir Edmund Warcup now; is he sworn?

Cryer. Yes, my Lord.

L. C. J. What do you ask him then?

Oates. I would desire to examine Sir Edmund Warcup, my Lord, about the Deposition of Jennifon that he took.

N 2 L, C. J.

L. C. J. But we tell you that cannot be admitted as Evidence, without they will consent to it on the other side.

Oates. My Lord, he proves Mr. Ireland here the 19th of August, and that contradicts these Witnesses.

L. C. J. If they will admit it, well and good; else we cannot do it.

Mr. At. Gen. My Lord, we will be so fair with Mr. Oates, if he will admit Mr. Jennison's Letter, that is under his hand, wherein he does own that he was mistaken in his whole Evidence about that matter, we will consent his Deposition be read.

Mr. Just. Withins. If he will not consent to that, there's no reason you should consent to the other,

Mr. Attorney.

L. C.J. Look you, Mr. Oales, Mr. Attorney makes you this Offer; you desire to have Mr. Jennison's Depositions read, which cannot be done without their Consent; they tell you they will consent, if you will let them prove what he has own'd since about that Business.

Oates. My Lord, I will consent with all my heart; let them read any Letter under his hand.

L. C. J. Well, do it on both sides by consent; and now, Mr. Oates, do you produce his Examination.

Oates. Pray, Sir Edmund Warcup, will you please to give the Court an account, what Deposition Mr. Jennison made before you, about Ireland's being in Town in August 78?

Sir Edmund Warcup. If my Lord commands me,

I will.

L. C. J. Ay, you must answer his Question.

Sir Edmund Warcup. This Mr. Jennison did make one Information before me, and according to the Duty that was incumbent upon me, I deliver'd it in to the King and Council, and there it has lain ever since; for me to remember the Particulars of it, is impossible.

L. C. J. Have you his Examination here, Mr.

Oates? If you have, shew it him.

Sir Edmund Warcup. If I see my Hand to it, attesting it was sworn before me, I can say somewhat to it.

Oates. My Lord, he says he deliver'd it in to the Council; but it is printed.

L. C. J. That is no Evidence, Man.

Sir Edmund Warcup. I can fay nothing to it, unless I saw it under my own hand.

Oates. That is impossible for me to have, my Lord.

L. C. J. I cannot tell how to help it.

Sir Edmund Warcup. You have no more to fay to me, Sir, have you?

Oates. No, Sir, I have not. Pray call Sarah Batten,

Mr. A.: Gen. Is that the fame that was Sarah Paine?

Oales. I think so, Sir; I am not sure it is she, till she come hither.

Cryer. Here is Sarah Batten.

Octes. Pray swear her, and call Sir Thomas Doleman. [Which was done.] But Sir Thomas Doleman did not appear.

Oales. Pray was not your Name Sarah Paine? Batten. My Lord, I desire my Charges before I lpeak.

L. C. J. What is your Name, good Woman? Batten. My Name is Sarah Batty.

Oates. Was not your Name Sarah Paine, once? भाषाचेड.

Oates. Was not you a Witness at the Trial of Mr. Ireland.

Batty. No, Sir.

Oates. Did not you live with my Lord Arling-

Batty. No, Sir.

Oates. Then I have been at all this Charge for nothing. Are you fure your Name was not Sarah Paine?

Batty. No, indeed, Sir.

Oates. They told me that she liv'd at Uxbridge, and thither I fent.

Batty. I do live at Uxbridge, Sir.

Oates. Upon your Oath, were you a Witness at any of the Trials, or no?

Batty. No, my Lord, I was not.

Oates. Then I have nothing to say to her.

L. C. J. What other Witnesses have you to call? Oates. We have laboured all we could to find her out, and searched for her with all the care imaginable; and here we thought we had had her, but it feems it is not she.

L. C. J. We cannot help it.

Batty. I hope, my Lord, you will confider my Charges in coming up hither.

Oates. I will take care of thee, Sweet-heart.

L. C. J. Who do you call next?

Oates. Pray call Mr. Charles Clare.

Cryer. He is not here.

Oates. Pray call Mr. Percival. My Lord, I defire Mr. Blaney may give an account what she fwore about Ireland's being in Town, seeing I cannot have her here.

L. C. J. That we cannot do neither, without Mr. Attorney will consent: For this, as well as the other, is an extraordinary thing.

Oates. You see by my bringing this Witness, my Lord, that I have done what I could to find her out.

L. C. J. What say you, Mr. Attorney, will you confent?

Mr. At. Gen. No, my Lord, it is so irregular, that we cannot confent to it.

Oates. Pray call Mr. Percival and Mr. Vaughan. Cryer. They do neither of them appear.

Oates. Then I must lose the benefit of her Testimony.

L. C. J. Have you done?

Oates. No, my Lord, I will have done immediately.

L. C. J. Take your own Time.

Oates. I desire Sir Michael Wharton may be call'd.

Cryer. He is not here.

Oates. Pray call Mr. Charles Chetwind.

Cryer. He is not here.

Oates. Pray call Mr. Robert Bowes.

Cryer. He is not here.

Oates. Pray call Mr. John Savile.

Cryer. He is not here.

Oates. My Lord, I have served all these with Subpana's; and if they will not come, I cannot help

L. C. J. Nor we neither.

Oates. Mr. Jennison was used as a Witness in the Trial of Sir George Wakeman, and fo was Mr. Bowes, and Mr. Burnet, who was produced to prove the Circumstance of Mr. Jennison's Evidence: But, my Lord, fince I cannot have the benefit of his Evidence, nor of Sarah Paine's, I must only sum up all I have to fay in two or three Words. My Batty. No, my Maiden-Name was Sarah Ed- Lord, besides that what I did deliver in Evidence at

those

those Trials, I gave in upon Oath; you have Mr. Bedloe's Evidence at the Trial of Ireland, testified by Mr. Blaney: and the Testimony of him as a dying Man, given in to, my now Lord Keeper, wherein he averr'd, that what he had spoken of the Plot, was all true. And you hear that he swore, Mr. Ireland was here in Town in August, and so did Sarah Paine too; and I think upon myself as very hardly used, to have such a part of my Testimony brought in question, after Witnesses are dead, or gone out of the way. As for Mr. Jennison, his Evidence was formerly made use of; and his Evidence was approv'd of too, as is well known to those that sat Judges upon the late Viscount Stafford. But this is that, my Lord, I must needs say for myself, that as I hope to see the Day of Salvation in another World, whatever I have fworn about Mr. Ireland's being here in Town betwixt the eighth and twelfth of August 78, and in the beginning of September, is true, as I shall answer it before God another day. And, my Lord, as to the Evidence this day brought against me, I desire you would but observe, though that there are many of those Witnesses, yet a great part of them do not come up to the eighth or twelfth of August: And I hope your Lordship will remember, and remark to the Jury, what little credit those of them had that came to testify in the behalf of Mr. Ireland at his Trial, and at the five Jesuits Trials, of which there are two Records before you; and they do first justify this, that Ireland and the rest of them were guilty of what they were accused of; and then, that these Witnesses did not testify the Truth.

Besides these two Trials, my Lord, you have a third Verdict, and that is at the Trial of Mr. Langborn: Now the whole Popish Plot almost was laid forth in those three Trials; and, my Lord, I believe verily I am the first Precedent in all England, of any one's being indicted for Perjury, that was a Witness for the King in such a case as this, after six Years time elapsed; after Verdict upon Verdict, and Judgment and Execution upon these Verdicts; and when no new Objection is now offer'd, but what was then started; and no new Circumstance occurs now, but was as forcible then, except it be the Change of the Season. And I desire it may be confider'd, when all the Judges of England were Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer at those Trials, these Matters were fully discussed and debated; and then they did look upon all the Objections as fully answer'd and consuted.

My Lord, I am confident if I had been a Witness in any other Cause, than of a Conspiracy of the Papists, I might have had fairer quarter than I have now: And I do verily believe, that at this rate, it is more safe for Papists to be Traitors, than for any Protestant to discover a Popish Plot.

My Lord, and Gentlemen of the Jury, I befeech you to take my Case in your serious Consideration, as to the Hardship of it; and since all my Witnesses are in Places unknown, or they are such as, considering the Times, dare not appear, some of them being Lawyers, and under fear they shall fare the worse in their Practice; and others being Persons that depend upon the Law, and think they shall be look'd ill upon, as I am now look'd upon with a hard Eye by the Popish Party and their Adherents: yet I hope, you that are sworn to do Justice, will not let me be ruined by a number of salse Witness, by the Evidence of Papists that are Parties. For if your Lordship please to consider that Vote of the Lords House, which is a Court of

Record, wherein they do declare, that they are fully satisfied by the Proofs they have heard, that there then was, and for divers Years last past had been, a Horrid and Treasonable Plot and Conspiracy, contrived and carried on by those of the Popish Religion, for the murdering of his Majesty's Sacred Person, and for subverting the Protestant Religion, and the ancient and establish'd Government of this Kingdom: which Vote of Parliament, my Lord, does make the Papists to be all Parties in this Case; and where they are Parties, I hope they shall not be admitted as Evidence, or at least not be believed; because there is a turn to be serv'd by them against me, and a Revenge they are resolv'd to take upon me: for they have hopes now of bringing in their Religion, and are to welcome that in with my Ruin; and this is the Cause of this Prosecution. Their Eyes do see now what their Hearts so long desired, that is, the Death of a great Man, who died but lately, and against whose Life they had conspired so often, and so long. My Lord, if this had been the sirst Conspiracy that ever the Papists were guilty of, there might have been some more Scruple and Objection in the case: But if you cast your Eyes upon Campian, and others in Queen Elizabeth's Time; of Garnet, and the Powder-Jesuits in King James's Time; and the Designs of the Popish Party in the Time of the late King Charles the First, discovered to the Archbishop of Canterbury: If these things do pass for Truth, and there is no Averment against so many Records as we have of their Conspiracies, then my Discovery is no fuch improbable a thing; and I hope then the Gentlemen of the Jury will take it into their Considerations, who they are that are Witnesses in this Case; Men whose very Religion is Rebellion, and whose Principles and Practices are pernicious to the Government, and thereby they are to be look'd upon as dangerous Persons in Church and State. But, my Lord, if the Letters of Coleman be well consider'd, they will justify me; that he corresponded with le Chaise the French King's Consessor, for the promoting of Popery in England, is notorious to all Mankind, that have either read or heard that Trial: Your Lordship was of Counsel for the King in that case, and heard how it was opened, and plainly proved upon him. And when his Letters have been made so publick, and proved under his own hand, nay, and confessed by himself; I think no Man will doubt but that must be a plain Proof of the Plot, and will be enough to vindicate

My Lord, I have one Word more, and then I have done, and leave it to your Lordship and the Jury. My Lord as they would now fling the Popish Plot upon me, so there is an evident Design to fling the Murder of Godfrey upon a Protestant Pcer; and because an Indictment was, upon the Testimony of the Traitor Fitzbarris, against that noble Lord, they have refolv'd to have profligate Villains to take his Life for that Murder: that is apparent; and so they will go on step by step, if they be let alone; and think at length to wipe their Mouths with Solomon's Whore, and fay, they have done no mischief. My Lord, I leave these things to the Consideration of the Court and Jury; I will not detain you any longer. I have called what Witnesses I could get, but the distance of Time has made it impossible for me to have those here now, that did give Evidence in this matter before.

My Lord, I have one Favour to beg of the Court; I had but a bad Night last Night, and am now in

great pain; I desire that you would grant me one Request, that I may have Counsel assign'd me, to argue the Errors in yesterday's Indictment: My Lord, I am but a poor Man, and cannot be at the Charge of seeing Counsel.

L. C. J. We did assign you Counsel before, you

may have who you will for Counsel.

Oates. Will your Lordship be pleas'd to do me the Favour to let me have some time to prepare and instruct Counsel.

L. C. J. Ay, what Time would you have?

Oates. A Weck's time, if your Lordship please.

L. C. J. Give him till this Day seven-night, there may be no hurt in that.

Oates. Till Monday come seven-night, if your

Lordship please, let me have.

L. C.J. No, no, we cannot do that; we give you a Week's time, which is more than ordinary: for by the Rules of the Court there are but four Days allow'd, and those would be out *Monday* or *Tuesday*.

Oates. I thank your Lordship sor that Time you have given me; but I hope you will be pleas'd to take the Particulars I have mention'd into your Consideration; and I desire I may have liberty to go home, because I am not well.

L. C. J. Ay, you may go, if you will.

Mr. Sol. Gen. May it please your Lordship, and you, Gentlemen of the Jury, the Question that you are to try, is a Perjury, which is charg'd on the Desendant Titus Oates, for swearing that William Ireland was in Town upon the first or second of September 78. And likewise, for swearing, that he took his leave of him at his Chamber in Russel-street, between the eighth and twelsth of August 78. And we do assign the Perjury in both those Points, that he is forsworn in both; and the Evidence of that Perjury is, that it is impossible to be true what Oates did swear, because Mr. Ireland was not in Town between the eighth and twelsth of August, nor the first or second of September.

Gentlemen, you have heard the Evidence that has been given, and there has been no less than between forty and fifty Witnesses produced, to shew that it is really impossible what Oates did swear should be true: He tells you that this Matter is in a Circumstance of Time, wherein it is difficult for a Man to be positive to a Day, and a Man may be allow'd some Latitude in such a Case; nay, it is usual with Witnesses in Points of Time, to swear with a Latitude. But, my Lord, to shew that, if we should grant that false Doctrine to be true (and false most certain it is, and of mischievous consequence it would be, if, when things are charged upon Men, that depend upon Circumstance of Time and Place, the Witnesses should not be strictly kept to those Circumstances) yet, I say, granting that Polition, it is impossible it should do him any service in the Case before you: For between the beginning of August, and the 14th of September (which includes both the Times he swears to, and the Latitude of above twenty Days to boot) there is not any one Moment of Time, wherein his Oath could be true.

Gentlemen, you observe what the Witnesses have said, how they have given you an account of every particular Day of both Months, from the 3d of August, when he first lest London, to the 14th of September, when he return'd back again: We did ask the Witnesses, that it might appear to be plainly true, what they swear, if they had any particular Circumstances that made them to remember it; and

they have given a great many, and now it lies upon us to shew you that it is Demonstration, that what they have said and testified must be true; and that those Days they speak of, he was in the Places they named

For take the Periods of Time, and you will find you have him from the 3d of August to the 16th, with my Lord Aston, going from London to Tixball; upon the 17th they give you an account of him at Mrs. Harwell's to the 26th; from the 26th to the 4th of September, there are others that give you a particular account, by remarkable Circumstances, where he was every day in Staffordshire; from the 4th to the 7th you find him at Wolverbampton. For the 8th indeed we do give no particular account where he was that Day; but we prove that upon the 9th he was at Tixball, and from thence he came away with Sir John Southcoat towards London; and there you have a particular account where he was, every Day till the 14th, when he return'd to his Lodging.

And now, Gentlemen, I shall shew you, that, if you believe these Witnesses saw him in these Months; that certainly it was upon those Days

they speak of, that they did see him.

First, that he went out of Town in August, you have these Witnesses, Anne Ireland, Eleanor Ireland, Mrs Duddle, Mrs. Quino, and my Lord Aften. Well, how come they to remember it was upon the 3d of August? Why the four Women remember it by this particular Remark; three Days before there was a Holiday, which they keep in memory of *Ignatius*; and upon that Day they remember Mr. Ircland went abroad to take a Recreation, and came home again that Night, tho' the rest of the Company slaid there; because he was to go out of Town upon the third Day after, which must be the 3d of August; for Ignatius's Day, you hear, is always the last Day of July. And they do positively swear, that upon the 3d of August, he took his leave of Anne Ireland and Eleanor Ireland. Mrs. Duddle remembers that he went out that Day. Mrs. Quino, whose Husband was his Taylor, says, he did stay a little to have somewhat in his Clothes mended; and she saw him in his Boots, and he faid he was to go out of Town. Mrs Anne Ireland fays, they took the liberty to lodge a Gentlewoman in his Chamber, and saw him not again till a Fortnight before Michaelmas: And that he did go out of Town upon the 3d of August, is further proved by my Lord Afton, who noted it down in his Book at that time, that Ireland came to his House at Standen in Hertfordsbire; so that here is as strong an Evidence as can be, that he did go on the 3d of August, and that they remember it to have so been, by all the Circumstances that can be imagin'd.

Well, the 3d of August he went out of Town. The 4th of August we give an account where he was: he staid that Day with my Lord Aston at Standen. The 5th of August he set out with my Lord Aston's Company, and went to St. Albans. The 6th he went to Northampton. The 7th, to Coventry. The 8th to Tixhall; and the Company that went with him proves it, which was Sir Edw. Southcoat, and Mr. John Southcoat; and Harrison and Hobson, who saw him go in the Company; and Mr. North, who says he saw him every day; and Ingletrap, the Coachman that drove the Coach: all these remember it positively, and some of them speak of a remarkable pretty Horse, which he rid upon.

ly true, what they swear, if they had any particular

Now when he comes from Tixhall, (for we have brought him hither by manifest Proof, by Men

that

that could not forget, by Men that faw him in the Company) there he remain'd (as they all testify) till the 13th of August, and that was the Tuesday after his Arrival at Tixhall; and from thence he went that Day a Journey into Flintshire, to Holy-Well; and that is proved by the Witnesses, that were in his Company in that Journey. They tell you, the 13th he lay at Nantwich. The 14th at Holy-Well. The 15th, at Chester. And the 16th he came back again to Tixhall. And so here you have abundance of Witnesses, that give you a particular account where he was, in what Company they saw him, srom the 3d of August to the 16th. If he were then in this Company from the 3d to the 16th, he could not be in his Chamber in Russelstreet, between the 8th and the 12th.

Then we came to the 17th, and then we find him to be at Wolverhampton, where he continued to the 26th; and that it must be between the 17th and the 26th, is plain: For after that time which Mrs. Harwell speaks of, the other Witnesses give such Circumstances, that it cannot be otherwise. You find him on the 27th at a Horse-race, which is a remarkable Circumstance; and these are things, that Men do very well remember what days of the Month they happen upon. We then give you an account of the 29th; that at Tixball he was seen in company upon the Bowling-green, with Persons of Quality; Sir Thomas Whitegrave, and others: so we give you an account where he lodged. The 28th he was at *Bellamore*. The 29th he went to Mr. Heveningham's, there he lodg'd till the 1st of September; and this is remember'd by particular Circumstances, that he went a fishing, and a setting, and a hunting. Then the next day, which is the 1st of September; and the next day after, the 2d of September, they saw him at Mr. Gerrard's; he din'd upon the 2d at Mrs. Crompton's, and lay at Boscobel; there he continued the 3d, and the 4th he came to Wolverhampton again.

So that this shews, that what Mrs. Herwell did speak of, was true; for if Mrs. Herwell did see him, as 'tis not at all doubted but she did, it must be in that Interval of Time between the 16th and the 27th; where we give you an account of him by other undeniable Circumstances, that he lodged at other Places, and could not lodge at her House at Wolverhamp!on.

Besides, Gentlemen, the particular Circumstances that they do remember him by, proves it to be on those Days. Mrs. Harwell gives her positive Oath, that he did come there the 17th, and lay there every Night to the 26th, which was on the Monday Seven-night after; and that he came again the 4th of September after, and lay there till the 7th.

Then there is Rushton, another Witness, that faw him at her House, the 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22d, and 25th. And, I say, it must be those Days, because we have given an account, by other Witnesses, of all the other Days. And it must be that very time that Oates speaks of; for it was the Summer before Ireland was apprehended and executed for the Plot, which was Michaelmas 1678.

Mr. Winford, she remembers him at Wolver-

hampton, the 18th, 19th, 22d, and 24th.

Stanley remembers the 18th and 19th; and if you ask him how he remembers it, he tells you, by a Circumstance that most Men would remember; he buried his Child that day, and entertain'd Ireland with the Provision for the Funeral. Now Men ulually remark such Accidents as these; for that is a thing a Man cannot forget, that has any Concern ting in the Afternoon. And the next day, which

in the World for those that are so nearly related to him; and therefore such Circumstances must of necessity evince the Truth of what he speaks, because it is brought to his mind, by an Accident that cannot be forgotten.

Mrs. Purcell, which is the next Witness; and she remembers to have seen him the 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22d, and 23d; for she remembers the last of them was the day before Bartholomew-day, which was a remarkable day.

Then there is another Man, that look'd to his Horse whilst he was there, but his memory will not ferve him to speak to any particular Time; he only evidences, that he was there; and the other Witnesses speak to the Time.

Mr. Stamford, he remembers it to be at that time, because he saw him on the Sunday after the Assumption of our Lady, which is always the 15th of August: and the like says Mrs. Gifford. These Circumstances verify her Testimony.

And Mr. Gifford remembers, he came on the 17th Day; and he saw him every day, till the 26th Day.

And Mrs. Fowler, who is Mrs. Harwell's Daughter, she remembers he came the 17th day; and the was in his Company every day, till the 26th; except one day, when he went to Litchfield; that was the day before Bartholomew-day, which was Friday.

Mrs. Keeling, she swears, that upon the 17th day he came there, which was Seturday. She saw him on the Sunday, and on the Monday; and went on the Monday in the Afternoon, to the Funeral of her Mother, which is another Circumstance, that she must needs remember; and by that, has the Remembrance of the Day of the Month when he was there: And she return'd back again on Thursday, and heard him that day, but did not see him. But The faw him every day after, till he went away; and she remembers his going away was upon the 27th. And she remembers likewise that he return'd the 4th of September after.

Mr. Richardson, he proves, that he saw him upon the 19th Day of August at Wolverhampton; as he was told, it was he: And being ask'd, when he was told fo? He says, Mrs. Harwell told him so, some time before he was apprehended; so that she could not tell it him then, to serve a Turn. And he is a Protestant too.

So is likewife *Eleanor Graves*; who gives you an account that she saw him there on the 20th, 21st, and 22d; and on the 23d, she went to Litchfield with him; and upon the 25th, she supp'd with him at Mr. Winford's.

Now, Gentlemen, you have a full account of it, even to a Demonstration, that he must be in these Places at this time, if you will believe one thing, that is, that these Witnesses saw him at all. And fure none can doubt, but these Witnesses did, upon the Circumstances they have testified, see him in August and September: and then it must be those particular Times, that they speak of.

At Wolverhampton then you have him to the 26th: The 27th day, you have an account of him at the Horse-race at Etching-hill. The 28th, Mr. Howard gives you an account, he dined at Bellamore, at Mr. Afton's. The 29th you find him at the Bowling-green at Tixhall; and from thence to Mr. Heveningham's, where he lodg'd that Night, and staid there the 30th; which is prov'd by the Circumstances of fishing in the Morning, and set.

was

was Saturday the 31st, you hear he was at the killing of a Buck; where Mr. Gerrard was expected, but Sickness prevented his coming. And you have him the 1st of September, which was Sunday, at Mr. Gerrard's House, where several of the Witness did see him.

And besides, one *Prostor* tells you, he saw him the 31st of August, at Fulford, at Mr. Lowe's. And he very well remembers that to be the Time, by a remarkable Circumstance: For (says he) the last Day of August I went to pay some Money, (which is a thing Men are generally very punctual in) and when I came home again, I found him there. And he swears he saw him at Mr. Gerrard's House, the 1st of September, the Day after.

Mr. King remembers he saw him at Mr. Gerrerd's of Hildersham, the sirst of September; and that he dined at Mrs. Crompton's, the second of September; and staid there till two of the clock in the Afternoon; and went from thence, with an intent to go to Boscobel.

Lee says, he saw him at Mr. Gerrard's House and at Mrs. Crompton's: that he dined there, and went from thence to Pancrass, and from thence to Boscobel.

Mr. Biddolph remembers, he dined with him on the fecond of September, at his Aunt's; and that by this Circumstance, he was desired by my Lord Cullen, to see an Horse-Race in Northamptonshire; and promised my Lord Cullen to come: Which Horse-Race is always on a certain Day, the first Thursday in September; and that Year it happen'd to be the fifth of September: And it was the Monday before, that he dined at his Aunt's; and because of his Promise to my Lord Cullen, he would not stay all Night. And so it must be the second Day of September, that he saw him there.

Mrs. Crompton fays, it was the fame Day, that her Nephew, Mr. Bidddolph, dined there: For he was a Stranger, and she never saw him before, nor after.

And there is another Gentlewoman, Mrs. Palmer, that swears, he dined at Mrs. Crompton's; but she cannot tell the particular time when.

Mrs. Gifford saw him at Pancrass, between the Hours of sour and sive in the Asternoon, upon the second of September: and Lee went along with him to Pancrass; and from thence he went to Boscobel.

Mr. Pendrel says, he saw him the second of September at Night; for he came to his House. Which agrees with all that the other Witnesses say, which was, that he intended to go to Boscobel that Night. He and his Wife both testify, that there he lodged upon the second of September, and staid there the third of September; and went from thence the fourth, to Black-Ladies: where Mr. Gisford tells you, he dined.

And wehave given you an Account before, where he was the Fourth at Night: For then he was returned to Wolverhampton; and there he lodged to the seventh of September. And after the seventh of September, though we do not give you a positive Evidence, That upon the seventh of September, at Night, or upon the eighth, he was at my Lord Afton's; yet we have given you that which amounts almost to a Demonstration, that he must be there upon the eighth of September. For he went from thence with Sir John Southcoat, to go towards London, on the ninth. And our Evidence is the more credible, because they swear cautiously all of them: Unless they remember some remarkable Circumstance, they will not take upon them to fix upon a particular time.

Then, Gentlemen, we give you an account, by the Persons that were in his Company where he was every Day, all along from the time he lest Tixbell; down to the time he came to Sir John Southcoat's House in Survey. And this Journey took up the ninth, tenth, eleventh and twelfth of September: There are four Days; and he staid there one Day. Then he sold Mr. Southcoat his Horse. Mr. Southcoat lent him his Horse to come to Town; and the next day he did come to Town; And so we have brought him to Saturday the Fourteenth of September.

And now, let all the World be judge, if there be any possible room lest, that any one word Mr. Oates has said, can be true; even giving him the Latitude of Time, he himself desires, and says all Witnesses must be allowed. No, there is not one Minute for him, wherein he can be verified in any one Tittle of his Evidence, as to Ireland's being in Town. And this is that which I call (and sure, well I may so call it) a Demonstrative Proof, that what Oates did swear is utterly salse.

Gentlemen, the Jury had not this Evidence at the Trial of Ireland: Some there were, that went out of the Town with him; and some, one or two of Wolverbampton, were at the five Jesuits Trials; but not above five or six in all of these forty odd, that now appear. True indeed it is, all these were not there; and Ireland upon that, unfortunately suffered; for so I may take leave to say, it was Unfortunately.

Mr. Oales has faid one thing unto you, which he lays much stress upon: He would have you look upon it as a great Hardship, that this Prosecution comes so late; and that it is strange, after six Years time spent, this should now be set on foot,

But, Gentlemen, I cannot but with much Sorrow remember to you, and I know you all remember it too well; That there was a time, when the City of London was fo far corrupted, that it was become a Refuge and a Sanctuary for High-Treason; when there was no Justice to be had for the King there; when Men lodged themselves within those Walls, as a Protection for their Conspiracies: we all remember the Time, when Indictments were preserred, and a plain Evidence given to a Grand-Jury, even to the publick Satisfaction of all that heard it; and yet they have refused to find the Bill: And not only so, but were so abetted by the Rabble, that it was scarce safe for the Judges to sit upon the Bench. These are things none of us can forget, but must be perpetually remembred, to the shame of the Authors and Contrivers of them: And therefore it is no wonder an Indictment was not preferred against Mr. Oates, at that Time, when the plainest Proof against Criminals could not be admitted. And this will give every Man Satisfaction, why it has been delayed; and I hope at the same time give every Man a Caution, how they fall into the like Circumstances again.

But, Gentlemen, you have heard the Evidence that is now offered, to prove this Matter of Fact; and it is a plain Demonstration, if you will believe that Mr. Ireland was seen by these Men at all, he was seen at those very times they have particularly declared: For upon Consideration of the Circumstances, it is impossible it should be at any other times; and so I leave it to you to judge upon the whole, whether the Desendant be perjured or no.

L. C. J. Gentlemen of the Jury, this Case has taken up a great deal of Time; but it is a Case of that moment and consequence, that sure no time ought to be thought too long, that is employed

for the discovering of the Truth, so necessary to be discovered, as the Matter now in question. For as I said at the beginning upon this Occasion, and I cannot but say it again now; I confess, I cannot without Horror and Trembling, reslect upon the many Mischiess and Inconveniencies we have been run into, if the Testimony given this Day in this Cause against Oates prove true, as I cannot in the least imagine, where there should remain the least Objection against the Truth of it; I cannot, I say, but bewail, that so many innocent Persons (to the Reproach of our Nation be it spoken) have suffered Death upon this account.

God deliver me, from having the least stain of innocent Blood imputed to me; and it is more to be lamented, when we see that Impudence, which has brought that Infamy upon our Land, continues with a brazen Face, defying all Shame to this day. But by this we may be informed, how some Men's Consciences are seared, and that there are some People that do indeed live without the Fear of God in the World. For if that Man, who has assumed to himself the Habit and Character of one that should preach to others, Religion, Virtue, and the Things that become true Christians, shall become such a Monster of Impiety and Impudence, in desiance of Heaven and Earth; what greater and more manifest Proof can there be of a seared Conscience?

I cannot but lament likewise the Wickedness of our Age, when I restect upon the Testimony of that other Wretch, (indeed I cannot use Terms severe enough for him) that when he was going into another World, should persist in such gross Falsities; I mean Bedloe, infamous Bedloe; and let his Name be for ever infamous to all Mankind, that have any regard, or deserence for the Truth; that he should with his latest Breath dare to affirm, that every Word he had said of the Popish Plot, was true: when it is as clear as the Sun, by the Testimony of this Day, that every Word he swore about Ireland, was utterly salse. Good God of Heaven! What an Age have we lived in, to see Innocence suffer Punishment, and impudent Falsity reign so long!

Gentlemen, I hope all Eyes are opened, (I wish they had been so long since;) let us lay the Burden, the Infamy and Reproach of these Things; upon them that deserve it; for we cannot but know, we are reckoned as a By-word to all our Neighbours, and shall remain Monuments of Ignominy to all succeeding Ages and Times, if we do not endeavour to discharge our selves and our Religion, and the Justice of our Nation from these Scandals.

Gentlemen, I think I am obliged, in the first place to take Notice of what Mr. Sollicitor General has mentioned, concerning the Infolency of those Times, wherein the Faction was grown to that extravagant Height, that, in truth, a Man durst not appear, with safety of his own Life and Fortune, to testify the Truth. And, is it not a shame, that it should be remembred, what one of the Witnesses this day testifieth? That when he came to appear at the Council-Table, to attest a Matter of Fact, before this innocent Blood was spilt, (for so I must call it, if that which has been sworn this Day is true) the Rabble should be so boisterous, as to Cry out, Where is that Villain, that dares come to give Evidence against OATES, the SAVIOUR of the Nation? Oh, horrid Blasphemy, that no less an Epithet should be given to such a prosligate Wretch as Oates, than that which is only proper to our Blessed LORD! As though Oates had merited more than all Mankind; and so indeed he has, if Vol. IV.

we take it in a true Sense: He has deserved much more Punishment, than the Laws of this Land can inflict.

And I must needs say, Gentlemen, That this is an Instance, why there seems not to have been Punishment enough ordain'd in Law for Perjury, because our Law-makers did not foresee, that there could ever be such Villains, such Miscreants, as these.

We have indeed another Instance in the Law, of a Crime for which there is no adequate Punishment; and that is in the Case, where a Child kills his Father: Parricide has no peculiar Judgment assigned for it; but we are forced; because these Things have been sometimes practised, by a Construction in Law, to make that Child as a Servant to his Father, and so punish him with the Judgment of Petry-Treason: but take him immediately as he is a Child, and not as a Servant, and I say there is no particular Provision in Law, to punish such an Offence: And, what is the reason of it? Because it is so unnatural, and against the Imaginations of Mankind to believe, there ever could be such a wicked Child in the World.

If that be not to be imagined, how could it be imagin'd, that there should be such horrid Villains, as should attempt the Destruction of the Government of three Kingdoms? Good Lord! What times do we live in? Surely, 'tis such an Age, as was never known, from the Creation of the World to this day.

And to this must be added, what aggravates the Mischief that all this is done under the Umbrage, Countenance, and Pretence of Law; the Proceedings of Law, the usual Methods of Justice, are made the Instruments of the most horrid Injustice. The Murder of our late blessed Sovereign, King CHARLES the Martyr, of ever-happy Memory, though a Crime as high in it self, as the Law knows of; yet I may say, it was aggravated very much, that that unfortunate, but glorious King, should be brought to the Block, by a Pretext of Law, and cut off by a colourable Method of Justice; 'tis that which makes that Murder ten times more base and infamous. So, Gentlemen, the Destruction of poor innocent Persons, by false Accusations, by the pernicious Evidence of perjured Witnesses, in a Court of Justice, makes their Crime infinitely more odious, than common Murder.

Gentlemen, I cannot but speak with warmth in a Case of this nature; and I the rather speak so, because, at the time when those things were done, we all know, the Nation was in a hurry, and a sort of ill-minded Men had crept in among us, who had blown us up to such an height, that nothing but what comply'd with their malicious and devilish Designs, was to be believed; when the King could not get common Justice done against real Traitors; but the very Streams of Justice were all corrupted, though the Fountain (God be thanked) was preserved pure:

When the Faction (by Cabals and Intrigues) had got Sheriffs of their own Party, and laboured to get all other Officers of their own wicked Principles, then came all those Mischiess we so long labour'd under. When those Fellows, that had so great a share in the late Rebellion, were the only sit Men to be trusted with the Government; and all the while were designing to destroy it, and to bring us into the same miserable Condition we formerly were in.